Contents

Formaliz. Math. 16 (2)

Helly Property for Subtrees
By Jessica Enright and Piotr Rudnicki
Heron's Formula and Ptolemy's Theorem
By Marco Riccardi 97
Uniqueness of Factoring an Integer and Multiplicative Group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$
By Hiroyuki Okazaki and Yasunari Shidama103
Ideals of BCI-algebras and their Properties
By CHENGLONG WU and YUZHONG DING
Banach Algebra of Bounded Functionals
By YASUNARI SHIDAMA <i>et al.</i>
Convex Sets and Convex Combinations on Complex Linear Spaces
By HIDENORI MATSUZAKI <i>et al.</i>
Inner Products, Group, Ring of Quaternion Numbers
By Fuguo GE
Several Higher Differentiation Formulas of Special Functions
By JUNJIE ZHAO and XIQUAN LIANG and LI YAN141
Inverse Trigonometric Functions Arctan and Arccot
By XIQUAN LIANG and BING XIE
Inverse Trigonometric Functions Arcsec and Arccosec
By BING XIE and XIQUAN LIANG and FUGUO GE
The Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem
By Noboru Endou <i>et al.</i>

Mizar Analysis of Algorithms: Algorithms over Integers By Grzegorz Bancerek
Invertibility of Matrices of Field Elements By YATSUKA NAKAMURA <i>et al.</i>
Ramsey's Theorem By Marco Riccardi 203
Towards the Construction of a Model of Mizar Concepts By Grzegorz Bancerek
Addenda i

Helly Property for Subtrees¹

Jessica Enright University of Alberta Edmonton, Canada Piotr Rudnicki University of Alberta Edmonton, Canada

Summary. We prove, following [5, p. 92], that any family of subtrees of a finite tree satisfies the Helly property.

MML identifier: HELLY, version: 7.8.09 4.97.1001

The articles [12], [4], [10], [3], [2], [1], [11], [9], [8], [7], and [6] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. General Preliminaries

One can prove the following proposition

(1) For every non empty finite sequence p holds $\langle p(1) \rangle \frown p = p$.

Let p, q be finite sequences. The functor maxPrefix(p,q) yields a finite sequence and is defined by:

(Def. 1) maxPrefix $(p,q) \leq p$ and maxPrefix $(p,q) \leq q$ and for every finite sequence r such that $r \leq p$ and $r \leq q$ holds $r \leq maxPrefix<math>(p,q)$.

Let us observe that the functor $\max \operatorname{Prefix}(p,q)$ is commutative. Next we state several propositions:

- (2) For all finite sequences p, q holds $p \preceq q$ iff maxPrefix(p, q) = p.
- (3) For all finite sequences p, q holds $\operatorname{len} \max \operatorname{Prefix}(p, q) \leq \operatorname{len} p$.
- (4) For every non empty finite sequence p holds $\langle p(1) \rangle \leq p$.
- (5) For all non empty finite sequences p, q such that p(1) = q(1) holds $1 \leq \text{len maxPrefix}(p, q).$

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

¹This work has been partially supported by the NSERC grant OGP 9207.

JESSICA ENRIGHT AND PIOTR RUDNICKI

- (6) For all finite sequences p, q and for every natural number j such that $j \leq \text{len maxPrefix}(p,q)$ holds (maxPrefix(p,q))(j) = p(j).
- (7) For all finite sequences p, q and for every natural number j such that $j \leq \text{lenmaxPrefix}(p,q)$ holds p(j) = q(j).
- (8) For all finite sequences p, q holds $p \not\preceq q$ iff $\operatorname{len maxPrefix}(p, q) < \operatorname{len} p$.
- (9) For all finite sequences p, q such that $p \not\preceq q$ and $q \not\preceq p$ holds $p(\operatorname{len} \max\operatorname{Prefix}(p,q)+1) \neq q(\operatorname{len} \max\operatorname{Prefix}(p,q)+1).$

2. Graph Preliminaries

Next we state three propositions:

- (10) For every graph G and for every walk W of G and for all natural numbers m, n holds $len(W.cut(m, n)) \leq len W$.
- (11) Let G be a graph, W be a walk of G, and m, n be natural numbers. If $W.\operatorname{cut}(m,n)$ is non trivial, then W is non trivial.
- (12) Let G be a graph, W be a walk of G, and m, n, i be odd natural numbers. Suppose $m \le n \le \operatorname{len} W$ and $i \le \operatorname{len}(W.\operatorname{cut}(m,n))$. Then there exists an odd natural number j such that $(W.\operatorname{cut}(m,n))(i) = W(j)$ and j = (m+i) 1 and $j \le \operatorname{len} W$.

Let G be a graph. One can verify that every walk of G is non empty. The following propositions are true:

- (13) For every graph G and for all walks W_1 , W_2 of G such that $W_1 \preceq W_2$ holds W_1 .vertices() $\subseteq W_2$.vertices().
- (14) For every graph G and for all walks W_1 , W_2 of G such that $W_1 \preceq W_2$ holds $W_1.edges() \subseteq W_2.edges()$.
- (15) For every graph G and for all walks W_1 , W_2 of G holds $W_1 \preceq W_1$.append (W_2) .
- (16) For every graph G and for all trails W_1 , W_2 of G such that W_1 .last() = W_2 .first() and W_1 .edges() misses W_2 .edges() holds W_1 .append(W_2) is traillike.
- (17) Let G be a graph and P_1 , P_2 be paths of G. Suppose $P_1.last() = P_2.first()$ and P_1 is open and P_2 is open and $P_1.edges()$ misses $P_2.edges()$ and if $P_1.first() \in P_2.vertices()$, then $P_1.first() = P_2.last()$ and $P_1.vertices() \cap$ $P_2.vertices() \subseteq \{P_1.first(), P_1.last()\}$. Then $P_1.append(P_2)$ is path-like.
- (18) Let G be a graph and P_1 , P_2 be paths of G. Suppose P_1 .last() = P_2 .first() and P_1 is open and P_2 is open and P_1 .vertices() $\cap P_2$.vertices() = $\{P_1.\text{last}()\}$. Then $P_1.\text{append}(P_2)$ is open and path-like.
- (19) Let G be a graph and P_1 , P_2 be paths of G. Suppose P_1 .last() = P_2 .first() and P_2 .last() = P_1 .first() and P_1 is open and P_2 is open and P_1 .edges()

misses $P_2.edges()$ and $P_1.vertices() \cap P_2.vertices() = \{P_1.last(), P_1.first()\}$. Then $P_1.append(P_2)$ is cycle-like.

- (20) Let G be a simple graph, W_1 , W_2 be walks of G, and k be an odd natural number. Suppose $k \leq \operatorname{len} W_1$ and $k \leq \operatorname{len} W_2$ and for every odd natural number j such that $j \leq k$ holds $W_1(j) = W_2(j)$. Let j be a natural number. If $1 \leq j \leq k$, then $W_1(j) = W_2(j)$.
- (21) For every graph G and for all walks W_1 , W_2 of G such that W_1 .first() = W_2 .first() holds len maxPrefix(W_1, W_2) is odd.
- (22) For every graph G and for all walks W_1 , W_2 of G such that W_1 .first() = W_2 .first() and $W_1 \not\preceq W_2$ holds len maxPrefix $(W_1, W_2) + 2 \leq \text{len } W_1$.
- (23) For every non-multi graph G and for all walks W_1 , W_2 of G such that W_1 .first() = W_2 .first() and $W_1 \not\preceq W_2$ and $W_2 \not\preceq W_1$ holds $W_1(\operatorname{len maxPrefix}(W_1, W_2) + 2) \neq W_2(\operatorname{len maxPrefix}(W_1, W_2) + 2).$

3. Trees

A tree is a tree-like graph. Let G be a graph. A subtree of G is a tree-like subgraph of G.

Let T be a tree. Observe that every walk of T which is trail-like is also path-like.

One can prove the following proposition

(24) For every tree T and for every path P of T such that P is non trivial holds P is open.

Let T be a tree. Note that every path of T which is non trivial is also open. The following propositions are true:

- (25) Let T be a tree, P be a path of T, and i, j be odd natural numbers. If $i < j \le \text{len } P$, then $P(i) \ne P(j)$.
- (26) Let T be a tree, a, b be vertices of T, and P_1 , P_2 be paths of T. If P_1 is walk from a to b and P_2 is walk from a to b, then $P_1 = P_2$.

Let T be a tree and let a, b be vertices of T. The functor T.pathBetween(a, b) yields a path of T and is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) T.pathBetween(a, b) is walk from a to b.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (27) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b of T holds (T.pathBetween(a, b)).first() = a and (T.pathBetween(a, b)).last() = b.
- (28) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b of T holds $a, b \in (T.\text{pathBetween}(a, b)).\text{vertices}().$

Let T be a tree and let a be a vertex of T. Observe that T.pathBetween(a, a) is closed.

Let T be a tree and let a be a vertex of T.

One can check that T.pathBetween(a, a) is trivial.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (29) For every tree T and for every vertex a of T holds $(T.\text{pathBetween}(a, a)).\text{vertices}() = \{a\}.$
- (30) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b of T holds (T.pathBetween(a, b)).reverse() = T.pathBetween(b, a).
- (31) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b of T holds (T.pathBetween(a, b)).vertices() = (T.pathBetween(b, a)).vertices().
- (32) Let T be a tree, a, b be vertices of T, t be a subtree of T, and a', b' be vertices of t. If a = a' and b = b', then T.pathBetween(a, b) = t.pathBetween<math>(a', b').
- (33) Let T be a tree, a, b be vertices of T, and t be a subtree of T. Suppose $a \in$ the vertices of t and $b \in$ the vertices of t. Then $(T.\text{pathBetween}(a, b)).\text{vertices}() \subseteq \text{the vertices of } t.$
- (34) Let T be a tree, P be a path of T, a, b be vertices of T, and i, j be odd natural numbers. If $i \leq j \leq \text{len } P$ and P(i) = a and P(j) = b, then T.pathBetween(a, b) = P.cut(i, j).
- (35) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b, c of T holds $c \in (T.\text{pathBetween}(a, b)).\text{vertices}()$ iff T.pathBetween(a, b) = (T.pathBetween(a, c)).append((T.pathBetween(c, b))).
- (36) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b, c of T holds $c \in (T.\text{pathBetween}(a, b)).\text{vertices}()$ iff $T.\text{pathBetween}(a, c) \preceq T.\text{pathBetween}(a, b).$
- (37) For every tree T and for all paths P_1 , P_2 of T such that $P_1.last() = P_2.first()$ and $P_1.vertices() \cap P_2.vertices() = \{P_1.last()\}$ holds $P_1.append(P_2)$ is path-like.
- (38) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b, c of T holds $c \in (T.\text{pathBetween}(a, b)).\text{vertices}()$ iff $(T.\text{pathBetween}(a, c)).\text{vertices}() \cap (T.\text{pathBetween}(c, b)).\text{vertices}() = \{c\}.$
- (39) Let T be a tree, a, b, c, d be vertices of T, and P_1 , P_2 be paths of T. Suppose $P_1 = T$.pathBetween(a, b) and $P_2 = T$.pathBetween(a, c) and $P_1 \not\leq P_2$ and $P_2 \not\leq P_1$ and $d = P_1(\text{len maxPrefix}(P_1, P_2))$. Then $(T.\text{pathBetween}(d, b)).\text{vertices}() \cap (T.\text{pathBetween}(d, c)).\text{vertices}() = \{d\}.$

Let T be a tree and let a, b, c be vertices of T. The functor middleVertex(a, b, c) yielding a vertex of T is defined as follows:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\text{Def. 3}) & (T.\text{pathBetween}(a,b)).\text{vertices}() \cap (T.\text{pathBetween}(b,c)).\text{vertices}() \cap \\ & (T.\text{pathBetween}(c,a)).\text{vertices}() = \{\text{middleVertex}(a,b,c)\}. \end{array}$

We now state a number of propositions:

- (40) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b, c of T holds middleVertex(a, b, c) = middleVertex(a, c, b).
- (41) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b, c of T holds middleVertex(a, b, c) = middleVertex(b, a, c).
- (42) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b, c of T holds middleVertex(a, b, c) = middleVertex(b, c, a).
- (43) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b, c of T holds middleVertex(a, b, c) = middleVertex(c, a, b).
- (44) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b, c of T holds middleVertex(a, b, c) = middleVertex(c, b, a).
- (45) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b, c of T such that $c \in (T.\text{pathBetween}(a, b)).\text{vertices}()$ holds middleVertex(a, b, c) = c.
- (46) For every tree T and for every vertex a of T holds middle Vertex(a, a, a) = a.
- (47) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b of T holds middleVertex(a, a, b) = a.
- (48) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b of T holds middleVertex(a, b, a) = a.
- (49) For every tree T and for all vertices a, b of T holds middleVertex(a, b, b) = b.
- (50) Let T be a tree, P_1 , P_2 be paths of T, and a, b, c be vertices of T. If $P_1 = T$.pathBetween(a, b) and $P_2 = T$.pathBetween(a, c) and $b \notin P_2$.vertices() and $c \notin P_1$.vertices(), then middleVertex $(a, b, c) = P_1(\text{len maxPrefix}(P_1, P_2)).$
- (51) Let T be a tree, P_1 , P_2 , P_3 , P_4 be paths of T, and a, b, c be vertices of T. Suppose $P_1 = T$.pathBetween(a, b) and $P_2 = T$.pathBetween(a, c)and $P_3 = T$.pathBetween(b, a) and $P_4 = T$.pathBetween(b, c) and $b \notin P_2$.vertices() and $c \notin P_1$.vertices() and $a \notin P_4$.vertices(). Then $P_1(\text{len maxPrefix}(P_1, P_2)) = P_3(\text{len maxPrefix}(P_3, P_4)).$
- (52) Let T be a tree, a, b, c be vertices of T, and S be a non empty set. Suppose that for every set s such that $s \in S$ holds there exists a subtree t of T such that s = the vertices of t but a, $b \in s$ or a, $c \in s$ or b, $c \in s$. Then $\bigcap S \neq \emptyset$.

4. The Helly Property

Let F be a set. We say that F has Helly property if and only if:

(Def. 4) For every non empty set H such that $H \subseteq F$ and for all sets x, y such that $x, y \in H$ holds x meets y holds $\bigcap H \neq \emptyset$.

One can prove the following proposition

(53) Let T be a tree and X be a finite set such that for every set x such that $x \in X$ there exists a subtree t of T such that x = the vertices of t. Then X has Helly property.

References

- Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55– 65, 1990.
- [4] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [5] M. Ch. Golumbic. Algorithmic Graph Theory and Perfect Graphs. Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [6] Gilbert Lee. Trees and graph components. Formalized Mathematics, 13(2):271-277, 2005.
- [7] Gilbert Lee. Walks in graphs. Formalized Mathematics, 13(2):253–269, 2005.
- [8] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. Alternative graph structures. Formalized Mathematics, 13(2):235-252, 2005.
- [9] Yatsuka Nakamura and Piotr Rudnicki. Vertex sequences induced by chains. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):297–304, 1996.
- [10] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [11] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Abian's fixed point theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 6(3):335–338, 1997.
- [12] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

Received January 10, 2008

Heron's Formula and Ptolemy's Theorem

Marco Riccardi Casella Postale 49 54038 Montignoso, Italy

Summary. The goal of this article is to formalize some theorems that are in the [17] on the web. These are elementary theorems included in every handbook of Euclidean geometry and trigonometry: the law of cosines, the Heron's formula, the isosceles triangle theorem, the intersecting chords theorem and the Ptolemy's theorem.

 MML identifier: $\mathtt{EUCLID_6},$ version: 7.8.09 4.97.1001

The terminology and notation used here are introduced in the following articles: [5], [16], [2], [1], [13], [14], [15], [18], [12], [6], [8], [7], [11], [4], [9], [10], and [3].

1. Law of Cosines and Meister-Gauss Formula

We adopt the following rules: p_1 , p_2 , p_3 , p_4 , p_5 , p_6 , p, p_7 denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and a, b, c, r, s denote real numbers.

Next we state four propositions:

- (1) If $\sin \measuredangle (p_1, p_2, p_3) = \sin \measuredangle (p_4, p_5, p_6)$ and $\cos \measuredangle (p_1, p_2, p_3) = \cos \measuredangle (p_4, p_5, p_6)$, then $\measuredangle (p_1, p_2, p_3) = \measuredangle (p_4, p_5, p_6)$.
- (2) $\sin \measuredangle (p_1, p_2, p_3) = -\sin \measuredangle (p_3, p_2, p_1).$
- (3) $\cos \measuredangle (p_1, p_2, p_3) = \cos \measuredangle (p_3, p_2, p_1).$
- (4) $\measuredangle(p_1, p_4, p_2) + \measuredangle(p_2, p_4, p_3) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_4, p_3) \text{ or } \measuredangle(p_1, p_4, p_2) + \measuredangle(p_2, p_4, p_3) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_4, p_3) + 2 \cdot \pi.$

Let us consider p_1 , p_2 , p_3 . The area of $\triangle(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yields a real number and is defined by:

(Def. 1) The area of
$$\triangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (((p_1)_1 \cdot (p_2)_2 - (p_2)_1 \cdot (p_1)_2) + ((p_2)_1 \cdot (p_3)_2 - (p_3)_1 \cdot (p_2)_2) + ((p_3)_1 \cdot (p_1)_2 - (p_1)_1 \cdot (p_3)_2)).$$

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e) Let us consider p_1 , p_2 , p_3 . The perimeter of $\triangle(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yields a real number and is defined by:

(Def. 2) The perimeter of $\triangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = |p_2 - p_1| + |p_3 - p_2| + |p_1 - p_3|$.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (5) The area of $\triangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \frac{|p_1 p_2| \cdot |p_3 p_2| \cdot \sin \measuredangle(p_3, p_2, p_1)}{2}$.
- (6) If $p_2 \neq p_1$, then $|p_3 p_2| \cdot \sin \measuredangle (p_3, p_2, p_1) = |p_3 p_1| \cdot \sin \measuredangle (p_2, p_1, p_3)$.
- (7) $(|p_3 p_1|)^2 = ((|p_1 p_2|)^2 + (|p_3 p_2|)^2) 2 \cdot (|p_1 p_2|) \cdot (|p_3 p_2|) \cdot \cos \measuredangle (p_1, p_2, p_3).$

2. Some Elementary Facts about Euclidean geometry

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (8) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $p \neq p_1$ and $p \neq p_2$, then $\measuredangle(p_1, p, p_2) = \pi$.
- (9) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_2, p_3)$ and $p \neq p_2$, then $\measuredangle(p_3, p_2, p_1) = \measuredangle(p, p_2, p_1)$.
- (10) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_2, p_3)$ and $p \neq p_2$, then $\measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p)$.
- (11) If $\measuredangle(p_1, p, p_2) = \pi$, then $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$.
- (12) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_3)$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_4)$ and $p_3 \neq p_4$ and $p \neq p_1$, then $p_3 \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_4)$ or $p_4 \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_3)$.
- (13) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_3)$ and $p \neq p_1$ and $p \neq p_3$, then $\measuredangle(p_1, p, p_2) + \measuredangle(p_2, p, p_3) = \pi$ or $\measuredangle(p_1, p, p_2) + \measuredangle(p_2, p, p_3) = 3 \cdot \pi$.
- (14) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $p \neq p_1$ and $p \neq p_2$ and $\measuredangle(p_3, p, p_1) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\measuredangle(p_3, p, p_1) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \pi$, then $\measuredangle(p_1, p, p_3) = \measuredangle(p_3, p, p_2)$.
- (15) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_3)$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_2, p_4)$ and $p \neq p_1$ and $p \neq p_2$ and $p \neq p_3$ and $p \neq p_4$, then $\measuredangle(p_1, p, p_2) = \measuredangle(p_3, p, p_4)$.
- (16) If $|p_3 p_1| = |p_2 p_3|$ and $p_1 \neq p_2$, then $\measuredangle(p_3, p_1, p_2) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p_3)$.
- (17) For all p_1, p_2, p_3, p such that $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $p \neq p_2$ holds $|(p_3 p, p_2 p_1)| = 0$ iff $|(p_3 p, p_2 p)| = 0$.
- (18) If $|p_1 p_3| = |p_2 p_3|$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $p \neq p_3$ and $p \neq p_1$ and $\measuredangle(p_3, p, p_1) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\measuredangle(p_3, p, p_1) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \pi$, then $\measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p) = \measuredangle(p, p_3, p_2)$.
- (19) Let given p_1, p_2, p_3, p such that $|p_1 p_3| = |p_2 p_3|$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $p \neq p_3$. Then
 - (i) if $\measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p) = \measuredangle(p, p_3, p_2)$, then $|p_1 p| = |p p_2|$,
 - (ii) if $|p_1 p| = |p p_2|$, then $|(p_3 p, p_2 p_1)| = 0$, and
- (iii) if $|(p_3 p, p_2 p_1)| = 0$, then $\measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p) = \measuredangle(p, p_3, p_2)$.

Let us consider p_1 , p_2 , p_3 . We say that p_1 , p_2 and p_3 are collinear if and only if:

(Def. 3) $p_1 \in \mathcal{L}(p_2, p_3)$ or $p_2 \in \mathcal{L}(p_3, p_1)$ or $p_3 \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$.

Let us consider p_1 , p_2 , p_3 . We introduce p_1 , p_2 , p_3 form a triangle as an antonym of p_1 , p_2 and p_3 are collinear.

The following propositions are true:

- (20) p_1, p_2, p_3 form a triangle iff p_1, p_2, p_3 are mutually different and $\measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) \neq \pi$ and $\measuredangle(p_2, p_3, p_1) \neq \pi$ and $\measuredangle(p_3, p_1, p_2) \neq \pi$.
- (21) Suppose p_1 , p_2 , p_3 form a triangle and p_4 , p_5 , p_6 form a triangle and $\measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \measuredangle(p_4, p_5, p_6)$ and $\measuredangle(p_3, p_1, p_2) = \measuredangle(p_6, p_4, p_5)$. Then $|p_3 p_2| \cdot |p_4 p_6| = |p_1 p_3| \cdot |p_6 p_5|$ and $|p_3 p_2| \cdot |p_5 p_4| = |p_2 p_1| \cdot |p_6 p_5|$ and $|p_1 p_3| \cdot |p_5 p_4| = |p_2 p_1| \cdot |p_4 p_6|$.
- (22) Suppose p_1 , p_2 , p_3 form a triangle and p_4 , p_5 , p_6 form a triangle and $\measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \measuredangle(p_4, p_5, p_6)$ and $\measuredangle(p_3, p_1, p_2) = \measuredangle(p_5, p_6, p_4)$. Then $|p_2 p_3| \cdot |p_4 p_6| = |p_3 p_1| \cdot |p_5 p_4|$ and $|p_2 p_3| \cdot |p_6 p_5| = |p_1 p_2| \cdot |p_5 p_4|$ and $|p_3 p_1| \cdot |p_6 p_5| = |p_1 p_2| \cdot |p_4 p_6|$.
- (23) If p_1 , p_2 , p_3 are mutually different and $\measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) \leq \pi$, then $\measuredangle(p_2, p_3, p_1) \leq \pi$ and $\measuredangle(p_3, p_1, p_2) \leq \pi$.
- (24) If p_1, p_2, p_3 are mutually different and $\measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) > \pi$, then $\measuredangle(p_2, p_3, p_1) > \pi$ and $\measuredangle(p_3, p_1, p_2) > \pi$.
- (25) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and p_1, p_2, p_3 form a triangle and $\measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p_2) = \measuredangle(p, p_3, p_2)$, then $p = p_1$.
- (26) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $p_3 \notin \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $\measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p_2) \leq \pi$, then $\measuredangle(p, p_3, p_2) \leq \measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p_2)$.
- (27) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $p_3 \notin \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $\measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p_2) > \pi$ and $p \neq p_2$, then $\measuredangle(p, p_3, p_2) \ge \measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p_2)$.
- (28) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $p_3 \notin \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$, then there exists p_4 such that $p_4 \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $\measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p_4) = \measuredangle(p, p_3, p_2)$.
- (29) If $p_1 \in \text{InsideOfCircle}(a, b, r)$ and $p_2 \in \text{OutsideOfCircle}(a, b, r)$, then there exists p such that $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2) \cap \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$.
- (30) If $p_1, p_3, p_4 \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_3)$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_4)$ and $p_3 \neq p_4$, then $p = p_1$.
- (31) If $p_1, p_2, p \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and $p_7 = [a, b]$ and $p_7 \in \mathcal{L}(p, p_2)$ and $p_1 \neq p$, then $2 \cdot \measuredangle(p_1, p, p_2) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_7, p_2)$ or $2 \cdot (\measuredangle(p_1, p, p_2) - \pi) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_7, p_2)$.
- (32) If $p_1 \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and r > 0, then there exists p_2 such that $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $p_2 \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and $[a, b] \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$.
- (33) If $p_1, p_2, p \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and $p_7 = [a, b]$ and $p_1 \neq p$ and $p_2 \neq p$, then $2 \cdot \measuredangle(p_1, p, p_2) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_7, p_2)$ or $2 \cdot (\measuredangle(p_1, p, p_2) \pi) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_7, p_2)$.
- (34) Suppose $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and $p_1 \neq p_3$ and $p_1 \neq p_4$ and $p_2 \neq p_3$ and $p_2 \neq p_4$. Then $\measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p_2) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_4, p_2)$ or $\measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p_2) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_4, p_2) \pi$ or $\measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p_2) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_4, p_2) + \pi$.
- (35) If $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and $p_1 \neq p_2 \neq p_3$, then $\measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) \neq \pi$.

MARCO RICCARDI

- (36) Suppose $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_3)$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_2, p_4)$ and p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 are mutually different. Then $\measuredangle(p_1, p_4, p_2) = \measuredangle(p_1, p_3, p_2)$.
- (37) If $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and $\measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = 0$ and $p_1 \neq p_2 \neq p_3$, then $p_1 = p_3$.
- (38) If $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_3)$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_2, p_4)$, then $|p_1 p| \cdot |p p_3| = |p_2 p| \cdot |p p_4|$.

3. HERON'S FORMULA AND PTOLEMY'S THEOREM

One can prove the following propositions:

- (39) Suppose $a = |p_2 p_1|$ and $b = |p_3 p_2|$ and $c = |p_1 p_3|$ and $s = \frac{1}{2}$ the perimeter of $\triangle(p_1, p_2, p_3)$. Then |the area of $\triangle(p_1, p_2, p_3)| = \sqrt{s \cdot (s-a) \cdot (s-b) \cdot (s-c)}$.
- (40) If $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in \text{Circle}(a, b, r)$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_3)$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_2, p_4)$, then $|p_3 - p_1| \cdot |p_4 - p_2| = |p_2 - p_1| \cdot |p_4 - p_3| + |p_3 - p_2| \cdot |p_4 - p_1|.$

4. Appendix

In the sequel c_1 , c_2 , c_3 denote elements of \mathbb{C} . One can prove the following propositions:

- (41) $(p_1 p_2)_1 = (p_1)_1 (p_2)_1$ and $(p_1 p_2)_2 = (p_1)_2 (p_2)_2$.
- (42) $|p_1 p_2| = 0$ iff $p_1 = p_2$.
- $(43) |p_1 p_2| = |p_2 p_1|.$
- $(44) \quad \measuredangle (p_1, p_2, p_3) \neq 2 \cdot \measuredangle (p_4, p_5, p_6) + 2 \cdot \pi.$
- (45) $\measuredangle (p_1, p_2, p_3) \neq 2 \cdot \measuredangle (p_4, p_5, p_6) + 4 \cdot \pi.$
- (46) $\measuredangle (p_1, p_2, p_3) \neq 2 \cdot \measuredangle (p_4, p_5, p_6) 4 \cdot \pi.$
- (47) $\measuredangle (p_1, p_2, p_3) \neq 2 \cdot \measuredangle (p_4, p_5, p_6) 6 \cdot \pi.$
- (48) $\measuredangle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \measuredangle((\operatorname{euc2cpx}(p_1 p_2)), (\operatorname{euc2cpx}(p_3 p_2))).$
- (49) $\measuredangle(c_1, c_2) + \measuredangle(c_2, c_3) = \measuredangle(c_1, c_3) \text{ or } \measuredangle(c_1, c_2) + \measuredangle(c_2, c_3) = \measuredangle(c_1, c_3) + 2 \cdot \pi.$
- (50) Suppose $c_1 = \operatorname{euc2cpx}(p_1 p_2)$ and $c_2 = \operatorname{euc2cpx}(p_3 p_2)$. Then $\Re((c_1|c_2)) = ((p_1)_1 - (p_2)_1) \cdot ((p_3)_1 - (p_2)_1) + ((p_1)_2 - (p_2)_2) \cdot ((p_3)_2 - (p_2)_2)$ and $\Im((c_1|c_2)) = -((p_1)_1 - (p_2)_1) \cdot ((p_3)_2 - (p_2)_2) + ((p_1)_2 - (p_2)_2) \cdot ((p_3)_1 - (p_2)_1)$ and $|c_1| = \sqrt{((p_1)_1 - (p_2)_1)^2 + ((p_1)_2 - (p_2)_2)^2}$ and $|p_1 - p_2| = |c_1|$.
- (51) Let *n* be an element of \mathbb{N} , q_1 be a point of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$, and *f* be a function from $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$ into \mathbb{R}^1 . If for every point *q* of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$ holds $f(q) = |q q_1|$, then *f* is continuous.

(52) Let *n* be an element of \mathbb{N} and q_1 be a point of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$. Then there exists a function *f* from $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$ into \mathbb{R}^1 such that for every point *q* of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$ holds $f(q) = |q - q_1|$ and *f* is continuous.

References

- [1] Kanchun and Yatsuka Nakamura. The inner product of finite sequences and of points of *n*-dimensional topological space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(2):179–183, 2003.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91-96, 1990.
 [3] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):481-485, 1991.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. The complex numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):507–513, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [6] Wenpai Chang, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. Inner products and angles of complex numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 11(3):275–280, 2003.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces fundamental concepts. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_{T}^{2} . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [10] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [11] Akihiro Kubo and Yatsuka Nakamura. Angle and triangle in Euclidian topological space. Formalized Mathematics, 11(3):281–287, 2003.
- [12] Yatsuka Nakamura. General Fashoda meet theorem for unit circle and square. Formalized Mathematics, 11(3):213–224, 2003.
- Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):223-230, 1990.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [15] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [16] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [17] Freek Wiedijk. Formalizing 100 theorems. http://www.cs.ru.nl/ freek/100/.
- [18] Yuguang Yang and Yasunari Shidama. Trigonometric functions and existence of circle ratio. Formalized Mathematics, 7(2):255–263, 1998.

Received January 10, 2008

Uniqueness of Factoring an Integer and Multiplicative Group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$

Hiroyuki Okazaki Shinshu University Nagano, Japan Yasunari Shidama Shinshu University Nagano, Japan

Summary. In the [20], it had been proven that the Integers modulo p, in this article we shall refer as $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, constitutes a field if and only if p is a prime. Then the prime modulo $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is an additive cyclic group and $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ is a multiplicative cyclic group, too. The former has been proven in the [23]. However, the latter had not been proven yet. In this article, first, we prove a theorem concerning the LCM to prove the existence of primitive elements of \mathbb{Z}/p^* . Moreover we prove the uniqueness of factoring an integer. Next we define the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$ and prove it is cyclic.

MML identifier: INT_7, version: 7.8.10 4.99.1005

The articles [31], [3], [9], [1], [25], [2], [32], [8], [24], [4], [19], [29], [28], [13], [7], [26], [22], [11], [17], [18], [12], [16], [30], [23], [27], [5], [14], [15], [20], [21], [6], and [10] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

1. UNIQUENESS OF FACTORING AN INTEGER

In this paper x, X denote sets.

Next we state four propositions:

- (1) For every many sorted set p indexed by X such that support $p = \{x\}$ holds $p = (X \longmapsto 0) + (x, p(x))$.
- (2) Let X be a set and p, q, r be real-valued many sorted sets indexed by X. If support $p \cap$ support $q = \emptyset$ and support $p \cup$ support q = support r and $p \upharpoonright$ support $p = r \upharpoonright$ support p and $q \upharpoonright$ support $q = r \upharpoonright$ support q, then p+q = r.

HIROYUKI OKAZAKI AND YASUNARI SHIDAMA

- (3) For every set X and for all many sorted sets p, q indexed by X such that $p \upharpoonright \text{support } p = q \upharpoonright \text{support } q$ holds p = q.
- (4) For every set X and for all bags p, q of X such that support $p = \emptyset$ and support $q = \emptyset$ holds p = q.

Let p be a bag of Prime. We say that p is prime-factorization-like if and only if:

(Def. 1) For every prime number x such that $x \in \text{support } p$ there exists a natural number n such that 0 < n and $p(x) = x^n$.

Let n be a non empty natural number. Note that PPF(n) is prime-factorizationlike.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (5) For all prime numbers p, q and for all natural numbers n, m such that $p \mid m \cdot q^n$ and $p \neq q$ holds $p \mid m$.
- (6) Let f be a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} , b be a bag of Prime, and a be a prime number. Suppose b is prime-factorization-like and $\prod b \neq 1$ and $a \mid \prod b$ and $\prod b = \prod f$ and $f = b \cdot \text{CFS}(\text{support } b)$. Then $a \in \text{support } b$.
- (7) For all bags p, q of Prime such that support $p \subseteq$ support q and $p \upharpoonright$ support $p = q \upharpoonright$ support p holds $\prod p \mid \prod q$.
- (8) Let p be a bag of Prime and x be a prime number. If p is prime-factorization-like, then $x \mid \prod p$ iff $x \in \text{support } p$.
- (9) For all non empty natural numbers n, m, k such that k = lcm(n, m) holds support $\text{PPF}(k) = \text{support } \text{PPF}(n) \cup \text{support } \text{PPF}(m)$.
- (10) For every set X and for all bags b_1 , b_2 of X holds support $\min(b_1, b_2) =$ support $b_1 \cap$ support b_2 .
- (11) For all non empty natural numbers n, m, k such that $k = n \operatorname{gcd} m$ holds support $\operatorname{PPF}(k) = \operatorname{support} \operatorname{PPF}(n) \cap \operatorname{support} \operatorname{PPF}(m)$.
- (12) Let p, q be bags of Prime. Suppose p is prime-factorization-like and q is prime-factorization-like and support p misses support q. Then $\prod p$ and $\prod q$ are relative prime.
- (13) For every bag p of Prime such that p is prime-factorization-like holds $\prod p \neq 0$.
- (14) For every bag p of Prime such that p is prime-factorization-like holds $\prod p = 1$ iff support $p = \emptyset$.
- (15) Let p, q be bags of Prime. Suppose p is prime-factorization-like and q is prime-factorization-like and $\prod p = \prod q$. Then p = q.
- (16) Let p be a bag of Prime and n be a non empty natural number. If p is prime-factorization-like and $n = \prod p$, then PPF(n) = p.
- (17) Let n, m be elements of \mathbb{N} . Suppose $1 \le n$ and $1 \le m$. Then there exist elements m_0, n_0 of \mathbb{N} such that $\operatorname{lcm}(n, m) = n_0 \cdot m_0$ and $n_0 \operatorname{gcd} m_0 = 1$

and $n_0 \mid n$ and $m_0 \mid m$ and $n_0 \neq 0$ and $m_0 \neq 0$.

2. Multiplicative Group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$

Let n be a natural number. Let us assume that 1 < n. The functor \mathbb{Z}_n^* yields a non empty finite subset of \mathbb{N} and is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}.$

We now state the proposition

(18) For every natural number n such that 1 < n holds $\overline{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_n^*}} = n - 1$.

Let n be a prime number. The functor $\cdot_{\mathbb{Z}_n^*}$ yielding a binary operation on \mathbb{Z}_n^* is defined by:

(Def. 3)
$$\cdot_{\mathbb{Z}_n^*} = \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}_n} \upharpoonright \mathbb{Z}_n^*$$
.

One can prove the following proposition

(19) For every prime number p holds $\langle \mathbb{Z}_p^*, \mathbb{Z}_p^* \rangle$ is associative, commutative, and group-like.

Let p be a prime number. The functor $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$ yielding a commutative group is defined by:

(Def. 4) $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^* = \langle \mathbb{Z}_p^*, \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \rangle.$

The following three propositions are true:

- (20) Let p be a prime number, x, y be elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$, and x_1, y_1 be elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{R}}$. If $x = x_1$ and $y = y_1$, then $x \cdot y = x_1 \cdot y_1$.
- (21) For every prime number p holds $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*} = 1$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_n^R}$.
- (22) For every prime number p and for every element x of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$ and for every element x_1 of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $x = x_1$ holds $x^{-1} = x_1^{-1}$.

Let p be a prime number. One can verify that $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$ is finite. We now state several propositions:

- (23) For every prime number p holds $\operatorname{ord}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*) = p 1$.
- (24) Let G be a group, a be an element of G, and i be an integer. Suppose a is not of order 0. Then there exist elements n, k of \mathbb{N} such that $a^i = a^n$ and $n = k \cdot \operatorname{ord}(a) + i$.
- (25) Let G be a commutative group, a, b be elements of G, and n, m be natural numbers. If G is finite and ord(a) = n and ord(b) = m and $n \gcd m = 1$, then $ord(a \cdot b) = n \cdot m$.
- (26) For every non empty zero structure L and for every polynomial p of L such that $0 \leq \deg p$ holds p is non-zero.
- (27) For every field L and for every polynomial f of L such that $0 \le \deg f$ holds Roots f is a finite set and $\overline{Roots f} \le \deg f$.

- (28) Let p be a prime number, z be an element of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$, and y be an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{R}}$. If z = y, then for every element n of \mathbb{N} holds $\operatorname{power}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*}(z, n) = \operatorname{power}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{R}}}(y, n)$.
- (29) Let p be a prime number, a, b be elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$, and n be a natural number. If 0 < n and $\operatorname{ord}(a) = n$ and $b^n = 1$, then b is an element of $\operatorname{gr}(\{a\})$.
- (30) Let G be a group, z be an element of G, and d, l be elements of N. If G is finite and $\operatorname{ord}(z) = d \cdot l$, then $\operatorname{ord}(z^d) = l$.
- (31) For every prime number p holds $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$ is a cyclic group.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [6] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55– 65, 1990.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [10] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Recursive definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):321–328, 1990.
- [11] Andrzej Kondracki. The Chinese Remainder Theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 6(4):573–577, 1997.
- [12] Artur Korniłowicz and Piotr Rudnicki. Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic. Formalized Mathematics, 12(2):179–186, 2004.
- [13] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [14] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):887–890, 1990.
- [15] Rafał Kwiatek and Grzegorz Zwara. The divisibility of integers and integer relative primes. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):829–832, 1990.
- [16] Robert Milewski. Fundamental theorem of algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 9(3):461– 470, 2001.
- [17] Robert Milewski. The ring of polynomials. Formalized Mathematics, 9(2):339–346, 2001.
- [18] Piotr Rudnicki. Little Bezout theorem (factor theorem). Formalized Mathematics, 12(1):49–58, 2004.
- [19] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Multivariate polynomials with arbitrary number of variables. Formalized Mathematics, 9(1):95–110, 2001.
- [20] Christoph Schwarzweller. The ring of integers, euclidean rings and modulo integers. Formalized Mathematics, 8(1):29–34, 1999.
- [21] Christoph Schwarzweller and Agnieszka Rowińska-Schwarzweller. Schur's theorem on the stability of networks. *Formalized Mathematics*, 14(4):135–142, 2006.
- [22] Christoph Schwarzweller and Andrzej Trybulec. The evaluation of multivariate polynomials. Formalized Mathematics, 9(2):331–338, 2001.
- [23] Dariusz Surowik. Cyclic groups and some of their properties part I. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):623–627, 1991.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):329–334, 1990.

- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):115-122, 1990.
 [26] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):15-22, 1993.
- [27] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501-505, 1990.
- [28] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):821-827, 1990.
- Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):291-296, [29]
- [20] 1990.
 [30] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Lattice of subgroups of a group. Frattini subgroup. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):41-47, 1991.
- [31] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [32] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73-83, 1990.

Received January 31, 2008

Ideals of BCI-algebras and their Properties

Chenglong Wu Qingdao University of Science and Technology China Yuzhong Ding Qingdao University of Science and Technology China

Summary. In this article three classes of ideals are discussed: associative ideals, commutative ideals, implicative ideals and positive implicative ideals, and their elementary properties. Some of their properties and the relationships between them have not been proven yet, and will be completed in the following article.

MML identifier: BCIIDEAL, version: 7.8.10 4.99.1005

The papers [4], [1], [2], and [3] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

For simplicity, we use the following convention: X denotes a BCI-algebra, X_1 denotes a non empty subset of X, A, I denote ideals of X, x, y, z denote elements of X, and a denotes an element of A.

The following four propositions are true:

- (1) For all elements x, y, z, u of X such that $x \leq y$ holds $u \setminus (z \setminus x) \leq u \setminus (z \setminus y)$.
- (2) For all elements x, y, z, u of X holds $x \setminus (y \setminus z) \setminus (x \setminus (y \setminus u)) \le z \setminus u$.
- (3) For all elements x, y, z, u, v of X holds $x \setminus (y \setminus (z \setminus u)) \setminus (x \setminus (y \setminus (z \setminus v))) \le v \setminus u$.
- (4) For all elements x, y of X holds $0_X \setminus (x \setminus y) \setminus (y \setminus x) = 0_X$.

Let us consider X and let a be an element of X. The initial section of a is defined by:

(Def. 1) The initial section of $a = \{x \in X : x \le a\}$.

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e) The following propositions are true:

- (5) If $x \leq a$, then $x \in A$.
- (6) For all elements x, a, b of AtomSet X such that x is an element of BranchV b holds $a \setminus x = a \setminus b$.
- (7) For every element a of X and for all elements x, b of AtomSet X such that x is an element of BranchV b holds $a \setminus x = a \setminus b$.
- (8) The initial section of $a \subseteq A$.
- (9) If AtomSet X is an ideal of X, then for every element x of BCK-part X and for every element a of AtomSet X such that $x \setminus a \in AtomSet X$ holds $x = 0_X$.
- (10) If AtomSet X is an ideal of X, then AtomSet X is a closed ideal of X.
- Let us consider X, I. We say that I is positive if and only if:
- (Def. 2) Every element of I is positive.

Next we state three propositions:

- (11) Let X be a BCK-algebra and A, I be ideals of X. Then $A \cap I = \{0_X\}$ if and only if for every element x of A and for every element y of I holds $x \setminus y = x$.
- (12) For every associative BCI-algebra X holds every ideal of X is closed.
- (13) For every BCI-algebra X and for every ideal A of X such that X is quasi-associative holds A is closed.

2. Definitions of Associative Ideals

Let X be a BCI-algebra and let I_1 be an ideal of X. We say that I_1 is associative if and only if:

(Def. 3) $0_X \in I_1$ and for all elements x, y, z of X such that $x \setminus (y \setminus z), y \setminus z \in I_1$ holds $x \in I_1$.

Let X be a BCI-algebra. One can verify that there exists an ideal of X which is associative.

Let X be a BCI-algebra. A non empty subset of X is said to be an associativeideal of X if:

(Def. 4) $0_X \in \text{it}$ and for all elements x, y, z of X such that $x \setminus y \setminus z, y \setminus z \in \text{it}$ holds $x \in \text{it}$.

We now state four propositions:

- (14) If X_1 is an associative-ideal of X, then X_1 is an ideal of X.
- (15) I is an associative-ideal of X iff for all x, y, z such that $x \setminus y \setminus z \in I$ holds $x \setminus (y \setminus z) \in I$.
- (16) If I is an associative-ideal of X, then for every element x of X holds $x \setminus (0_X \setminus x) \in I.$

(17) If for every element x of X holds $x \setminus (0_X \setminus x) \in I$, then I is a closed ideal of X.

Let X be a BCI-algebra. A non empty subset of X is said to be a p-ideal of X if:

(Def. 5) $0_X \in \text{it}$ and for all elements x, y, z of X such that $x \setminus z \setminus (y \setminus z), y \in \text{it}$ holds $x \in \text{it}$.

We now state several propositions:

- (18) If X_1 is a *p*-ideal of X, then X_1 is an ideal of X.
- (19) For all X, I such that I is a p-ideal of X holds BCK-part $X \subseteq I$.
- (20) BCK-part X is a p-ideal of X.
- (21) I is a p-ideal of X iff for all x, y such that $x \in I$ and $x \leq y$ holds $y \in I$.
- (22) I is a p-ideal of X iff for all x, y, z such that $x \setminus z \setminus (y \setminus z) \in I$ holds $x \setminus y \in I$.

3. Definitions of Commutative Ideals

Let X be a BCK-algebra and let I_1 be an ideal of X. We say that I_1 is commutative if and only if:

(Def. 6) For all elements x, y, z of X such that $x \setminus y \setminus z, z \in I_1$ holds $x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x)) \in I_1$.

Let X be a BCK-algebra. One can verify that there exists an ideal of X which is commutative.

Next we state two propositions:

- (23) For every BCK-algebra X holds BCK-part X is a commutative ideal of X.
- (24) Let X be a BCK-algebra. Suppose X is a p-semisimple BCI-algebra. Then $\{0_X\}$ is a commutative ideal of X.

In the sequel X denotes a BCK-algebra.

One can prove the following proposition

- (25) BCK-part X = the carrier of X.In the sequel X denotes a BCI-algebra.We now state several propositions:
- (26) If for every BCI-algebra X and for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus y \setminus y = x \setminus y$, then the carrier of X = BCK-part X.
- (27) If for every BCI-algebra X and for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus (y \setminus x) = x$, then the carrier of X = BCK-part X.
- (28) If for every BCI-algebra X and for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus x)$, then the carrier of X = BCK-part X.

CHENGLONG WU AND YUZHONG DING

- (29) If for every BCI-algebra X and for all elements x, y, z of X holds $(x \setminus y) \setminus y = x \setminus z \setminus (y \setminus z)$, then the carrier of X = BCK-part X.
- (30) If for every BCI-algebra X and for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus y \setminus (y \setminus x) = x \setminus y$, then the carrier of X = BCK-part X.
- (31) If for every BCI-algebra X and for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus y \setminus (x \setminus y \setminus (y \setminus x)) = 0_X$, then the carrier of X = BCK-part X.
- (32) For every BCK-algebra X holds the carrier of X is a commutative ideal of X.

In the sequel X denotes a BCK-algebra and I denotes an ideal of X. One can prove the following propositions:

- (33) I is a commutative ideal of X iff for all elements x, y of X such that $x \setminus y \in I$ holds $x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x)) \in I$.
- (34) Let I, A be ideals of X. Suppose $I \subseteq A$ and I is a commutative ideal of X. Then A is a commutative ideal of X.
- (35) Every ideal of X is a commutative ideal of X iff $\{0_X\}$ is a commutative ideal of X.
- (36) $\{0_X\}$ is a commutative ideal of X iff X is a commutative BCK-algebra.
- (37) X is a commutative BCK-algebra iff every ideal of X is a commutative ideal of X.
- (38) $\{0_X\}$ is a commutative ideal of X iff every ideal of X is a commutative ideal of X.

In the sequel I denotes an ideal of X.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (39) For all elements x, y of X such that $x \setminus (x \setminus y) \in I$ holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y \setminus (x \setminus y \setminus x))$, $y \setminus (y \setminus x) \setminus x, y \setminus (y \setminus x) \setminus (x \setminus y) \in I$.
- (40) $\{0_X\}$ is a commutative ideal of X iff for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) \le y \setminus (y \setminus x).$
- (41) $\{0_X\}$ is a commutative ideal of X iff for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus y = x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x)).$
- (42) $\{0_X\}$ is a commutative ideal of X iff for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus (x \setminus (x \setminus y))).$
- (43) $\{0_X\}$ is a commutative ideal of X iff for all elements x, y of X such that $x \leq y$ holds $x = y \setminus (y \setminus x)$.
- (44) Suppose $\{0_X\}$ is a commutative ideal of X. Then
 - (i) for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus y = x$ iff $y \setminus (y \setminus x) = 0_X$,
 - (ii) for all elements x, y of X such that $x \setminus y = x$ holds $y \setminus x = y$,
- (iii) for all elements x, y, a of X such that $y \le a$ holds $a \setminus x \setminus (a \setminus y) = y \setminus x$,
- (iv) for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x)) = x \setminus y$ and $x \setminus y \setminus (x \setminus y \setminus x) = x \setminus y$, and

- (v) for all elements x, y, a of X such that $x \le a$ holds $(a \setminus y) \setminus (a \setminus y \setminus (a \setminus x)) = a \setminus y \setminus (x \setminus y)$.
- (45) Every ideal of X is a commutative ideal of X iff for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) \le y \setminus (y \setminus x)$.
- (46) Every ideal of X is a commutative ideal of X iff for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus y = x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x))$.
- (47) Every ideal of X is a commutative ideal of X iff for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus (x \setminus (x \setminus y)))$.
- (48) Every ideal of X is a commutative ideal of X iff for all elements x, y of X such that $x \leq y$ holds $x = y \setminus (y \setminus x)$.
- (49) Suppose every ideal of X is a commutative ideal of X. Then
 - (i) for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus y = x$ iff $y \setminus (y \setminus x) = 0_X$,
 - (ii) for all elements x, y of X such that $x \setminus y = x$ holds $y \setminus x = y$,
- (iii) for all elements x, y, a of X such that $y \leq a$ holds $a \setminus x \setminus (a \setminus y) = y \setminus x$,
- (iv) for all elements x, y of X holds $x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x)) = x \setminus y$ and $x \setminus y \setminus (x \setminus y \setminus x) = x \setminus y$, and
- (v) for all elements x, y, a of X such that $x \le a$ holds $(a \setminus y) \setminus (a \setminus y \setminus (a \setminus x)) = a \setminus y \setminus (x \setminus y).$
- 4. Definitions of Implicative Ideals and Positive Implicative Ideals

Let X be a BCK-algebra. A non empty subset of X is said to be an implicativeideal of X if:

(Def. 7) $0_X \in \text{it}$ and for all elements x, y, z of X such that $x \setminus (y \setminus x) \setminus z, z \in \text{it}$ holds $x \in \text{it}$.

In the sequel X denotes a BCK-algebra and I denotes an ideal of X. Next we state the proposition

(50) I is an implicative-ideal of X iff for all elements x, y of X such that $x \setminus (y \setminus x) \in I$ holds $x \in I$.

Let X be a BCK-algebra. A non empty subset of X is said to be a positive-implicative-ideal of X if:

(Def. 8) $0_X \in \text{it and for all elements } x, y, z \text{ of } X \text{ such that } x \setminus y \setminus z, y \setminus z \in \text{it holds } x \setminus z \in \text{it.}$

We now state several propositions:

- (51) I is a positive-implicative-ideal of X if and only if for all elements x, y of X such that $x \setminus y \setminus y \in I$ holds $x \setminus y \in I$.
- (52) Suppose that for all elements x, y, z of X such that $x \setminus y \setminus z, y \setminus z \in I$ holds $x \setminus z \in I$. Let x, y, z be elements of X. If $x \setminus y \setminus z \in I$, then $x \setminus z \setminus (y \setminus z) \in I$.
- (53) Suppose that for all elements x, y, z of X such that $x \setminus y \setminus z \in I$ holds $x \setminus z \setminus (y \setminus z) \in I$. Then I is a positive-implicative-ideal of X.

CHENGLONG WU AND YUZHONG DING

- (54) I is a positive-implicative-ideal of X if and only if for all elements x, y, z of X such that $x \setminus y \setminus z, y \setminus z \in I$ holds $x \setminus z \in I$.
- (55) I is a positive-implicative-ideal of X if and only if for all elements x, y, z of X such that $x \setminus y \setminus z \in I$ holds $x \setminus z \setminus (y \setminus z) \in I$.
- (56) Let I, A be ideals of X. Suppose $I \subseteq A$ and I is a positive-implicative-ideal of X. Then A is a positive-implicative-ideal of X.
- (57) Suppose I is an implicative-ideal of X. Then I is a commutative ideal of X and a positive-implicative-ideal of X.

References

- Yuzhong Ding. Several classes of BCI-algebras and their properties. Formalized Mathematics, 15(1):1–9, 2007.
- [2] Yuzhong Ding and Zhiyong Pang. Congruences and quotient algebras of BCI-algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 15(4):175–180, 2007.
- [3] Tao Sun, Dahai Hu, and Xiquan Liang. Several classes of BCK-algebras and their properties. Formalized Mathematics, 15(4):237-242, 2007.
- [4] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

Received March 3, 2008

Banach Algebra of Bounded Functionals

Yasunari Shidama Shinshu University Nagano, Japan Hikofumi Suzuki Shinshu University Nagano, Japan

Noboru Endou Gifu National College of Technology Japan

Summary. In this article, we describe some basic properties of the Banach algebra which is constructed from all bounded functionals.

MML identifier: COSP1, version: 7.8.10 4.99.1005

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following papers: [7], [24], [4], [2], [5], [3], [21], [16], [23], [22], [13], [15], [6], [1], [20], [25], [8], [12], [11], [10], [9], [14], [17], [19], and [18].

1. Some Properties of Rings

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure and let V_1 be a subset of V. We say that V_1 has inverse if and only if:

(Def. 1) For every element v of V such that $v \in V_1$ holds $-v \in V_1$.

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure and let V_1 be a subset of V. We say that V_1 is additively-closed if and only if:

(Def. 2) V_1 is add closed and has inverse.

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure. One can verify that Ω_V is add closed and has inverse.

Let V be a non empty double loop structure. One can verify that every subset of V which is additively-closed is also add closed and has inverse and every subset of V which is add closed and has inverse is also additively-closed.

> C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure. Observe that there exists a subset of V which is add closed and non empty and has inverse.

Let V be a ring. A ring is called a subring of V if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 3).

(Def. 3)(i) The carrier of it \subseteq the carrier of V,

- (ii) the addition of it = (the addition of V) \upharpoonright (the carrier of it),
- (iii) the multiplication of it = (the multiplication of V) \upharpoonright (the carrier of it),
- (iv) $1_{it} = 1_V$, and
- $(\mathbf{v}) \quad \mathbf{0}_{\mathrm{it}} = \mathbf{0}_V.$

For simplicity, we follow the rules: X is a non empty set, x is an element of X, d_1 , d_2 are elements of X, A is a binary operation on X, M is a function from $X \times X$ into X, V is a ring, and V_1 is a subset of V.

We now state the proposition

(1) Suppose $V_1 = X$ and A = (the addition of $V) \upharpoonright (V_1)$ and M = (the multiplication of $V) \upharpoonright (V_1)$ and $d_1 = 1_V$ and $d_2 = 0_V$ and V_1 has inverse. Then $\langle X, A, M, d_1, d_2 \rangle$ is a subring of V.

Let V be a ring. One can check that there exists a subring of V which is strict.

Let V be a non empty multiplicative loop with zero structure and let V_1 be a subset of V. We say that V_1 is multiplicatively-closed if and only if:

(Def. 4) $1_V \in V_1$ and for all elements v, u of V such that $v, u \in V_1$ holds $v \cdot u \in V_1$.

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure and let V_1 be a subset of V. Let us assume that V_1 is add closed and non empty. The functor $Add(V_1, V)$ yielding a binary operation on V_1 is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) Add $(V_1, V) =$ (the addition of $V) \upharpoonright (V_1)$.

Let V be a non empty multiplicative loop with zero structure and let V_1 be a subset of V. Let us assume that V_1 is multiplicatively-closed and non empty. The functor mult (V_1, V) yields a binary operation on V_1 and is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) $\operatorname{mult}(V_1, V) = (\text{the multiplication of } V) \upharpoonright (V_1).$

Let V be an add-associative right zeroed right complementable non empty double loop structure and let V_1 be a subset of V. Let us assume that V_1 is add closed and non empty and has inverse. The functor $\text{Zero}(V_1, V)$ yields an element of V_1 and is defined by:

(Def. 7) $\operatorname{Zero}(V_1, V) = 0_V.$

Let V be a non empty multiplicative loop with zero structure and let V_1 be a subset of V. Let us assume that V_1 is multiplicatively-closed and non empty. The functor $One(V_1, V)$ yields an element of V_1 and is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) $One(V_1, V) = 1_V.$

We now state the proposition

(2) If V_1 is additively-closed, multiplicatively-closed, and non empty, then $\langle V_1, \operatorname{Add}(V_1, V), \operatorname{mult}(V_1, V), \operatorname{One}(V_1, V), \operatorname{Zero}(V_1, V) \rangle$ is a ring.

2. Some Properties of Algebras

In the sequel V is an algebra, V_1 is a subset of V, M_1 is a function from $\mathbb{R} \times X$ into X, and a is a real number.

Let V be an algebra. An algebra is called a subalgebra of V if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 9).

(Def. 9)(i) The carrier of it \subseteq the carrier of V,

- (ii) the addition of it = (the addition of V) \upharpoonright (the carrier of it),
- (iii) the multiplication of it = (the multiplication of V) \upharpoonright (the carrier of it),
- (iv) the external multiplication of it = (the external multiplication of V) $\upharpoonright (\mathbb{R} \times \text{the carrier of it}),$
- (v) $1_{it} = 1_V$, and
- (vi) $0_{it} = 0_V$.

The following proposition is true

(3) Suppose that $V_1 = X$ and $d_1 = 0_V$ and $d_2 = 1_V$ and A = (the addition of $V) \upharpoonright (V_1)$ and M = (the multiplication of $V) \upharpoonright (V_1)$ and $M_1 =$ (the external multiplication of $V) \upharpoonright (\mathbb{R} \times V_1)$ and V_1 has inverse. Then $\langle X, M, A, M_1, d_2, d_1 \rangle$ is a subalgebra of V.

Let V be an algebra. Observe that there exists a subalgebra of V which is strict.

Let V be an algebra and let V_1 be a subset of V. We say that V_1 is additivelylinearly-closed if and only if:

(Def. 10) V_1 is add closed and has inverse and for every real number a and for every element v of V such that $v \in V_1$ holds $a \cdot v \in V_1$.

Let V be an algebra. One can check that every subset of V which is additivelylinearly-closed is also additively-closed.

Let V be an algebra and let V_1 be a subset of V. Let us assume that V_1 is additively-linearly-closed and non empty. The functor $\text{Mult}(V_1, V)$ yielding a function from $\mathbb{R} \times V_1$ into V_1 is defined by:

(Def. 11) Mult (V_1, V) = (the external multiplication of V) $\upharpoonright (\mathbb{R} \times V_1)$.

Let V be a non empty RLS structure. We say that V is scalar-multiplicationcancelable if and only if:

(Def. 12) For every real number a and for every element v of V such that $a \cdot v = 0_V$ holds a = 0 or $v = 0_V$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(4) Let V be an add-associative right zeroed right complementable algebralike non empty algebra structure and a be a real number. Then $a \cdot 0_V = 0_V$.

YASUNARI SHIDAMA et al.

- (5) Let V be an Abelian add-associative right zeroed right complementable algebra-like non empty algebra structure. Suppose V is scalarmultiplcation-cancelable. Then V is a real linear space.
- (6) Suppose V_1 is additively-linearly-closed, multiplicatively-closed, and non empty.

Then $\langle V_1, \text{mult}(V_1, V), \text{Add}(V_1, V), \text{Mult}(V_1, V), \text{One}(V_1, V), \text{Zero}(V_1, V) \rangle$ is a subalgebra of V.

Let X be a non empty set. Observe that RAlgebra X is Abelian, addassociative, right zeroed, right complementable, commutative, associative, right unital, right distributive, and algebra-like.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (7) RAlgebra X is a real linear space.
- (8) Let V be an algebra and V_1 be a subalgebra of V. Then
- (i) for all elements v_1 , w_1 of V_1 and for all elements v, w of V such that $v_1 = v$ and $w_1 = w$ holds $v_1 + w_1 = v + w$,
- (ii) for all elements v_1 , w_1 of V_1 and for all elements v, w of V such that $v_1 = v$ and $w_1 = w$ holds $v_1 \cdot w_1 = v \cdot w$,
- (iii) for every element v_1 of V_1 and for every element v of V and for every real number a such that $v_1 = v$ holds $a \cdot v_1 = a \cdot v$,
- (iv) $\mathbf{1}_{(V_1)} = \mathbf{1}_V$, and
- (v) $0_{(V_1)} = 0_V.$

3. BANACH ALGEBRA OF BOUNDED FUNCTIONALS

Let X be a non empty set. The functor BoundedFunctions X yielding a non empty subset of RAlgebra X is defined as follows:

(Def. 13) BoundedFunctions $X = \{f : X \to \mathbb{R}: f \text{ is bounded on } X\}$.

We now state the proposition

(9) BoundedFunctions X is additively-linearly-closed and multiplicativelyclosed.

Let us consider X. Note that BoundedFunctions X is additively-linearlyclosed and multiplicatively-closed.

The following proposition is true

(10) $\langle BoundedFunctions X, mult(BoundedFunctions X, RAlgebra X), Add(BoundedFunctions X, RAlgebra X), Mult(BoundedFunctions X, RAlgebra X), One(BoundedFunctions X, RAlgebra X), Zero(BoundedFunctions X, RAlgebra X) is a subalgebra of RAlgebra X.$

Let X be a non empty set. The \mathbb{R} -algebra of bounded functions on X yields an algebra and is defined by:

(Def. 14) The \mathbb{R} -algebra of bounded functions on $X = \langle \text{BoundedFunctions } X, \\ \text{mult}(\text{BoundedFunctions } X, \text{RAlgebra } X), \text{Add}(\text{BoundedFunctions } X, \\ \text{RAlgebra } X), \text{Mult}(\text{BoundedFunctions } X, \text{RAlgebra } X), \text{One}(\text{Bounded} \\ \text{Functions } X, \text{RAlgebra } X), \text{Zero}(\text{BoundedFunctions } X, \text{RAlgebra } X) \rangle.$

The following proposition is true

(11) The \mathbb{R} -algebra of bounded functions on X is a real linear space.

We adopt the following rules: F, G, H are vectors of the \mathbb{R} -algebra of bounded functions on X and f, g, h are functions from X into \mathbb{R} .

Next we state several propositions:

- (12) If f = F and g = G and h = H, then H = F + G iff for every element x of X holds h(x) = f(x) + g(x).
- (13) If f = F and g = G, then $G = a \cdot F$ iff for every element x of X holds $g(x) = a \cdot f(x)$.
- (14) If f = F and g = G and h = H, then $H = F \cdot G$ iff for every element x of X holds $h(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$.
- (15) $0_{\text{the }\mathbb{R}\text{-algebra of bounded functions on }X} = X \longmapsto 0.$
- (16) $\mathbf{1}_{\text{the }\mathbb{R}\text{-algebra of bounded functions on }X} = X \longmapsto 1.$

Let X be a non empty set and let F be a set. Let us assume that $F \in$ BoundedFunctions X. The functor modetrans(F, X) yielding a function from X into \mathbb{R} is defined by:

(Def. 15) modetrans(F, X) = F and modetrans(F, X) is bounded on X.

Let X be a non empty set and let f be a function from X into \mathbb{R} . The functor $\operatorname{PreNorms}(f)$ yielding a non empty subset of \mathbb{R} is defined as follows:

(Def. 16) PreNorms $(f) = \{|f(x)| : x \text{ ranges over elements of } X\}.$

Next we state three propositions:

- (17) If f is bounded on X, then $\operatorname{PreNorms}(f)$ is non empty and upper bounded.
- (18) f is bounded on X iff PreNorms(f) is upper bounded.
- (19) There exists a function N_1 from BoundedFunctions X into \mathbb{R} such that for every set F such that $F \in \text{BoundedFunctions } X$ holds $N_1(F) =$ sup PreNorms(modetrans(F, X)).

Let X be a non empty set. The functor BoundedFunctionsNorm X yields a function from BoundedFunctions X into \mathbb{R} and is defined by:

(Def. 17) For every set x such that $x \in \text{BoundedFunctions } X$ holds (BoundedFunctionsNorm X) $(x) = \sup \text{PreNorms}(\text{modetrans}(x, X)).$

We now state two propositions:

- (20) If f is bounded on X, then modetrans(f, X) = f.
- (21) If f is bounded on X, then $(\text{BoundedFunctionsNorm } X)(f) = \sup \operatorname{PreNorms}(f)$.

Let X be a non empty set. The \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X yielding a normed algebra structure is defined as follows:

(Def. 18) The \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X =

(BoundedFunctions X, mult(BoundedFunctions X, RAlgebra X),

Add(BoundedFunctions X, RAlgebra X), Mult(BoundedFunctions X,

 $\operatorname{RAlgebra} X$, $\operatorname{One}(\operatorname{BoundedFunctions} X, \operatorname{RAlgebra} X)$,

Zero(BoundedFunctions X, RAlgebra X), BoundedFunctionsNorm X).

Let X be a non empty set. Note that the \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X is non empty.

Let X be a non empty set. Observe that the \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X is unital.

We now state the proposition

(22) Let W be a normed algebra structure and V be an algebra. If the algebra structure of W = V and $1_V = 1_W$, then W is an algebra.

In the sequel F, G, H denote points of the \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (23) The \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X is an algebra.
- (24) (Mult(BoundedFunctions X, RAlgebra X))(1, F) = F.
- (25) The \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X is a real linear space.
- (26) $X \longmapsto 0 = 0$ the \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X.
- (27) If f = F and f is bounded on X, then $|f(x)| \le ||F||$.
- (28) $0 \le ||F||.$
- (29) $0 = \|(0_{\text{the }\mathbb{R}\text{-normed algebra of bounded functions on } X)\|.$
- (30) If f = F and g = G and h = H, then H = F + G iff for every element x of X holds h(x) = f(x) + g(x).
- (31) If f = F and g = G, then $G = a \cdot F$ iff for every element x of X holds $g(x) = a \cdot f(x)$.
- (32) If f = F and g = G and h = H, then $H = F \cdot G$ iff for every element x of X holds $h(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$.
- (33)(i) ||F|| = 0 iff $F = 0_{\text{the }\mathbb{R}\text{-normed algebra of bounded functions on } X$,
 - (ii) $||a \cdot F|| = |a| \cdot ||F||$, and
- (iii) $||F + G|| \le ||F|| + ||G||.$
- (34) The \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X is real normed spacelike.

Let X be a non empty set.

Note that the \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X is real normed space-like, real linear space-like, Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, and right complementable.

We now state three propositions:

- (35) If f = F and g = G and h = H, then H = F G iff for every element x of X holds h(x) = f(x) g(x).
- (36) Let X be a non empty set and s_1 be a sequence of the \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X. If s_1 is Cauchy sequence by norm, then s_1 is convergent.
- (37) The \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X is a real Banach space.

Let X be a non empty set.

Observe that the \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X is complete. The following proposition is true

(38) The \mathbb{R} -normed algebra of bounded functions on X is a Banach algebra.

References

- [1] Jonathan Backer, Piotr Rudnicki, and Christoph Schwarzweller. Ring ideals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):565–582, 2001.
- [2] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):433-439, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. The complex numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):507–513, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357-367, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in \mathcal{E}^2 . Formalized Mathematics, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [9] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [10] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent sequences and the limit of sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):273–275, 1990.
- [11] Jarosław Kotowicz. Partial functions from a domain to the set of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):703-709, 1990.
- [12] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [13] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [14] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):167–172, 1996.
- [15] Henryk Oryszczyszyn and Krzysztof Prażmowski. Real functions spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):555–561, 1990.
- [16] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [17] Jan Popiołek. Real normed space. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):111–115, 1991.
- [18] Yasunari Shidama. The Banach algebra of bounded linear operators. Formalized Mathematics, 12(2):103–108, 2004.
- [19] Yasunari Shidama. Banach space of bounded linear operators. Formalized Mathematics, 12(1):39–48, 2004.
- [20] Yasumasa Suzuki, Noboru Endou, and Yasunari Shidama. Banach space of absolute summable real sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):377–380, 2003.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [22] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):821–827, 1990.

YASUNARI SHIDAMA et al.

Received March 3, 2008

^[23] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
[24] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
[25] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Convex Sets and Convex Combinations on Complex Linear Spaces

Hidenori Matsuzaki Shinshu University Nagano, Japan Noboru Endou Gifu National College of Technology Japan

Yasunari Shidama Shinshu University Nagano, Japan

Summary. In this article, convex sets, convex combinations and convex hulls on complex linear spaces are introduced.

MML identifier: CONVEX4, version: 7.8.10 4.99.1005

The articles [19], [18], [9], [23], [24], [6], [25], [7], [20], [3], [22], [17], [2], [11], [8], [1], [5], [10], [14], [15], [4], [16], [21], [12], and [13] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

1. Complex Linear Combinations

Let V be a non empty zero structure. An element of $\mathbb{C}^{\text{the carrier of } V}$ is said to be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V if:

(Def. 1) There exists a finite subset T of V such that for every element v of V such that $v \notin T$ holds it(v) = 0.

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure and let L be an element of $\mathbb{C}^{\text{the carrier of }V}$. The support of L yielding a subset of V is defined by:

(Def. 2) The support of $L = \{v \in V : L(v) \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}}\}.$

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure and let L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V. One can check that the support of L is finite.

The following proposition is true

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e) (1) Let V be a non empty additive loop structure, L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V, and v be an element of V. Then $L(v) = 0_{\mathbb{C}}$ if and only if $v \notin$ the support of L.

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure. The functor $\operatorname{ZeroCLC} V$ yields a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V and is defined by:

(Def. 3) The support of ZeroCLC $V = \emptyset$.

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure. Note that the support of $\operatorname{ZeroCLC} V$ is empty.

We now state the proposition

(2) For every non empty additive loop structure V and for every element v of V holds $(\operatorname{ZeroCLC} V)(v) = 0_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure and let A be a subset of V. A \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V is said to be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A if:

(Def. 4) The support of it $\subseteq A$.

Next we state three propositions:

- (3) Let V be a non empty additive loop structure, A, B be subsets of V, and l be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A. If $A \subseteq B$, then l is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of B.
- (4) Let V be a non empty additive loop structure and A be a subset of V. Then $\operatorname{ZeroCLC} V$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A.
- (5) Let V be a non empty additive loop structure and l be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of $\emptyset_{\text{the carrier of }V}$. Then l = ZeroCLC V.

In the sequel i is a natural number.

Let V be a non empty CLS structure, let F be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of V, and let f be a function from the carrier of V into \mathbb{C} . The functor f F yields a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of V and is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) $\operatorname{len}(f F) = \operatorname{len} F$ and for every i such that $i \in \operatorname{dom}(f F)$ holds $(f F)(i) = f(F_i) \cdot F_i$.

For simplicity, we follow the rules: V denotes a non empty CLS structure, v, v_1 , v_2 , v_3 denote vectors of V, A denotes a subset of V, l denotes a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A, x denotes a set, a, b denote complex numbers, F denotes a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of V, and f denotes a function from the carrier of V into \mathbb{C} .

The following propositions are true:

- (6) If $x \in \text{dom } F$ and v = F(x), then $(f F)(x) = f(v) \cdot v$.
- (7) $f \varepsilon_{\text{(the carrier of } V)} = \varepsilon_{\text{(the carrier of } V)}.$
- (8) $f \langle v \rangle = \langle f(v) \cdot v \rangle.$
- (9) $f \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle f(v_1) \cdot v_1, f(v_2) \cdot v_2 \rangle.$

(10) $f \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle = \langle f(v_1) \cdot v_1, f(v_2) \cdot v_2, f(v_3) \cdot v_3 \rangle.$

In the sequel L, L_1, L_2, L_3 are \mathbb{C} -linear combinations of V.

Let V be an Abelian add-associative right zeroed right complementable non empty CLS structure and let L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V. The functor $\sum L$ yields an element of V and is defined by the condition (Def. 6).

(Def. 6) There exists a finite sequence F of elements of the carrier of V such that F is one-to-one and rng F = the support of L and $\sum L = \sum L F$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (11) For every Abelian add-associative right zeroed right complementable non empty CLS structure V holds $\sum \text{ZeroCLC } V = 0_V$.
- (12) Let V be a complex linear space and A be a subset of V. Suppose $A \neq \emptyset$. Then A is linearly closed if and only if for every \mathbb{C} -linear combination l of A holds $\sum l \in A$.
- (13) Let V be an Abelian add-associative right zeroed right complementable non empty CLS structure and l be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of $\emptyset_{\text{the carrier of }V}$. Then $\sum l = 0_V$.
- (14) Let V be a complex linear space, v be a vector of V, and l be a C-linear combination of $\{v\}$. Then $\sum l = l(v) \cdot v$.
- (15) Let V be a complex linear space and v_1 , v_2 be vectors of V. Suppose $v_1 \neq v_2$. Let l be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of $\{v_1, v_2\}$. Then $\sum l = l(v_1) \cdot v_1 + l(v_2) \cdot v_2$.
- (16) Let V be an Abelian add-associative right zeroed right complementable non empty CLS structure and L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V. If the support of $L = \emptyset$, then $\sum L = 0_V$.
- (17) Let V be a complex linear space, L be a C-linear combination of V, and v be a vector of V. If the support of $L = \{v\}$, then $\sum L = L(v) \cdot v$.
- (18) Let V be a complex linear space, L be a C-linear combination of V, and v_1, v_2 be vectors of V. If the support of $L = \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $v_1 \neq v_2$, then $\sum L = L(v_1) \cdot v_1 + L(v_2) \cdot v_2$.

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure and let L_1 , L_2 be \mathbb{C} -linear combinations of V. Let us observe that $L_1 = L_2$ if and only if:

(Def. 7) For every element v of V holds $L_1(v) = L_2(v)$.

Let V be a non empty additive loop structure and let L_1 , L_2 be \mathbb{C} -linear combinations of V. Then $L_1 + L_2$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 8) For every element v of V holds $(L_1 + L_2)(v) = L_1(v) + L_2(v)$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(19) The support of $L_1 + L_2 \subseteq$ (the support of $L_1) \cup$ (the support of L_2).

(20) Suppose L_1 is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A and L_2 is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A. Then $L_1 + L_2$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A.

Let us consider V, A and let L_1 , L_2 be \mathbb{C} -linear combinations of A. Then $L_1 + L_2$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A.

The following three propositions are true:

- (21) For every non empty additive loop structure V and for all \mathbb{C} -linear combinations L_1 , L_2 of V holds $L_1 + L_2 = L_2 + L_1$.
- (22) $L_1 + (L_2 + L_3) = (L_1 + L_2) + L_3.$
- (23) $L + \operatorname{ZeroCLC} V = L.$

Let us consider V, a and let us consider L. The functor $a \cdot L$ yielding a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V is defined as follows:

(Def. 9) For every v holds $(a \cdot L)(v) = a \cdot L(v)$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (24) If $a \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}}$, then the support of $a \cdot L$ = the support of L.
- (25) $0_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot L = \operatorname{ZeroCLC} V.$
- (26) If L is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A, then $a \cdot L$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A.
- (27) $(a+b) \cdot L = a \cdot L + b \cdot L.$
- (28) $a \cdot (L_1 + L_2) = a \cdot L_1 + a \cdot L_2.$
- (29) $a \cdot (b \cdot L) = (a \cdot b) \cdot L.$
- $(30) \quad 1_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot L = L.$

Let us consider V, L. The functor -L yielding a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V is defined as follows:

(Def. 10) $-L = (-1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot L$.

We now state three propositions:

- (31) (-L)(v) = -L(v).
- (32) If $L_1 + L_2 = \text{ZeroCLC } V$, then $L_2 = -L_1$.
- $(33) \quad --L = L.$

Let us consider V and let us consider L_1 , L_2 . The functor $L_1 - L_2$ yields a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V and is defined by:

(Def. 11) $L_1 - L_2 = L_1 + -L_2$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (34) $(L_1 L_2)(v) = L_1(v) L_2(v).$
- (35) The support of $L_1 L_2 \subseteq$ (the support of L_1) \cup (the support of L_2).
- (36) Suppose L_1 is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A and L_2 is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A. Then $L_1 L_2$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of A.
- (37) $L L = \operatorname{ZeroCLC} V.$

Let us consider V. The functor \mathbb{C} -LinComb V yields a set and is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) $x \in \mathbb{C}$ -LinComb V iff x is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V.

Let us consider V. One can verify that \mathbb{C} -LinComb V is non empty.

In the sequel e, e_1, e_2 denote elements of \mathbb{C} -LinComb V.

- Let us consider V and let us consider e. The functor [@]e yields a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V and is defined as follows:
- (Def. 13) $^{@}e = e$.

Let us consider V and let us consider L. The functor [@]L yielding an element of \mathbb{C} -LinComb V is defined by:

(Def. 14) $^{@}L = L.$

Let us consider V. The functor \mathbb{C} -LCAdd V yields a binary operation on \mathbb{C} -LinComb V and is defined by:

(Def. 15) For all e_1 , e_2 holds $(\mathbb{C}\text{-LCAdd }V)(e_1, e_2) = ({}^{\textcircled{0}}e_1) + {}^{\textcircled{0}}e_2$.

Let us consider V. The functor \mathbb{C} -LCMult V yields a function from $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ -LinComb V into \mathbb{C} -LinComb V and is defined as follows:

(Def. 16) For all a, e holds $(\mathbb{C}$ -LCMult $V)(\langle a, e \rangle) = a \cdot (^{@}e)$.

Let us consider V. The functor LC-CLSpace V yielding a complex linear space is defined by:

- - (38) $L_1^{\mathrm{LC-CLSpace}\,V} + L_2^{\mathrm{LC-CLSpace}\,V} = L_1 + L_2.$
 - (39) $a \cdot L^{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{C}\text{-}\mathrm{CLSpace}\,V} = a \cdot L.$
 - (40) $-L^{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{C}\text{-}\mathrm{CLSpace}\,V} = -L.$
 - (41) $L_1^{\mathrm{LC-CLSpace}\,V} L_2^{\mathrm{LC-CLSpace}\,V} = L_1 L_2.$

Let us consider V and let us consider A. The functor L \mathbb{C} -CLSpace A yielding a strict subspace of L \mathbb{C} -CLSpace V is defined as follows:

(Def. 18) The carrier of LC-CLSpace $A = \{l\}$.

2. Preliminaries for Complex Convex Sets

Let V be a complex linear space and let W be a subspace of V. The functor Up(W) yields a subset of V and is defined by:

(Def. 19) Up(W) = the carrier of W.

Let V be a complex linear space and let W be a subspace of V. One can check that Up(W) is non empty.

Let V be a non empty CLS structure and let S be a subset of V. We say that S is affine if and only if the condition (Def. 20) is satisfied.

(Def. 20) Let x, y be vectors of V and z be a complex number. If there exists a real number a such that a = z and $x, y \in S$, then $(1_{\mathbb{C}} - z) \cdot x + z \cdot y \in S$.

Let V be a complex linear space. The functor Ω_V yields a strict subspace of V and is defined as follows:

(Def. 21) Ω_V = the CLS structure of V.

Let V be a non empty CLS structure. Observe that Ω_V is affine and \emptyset_V is affine.

Let V be a non empty CLS structure. One can check that there exists a subset of V which is non empty and affine and there exists a subset of V which is empty and affine.

We now state three propositions:

- (42) For every real number a and for every complex number z holds $\Re(a \cdot z) = a \cdot \Re(z)$.
- (43) For every real number a and for every complex number z holds $\Im(a \cdot z) = a \cdot \Im(z)$.
- (44) For every real number a and for every complex number z such that $0 \le a \le 1$ holds $|a \cdot z| = a \cdot |z|$ and $|(1_{\mathbb{C}} a) \cdot z| = (1_{\mathbb{C}} a) \cdot |z|$.

3. Complex Convex Sets

Let V be a non empty CLS structure, let M be a subset of V, and let r be an element of \mathbb{C} . The functor $r \cdot M$ yielding a subset of V is defined by:

(Def. 22) $r \cdot M = \{r \cdot v; v \text{ ranges over elements of } V: v \in M\}.$

Let V be a non empty CLS structure and let M be a subset of V. We say that M is convex if and only if the condition (Def. 23) is satisfied.

(Def. 23) Let u, v be vectors of V and z be a complex number. Suppose there exists a real number r such that z = r and 0 < r < 1 and $u, v \in M$. Then $z \cdot u + (1_{\mathbb{C}} - z) \cdot v \in M$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (45) Let V be a complex linear space-like non empty CLS structure, M be a subset of V, and z be a complex number. If M is convex, then $z \cdot M$ is convex.
- (46) Let V be an Abelian add-associative complex linear space-like non empty CLS structure and M, N be subsets of V. If M is convex and N is convex, then M + N is convex.
- (47) Let V be a complex linear space and M, N be subsets of V. If M is convex and N is convex, then M N is convex.

- (48) Let V be a non empty CLS structure and M be a subset of V. Then M is convex if and only if for every complex number z such that there exists a real number r such that z = r and 0 < r < 1 holds $z \cdot M + (1_{\mathbb{C}} z) \cdot M \subseteq M$.
- (49) Let V be an Abelian non empty CLS structure and M be a subset of V. Suppose M is convex. Let z be a complex number. If there exists a real number r such that z = r and 0 < r < 1, then $(1_{\mathbb{C}} - z) \cdot M + z \cdot M \subseteq M$.
- (50) Let V be an Abelian add-associative complex linear space-like non empty CLS structure and M, N be subsets of V. Suppose M is convex and N is convex. Let z be a complex number. If there exists a real number r such that z = r, then $z \cdot M + (1_{\mathbb{C}} z) \cdot N$ is convex.
- (51) For every complex linear space-like non empty CLS structure V and for every subset M of V holds $1_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot M = M$.
- (52) For every complex linear space V and for every non empty subset M of V holds $0_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot M = \{0_V\}$.
- (53) For every add-associative non empty additive loop structure V and for all subsets M_1 , M_2 , M_3 of V holds $(M_1 + M_2) + M_3 = M_1 + (M_2 + M_3)$.
- (54) Let V be a complex linear space-like non empty CLS structure, M be a subset of V, and z_1, z_2 be complex numbers. Then $z_1 \cdot (z_2 \cdot M) = (z_1 \cdot z_2) \cdot M$.
- (55) Let V be a complex linear space-like non empty CLS structure, M_1 , M_2 be subsets of V, and z be a complex number. Then $z \cdot (M_1 + M_2) = z \cdot M_1 + z \cdot M_2$.
- (56) Let V be a complex linear space, M be a subset of V, and v be a vector of V. Then M is convex if and only if v + M is convex.
- (57) For every complex linear space V holds $Up(\mathbf{0}_V)$ is convex.
- (58) For every complex linear space V holds $Up(\Omega_V)$ is convex.
- (59) For every non empty CLS structure V and for every subset M of V such that $M = \emptyset$ holds M is convex.
- (60) Let V be an Abelian add-associative complex linear space-like non empty CLS structure, M_1 , M_2 be subsets of V, and z_1 , z_2 be complex numbers. If M_1 is convex and M_2 is convex, then $z_1 \cdot M_1 + z_2 \cdot M_2$ is convex.
- (61) Let V be a complex linear space-like non empty CLS structure, M be a subset of V, and z_1, z_2 be complex numbers. Then $(z_1 + z_2) \cdot M \subseteq z_1 \cdot M + z_2 \cdot M$.
- (62) Let V be a non empty CLS structure, M, N be subsets of V, and z be a complex number. If $M \subseteq N$, then $z \cdot M \subseteq z \cdot N$.
- (63) For every non empty CLS structure V and for every empty subset M of V and for every complex number z holds $z \cdot M = \emptyset$.
- (64) Let V be a non empty additive loop structure, M be an empty subset of V, and N be a subset of V. Then $M + N = \emptyset$.

- (65) For every right zeroed non empty additive loop structure V and for every subset M of V holds $M + \{0_V\} = M$.
- (66) Let V be a complex linear space, M be a subset of V, and z_1 , z_2 be complex numbers. Suppose there exist real numbers r_1 , r_2 such that $z_1 = r_1$ and $z_2 = r_2$ and $r_1 \ge 0$ and $r_2 \ge 0$ and M is convex. Then $z_1 \cdot M + z_2 \cdot M = (z_1 + z_2) \cdot M$.
- (67) Let V be an Abelian add-associative complex linear space-like non empty CLS structure, M_1 , M_2 , M_3 be subsets of V, and z_1 , z_2 , z_3 be complex numbers. If M_1 is convex and M_2 is convex and M_3 is convex, then $z_1 \cdot M_1 + z_2 \cdot M_2 + z_3 \cdot M_3$ is convex.
- (68) Let V be a non empty CLS structure and F be a family of subsets of V. Suppose that for every subset M of V such that $M \in F$ holds M is convex. Then $\bigcap F$ is convex.
- (69) For every non empty CLS structure V and for every subset M of V such that M is affine holds M is convex.

Let V be a non empty CLS structure. One can check that there exists a subset of V which is non empty and convex.

Let V be a non empty CLS structure. Observe that there exists a subset of V which is empty and convex.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (70) Let V be a complex unitary space-like non empty complex unitary space structure, M be a subset of V, v be a vector of V, and r be a real number. If $M = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vectors of } V \colon \Re((u|v)) \ge r\}$, then M is convex.
- (71) Let V be a complex unitary space-like non empty complex unitary space structure, M be a subset of V, v be a vector of V, and r be a real number. If $M = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vectors of } V \colon \Re((u|v)) > r\}$, then M is convex.
- (72) Let V be a complex unitary space-like non empty complex unitary space structure, M be a subset of V, v be a vector of V, and r be a real number. If $M = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vectors of } V \colon \Re((u|v)) \leq r\}$, then M is convex.
- (73) Let V be a complex unitary space-like non empty complex unitary space structure, M be a subset of V, v be a vector of V, and r be a real number. If $M = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vectors of } V \colon \Re((u|v)) < r\}$, then M is convex.
- (74) Let V be a complex unitary space-like non empty complex unitary space structure, M be a subset of V, v be a vector of V, and r be a real number. If $M = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vectors of } V: \Im((u|v)) \ge r\}$, then M is convex.
- (75) Let V be a complex unitary space-like non empty complex unitary space structure, M be a subset of V, v be a vector of V, and r be a real number. If $M = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vectors of } V: \Im((u|v)) > r\}$, then M is convex.
- (76) Let V be a complex unitary space-like non empty complex unitary space structure, M be a subset of V, v be a vector of V, and r be a real number.

If $M = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vectors of } V: \Im((u|v)) \leq r\}$, then M is convex.

- (77) Let V be a complex unitary space-like non empty complex unitary space structure, M be a subset of V, v be a vector of V, and r be a real number. If $M = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vectors of } V : \Im((u|v)) < r\}$, then M is convex.
- (78) Let V be a complex unitary space-like non empty complex unitary space structure, M be a subset of V, v be a vector of V, and r be a real number. If $M = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vectors of } V: |(u|v)| \leq r\}$, then M is convex.
- (79) Let V be a complex unitary space-like non empty complex unitary space structure, M be a subset of V, v be a vector of V, and r be a real number. If $M = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vectors of } V: |(u|v)| < r\}$, then M is convex.

4. Complex Convex Combinations

Let V be a complex linear space and let L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V. We say that L is convex if and only if the condition (Def. 24) is satisfied.

- (Def. 24) There exists a finite sequence F of elements of the carrier of V such that
 - (i) F is one-to-one,
 - (ii) $\operatorname{rng} F = \operatorname{the support of } L$, and
 - (iii) there exists a finite sequence f of elements of \mathbb{R} such that len $f = \operatorname{len} F$ and $\sum f = 1$ and for every natural number n such that $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ holds f(n) = L(F(n)) and $f(n) \ge 0$.

We now state several propositions:

- (80) Let V be a complex linear space and L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V. If L is convex, then the support of $L \neq \emptyset$.
- (81) Let V be a complex linear space, L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V, and v be a vector of V. Suppose L is convex and there exists a real number r such that r = L(v) and $r \leq 0$. Then $v \notin$ the support of L.
- (82) For every complex linear space V and for every \mathbb{C} -linear combination L of V such that L is convex holds $L \neq \text{ZeroCLC } V$.
- (83) Let V be a complex linear space, v be a vector of V, and L be a Clinear combination of V. Suppose L is convex and the support of $L = \{v\}$. Then there exists a real number r such that r = L(v) and r = 1 and $\sum L = L(v) \cdot v$.
- (84) Let V be a complex linear space, v_1 , v_2 be vectors of V, and L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V. Suppose L is convex and the support of $L = \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $v_1 \neq v_2$. Then there exist real numbers r_1 , r_2 such that $r_1 = L(v_1)$ and $r_2 = L(v_2)$ and $r_1 + r_2 = 1$ and $r_1 \geq 0$ and $r_2 \geq 0$ and $\sum L = L(v_1) \cdot v_1 + L(v_2) \cdot v_2$.

- (85) Let V be a complex linear space, v_1 , v_2 , v_3 be vectors of V, and L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of V. Suppose L is convex and the support of $L = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $v_1 \neq v_2 \neq v_3 \neq v_1$. Then
 - (i) there exist real numbers r_1 , r_2 , r_3 such that $r_1 = L(v_1)$ and $r_2 = L(v_2)$ and $r_3 = L(v_3)$ and $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = 1$ and $r_1 \ge 0$ and $r_2 \ge 0$ and $r_3 \ge 0$, and
- (ii) $\sum L = L(v_1) \cdot v_1 + L(v_2) \cdot v_2 + L(v_3) \cdot v_3.$
- (86) Let V be a complex linear space, v be a vector of V, and L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of $\{v\}$. Suppose L is convex. Then there exists a real number r such that r = L(v) and r = 1 and $\sum L = L(v) \cdot v$.
- (87) Let V be a complex linear space, v_1 , v_2 be vectors of V, and L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of $\{v_1, v_2\}$. Suppose $v_1 \neq v_2$ and L is convex. Then there exist real numbers r_1 , r_2 such that $r_1 = L(v_1)$ and $r_2 = L(v_2)$ and $r_1 \geq 0$ and $r_2 \geq 0$ and $\sum L = L(v_1) \cdot v_1 + L(v_2) \cdot v_2$.
- (88) Let V be a complex linear space, v_1 , v_2 , v_3 be vectors of V, and L be a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Suppose $v_1 \neq v_2 \neq v_3 \neq v_1$ and L is convex. Then
 - (i) there exist real numbers r_1 , r_2 , r_3 such that $r_1 = L(v_1)$ and $r_2 = L(v_2)$ and $r_3 = L(v_3)$ and $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = 1$ and $r_1 \ge 0$ and $r_2 \ge 0$ and $r_3 \ge 0$, and
 - (ii) $\sum L = L(v_1) \cdot v_1 + L(v_2) \cdot v_2 + L(v_3) \cdot v_3.$

5. Complex Convex Hull

Let V be a non empty CLS structure and let M be a subset of V. The functor Convex-Family M yielding a family of subsets of V is defined by:

(Def. 25) For every subset N of V holds $N \in \text{Convex-Family } M$ iff N is convex and $M \subseteq N$.

Let V be a non empty CLS structure and let M be a subset of V. The functor conv M yielding a convex subset of V is defined as follows:

(Def. 26) $\operatorname{conv} M = \bigcap \operatorname{Convex-Family} M.$

The following proposition is true

(89) Let V be a non empty CLS structure, M be a subset of V, and N be a convex subset of V. If $M \subseteq N$, then conv $M \subseteq N$.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
 Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990.

- Czesław Byliński. The complex numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):507–513, 1990.
- [6]Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55-65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990. [8]
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47-53, 1990.
- [10] Čzesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):661-668, 1990.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [12] Noboru Endou. Complex linear space and complex normed space. Formalized Mathematics, 12(2):93-102, 2004.
- [13] Noboru Endou. Complex linear space of complex sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 12(2):109–117, 2004.
- [14] Noboru Endou, Takashi Mitsuishi, and Yasunari Shidama. Dimension of real unitary space. Formalized Mathematics, 11(1):23-28, 2003.
- [15] Noboru Endou, Takashi Mitsuishi, and Yasunari Shidama. Topology of real unitary space. Formalized Mathematics, 11(1):33–38, 2003.
- [16] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35-40, 1990.
- [17]Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):115-122, 1990.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):495-500, 1990.
- [21] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Linear combinations in real linear space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(**3**):581–588, 1990.
- [22] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):291–296, 1990. Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [23]
- Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, [24]1(1):73-83, 1990.
- [25] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received March 3, 2008

Inner Products, Group, Ring of Quaternion Numbers

Fuguo Ge Qingdao University of Science and Technology China

Summary. In this article, we define the division of the quaternion numbers, we also give the definition of inner products, group, ring of the quaternion numbers, and we prove some of their properties.

MML identifier: QUATERN2, version: 7.8.10 4.100.1011

The articles [9], [1], [3], [4], [6], [5], [2], [7], and [8] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

We use the following convention: q, r, c, c_1, c_2, c_3 are quaternion numbers and $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$ are elements of \mathbb{R} .

 $0_{\mathbb{H}}$ is an element of \mathbb{H} .

 $1_{\mathbb{H}}$ is an element of \mathbb{H} .

Next we state several propositions:

(1) For all real numbers x, y, z, w holds $\langle x, y, z, w \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = x + y \cdot i + z \cdot j + w \cdot k$.

- (2) $(c_1 + c_2) + c_3 = c_1 + (c_2 + c_3).$
- $(3) \quad c+0_{\mathbb{H}}=c.$

(4) $-\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \langle -x_1, -x_2, -x_3, -x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$

(5)
$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} - \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \langle x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, x_3 - y_3, x_4 - y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

(6)
$$(c_1 - c_2) + c_3 = (c_1 + c_3) - c_2.$$

(7)
$$c_1 = (c_1 + c_2) - c_2$$
.

(8)
$$c_1 = (c_1 - c_2) + c_2$$
.

 $(9) \quad (-x_1) \cdot c = -x_1 \cdot c.$

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e) Let us consider q. Then |q| is an element of \mathbb{R} . *i* Is an element of \mathbb{H} .

We now state a number of propositions:

(10) If $r \neq 0$, then |r| > 0.

- (11) (0) $\cdot c = 0.$
- (12) $c \cdot (0) = 0.$
- (13) $c \cdot 1_{\mathbb{H}} = c.$
- (14) $1_{\mathbb{H}} \cdot c = c.$
- (15) $(c_1 \cdot c_2) \cdot c_3 = c_1 \cdot (c_2 \cdot c_3).$
- (16) $c_1 \cdot (c_2 + c_3) = c_1 \cdot c_2 + c_1 \cdot c_3.$
- (17) $(c_1 + c_2) \cdot c_3 = c_1 \cdot c_3 + c_2 \cdot c_3.$
- $(18) \quad -c = (-1_{\mathbb{H}}) \cdot c.$
- (19) $(-c_1) \cdot c_2 = -c_1 \cdot c_2.$
- (20) $c_1 \cdot -c_2 = -c_1 \cdot c_2.$
- $(21) \quad (-c_1) \cdot -c_2 = c_1 \cdot c_2.$
- (22) $(c_1 c_2) \cdot c_3 = c_1 \cdot c_3 c_2 \cdot c_3.$
- (23) $c_1 \cdot (c_2 c_3) = c_1 \cdot c_2 c_1 \cdot c_3.$
- (24) $\overline{\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}} = \langle x_1, -x_2, -x_3, -x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$

(25)
$$\overline{\overline{c}} = c$$

Let us consider q, r. The functor $\frac{q}{r}$ is defined by the condition (Def. 1).

(Def. 1) There exist elements q_0 , q_1 , q_2 , q_3 , r_0 , r_1 , r_2 , r_3 of \mathbb{R} such that

(i) $q = \langle q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}},$ (ii) $r = \langle r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}},$ and (iii) $\frac{q}{r} = \langle \frac{r_0 \cdot q_0 + r_1 \cdot q_1 + r_2 \cdot q_2 + r_3 \cdot q_3}{|r|^2}, \frac{(r_0 \cdot q_1 - r_1 \cdot q_0 - r_2 \cdot q_3) + r_3 \cdot q_2}{|r|^2}, \frac{(r_0 \cdot q_2 + r_1 \cdot q_3) - r_2 \cdot q_0 - r_3 \cdot q_1}{|r|^2},$ $\frac{((r_0 \cdot q_3 - r_1 \cdot q_2) + r_2 \cdot q_1) - r_3 \cdot q_0}{|r|^2} \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$

Let us consider q, r. One can check that $\frac{q}{r}$ is quaternion.

Let us consider q, r. Then $\frac{q}{r}$ is an element of \mathbb{H} and it can be characterized by the condition:

 $(\text{Def. 2}) \quad \frac{q}{r} = \frac{\Re(r)\cdot\Re(q) + \Im_1(q)\cdot\Im_1(r) + \Im_2(r)\cdot\Im_2(q) + \Im_3(r)\cdot\Im_3(q)}{|r|^2} + \\ \frac{(\Re(r)\cdot\Im_1(q) - \Im_1(r)\cdot\Re(q) - \Im_2(r)\cdot\Im_3(q)) + \Im_3(r)\cdot\Im_2(q)}{|r|^2} \cdot i + \\ \frac{(\Re(r)\cdot\Im_2(q) + \Im_1(r)\cdot\Im_3(q)) - \Im_2(r)\cdot\Re(q) - \Im_3(r)\cdot\Im_1(q)}{|r|^2} \cdot j + \\ \frac{((\Re(r)\cdot\Im_3(q) - \Im_1(r)\cdot\Im_2(q)) + \Im_2(r)\cdot\Im_1(q)) - \Im_3(r)\cdot\Re(q)}{|r|^2} \cdot k.$

Let us consider c. The functor c^{-1} yielding a quaternion number is defined by:

(Def. 3) $c^{-1} = \frac{1_{\mathbb{H}}}{c}$.

Let us consider r. Then r^{-1} is an element of \mathbb{H} and it can be characterized by the condition:

$$(\text{Def. 4}) \quad r^{-1} = \frac{\Re(r)}{|r|^2} - \frac{\Im_1(r)}{|r|^2} \cdot i - \frac{\Im_1(r)}{|r|^2} \cdot j - \frac{\Im_1(r)}{|r|^2} \cdot k. \\ \text{We now state several propositions:} \\ (26) \quad \Re(r^{-1}) = -\frac{\Im_1(r)}{|r|^2} \text{ and } \Im_1(r^{-1}) = -\frac{\Im_1(r)}{|r|^2} \text{ and } \Im_2(r^{-1}) = -\frac{\Im_2(r)}{|r|^2} \text{ and } \Im_3(r^{-1}) = -\frac{\Im_3(r)}{|r|^2} \text{ and } \Im_3(r^{-1}) = -\frac{\Im_1(r)}{|r|^2} (r) \Im_2(r) \Im_2(r) \Im_3(r) \Im_3(r) \Im_3(r) \\ (27)(i) \quad \Re(\frac{q}{r}) = \frac{(\Re(r) \Im_1(q) \oplus_1(r) \Im_2(q) \oplus_2(r) \Im_3(q)) \oplus_3(r) \Im_3(q)}{|r|^2}, \\ (27)(i) \quad \Re(\frac{q}{r}) = \frac{(\Re(r) \Im_2(q) \oplus_1(r) \Im_2(q) \oplus_1(2r) \Im_3(q)) \oplus_3(r) \Im_3(q)}{|r|^2}, \\ (ii) \quad \Im_1(\frac{q}{r}) = \frac{(\Re(r) \Im_2(q) \oplus_1(r) \Im_2(q) \oplus_1(2r) \Im_3(q)) \oplus_3(r) \Im_3(q)}{|r|^2}, \\ (28) \quad \text{ If } r \neq 0, \text{ then } r \cdot r^{-1} = 1. \\ (29) \quad \text{ If } r \neq 0, \text{ then } r \cdot r^{-1} = 1. \\ (30) \quad \text{ If } c \neq 0 \oplus_{\mathbb{H}}, \text{ then } \frac{r}{c} = 1_{\mathbb{H}}. \\ (31) \quad (-c)^{-1} = -c^{-1}. \\ \text{ The unary operation complified on \mathbb{H} is defined by: \\ (\text{Def. 5}) \quad \text{ For eall elements } c_1, c_2 \text{ of } \mathbb{H} \text{ holds } m(r) \otimes_3: \\ (\text{Def. 6}) \quad \text{ For all elements } c_1, c_2 \text{ of } \mathbb{H} \text{ holds } m(r) \otimes_3: \\ (\text{Def. 7}) \quad \text{ For all elements } c_1, c_2 \text{ of } \mathbb{H} \text{ holds } m(r) \otimes_3: \\ (\text{Def. 8}) \quad \text{ For all elements } c_1, c_2 \text{ of } \mathbb{H} \text{ holds } m(r) \otimes_3: \\ (\text{Def. 8}) \quad \text{ For all elements } c_1, c_2 \text{ of } \mathbb{H} \text{ holds } m(r) \otimes_3: \\ (\text{Def. 9}) \quad \text{ For all elements } c_1, c_2 \text{ of } \mathbb{H} \text{ holds } m(r) \otimes_3: \\ (\text{Def. 9}) \quad \text{ For all elements } c_1, c_2 \text{ of } \mathbb{H} \text{ holds } m(r) \otimes_3: \\ (\text{Def. 9}) \quad \text{ For every element } c \text{ of } \mathbb{H} \text{ holds } m(r) \otimes_3: \\ (\text{Def. 9}) \quad \text{ For all elements } c_1, c_2 \text{ of } \mathbb{H} \text{ holds } m(r) \otimes_3: \\ (\text{Def. 10}) \quad \text{ For eavery element } \sigma \oplus_1: \text{ defined } \text{ as follows:} \\ (\text{Def. 10}) \quad \text{ For eavery element } \sigma \oplus_1: \text{ defined } \text{ follows:} \\ (\text{Def. 10}) \quad \text{ For eavery element } \sigma \oplus_1: \text{ defined } \text{ defined } \text{ sollows:} \\ (\text{Def. 10}) \quad \text{ For eavery elemond$$

Let x, y be elements of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ and let a, b be quaternion numbers. One can verify that x - y and a - b can be identified when x = a and y = b.

Next we state the proposition

(33) For all elements x, y, z of \mathbb{H}_{G} holds x + y = y + x and (x + y) + z = x + (y + z) and $x + 0_{\mathbb{H}_{G}} = x$.

The strict double loop structure $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) The carrier of $\mathbb{H}_{R} = \mathbb{H}$ and the addition of $\mathbb{H}_{R} = +_{\mathbb{H}}$ and the multiplication of $\mathbb{H}_{R} = \cdot_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $1_{\mathbb{H}_{R}} = 1_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $0_{\mathbb{H}_{R}} = 0_{\mathbb{H}}$.

Let us note that \mathbb{H}_{R} is non empty.

Let us observe that every element of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is quaternion.

Let a, b be quaternion numbers and let x, y be elements of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$. One can check the following observations: x + y can be identified with a + b and $x \cdot y$ can be identified with $a \cdot b$ when x = a and y = b.

One can check that \mathbb{H}_{R} is well unital.

Next we state three propositions:

- $(34) \quad 1_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{R}}} = 1_{\mathbb{H}}.$
- $(35) \quad \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{R}}} = 1_{\mathbb{H}}.$
- $(36) \quad 0_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{R}}} = 0_{\mathbb{H}}.$

Let us mention that \mathbb{H}_{R} is add-associative, right zeroed, right complementable, Abelian, associative, left unital, right unital, distributive, almost right invertible, and non degenerated.

Let x be an element of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let a be a quaternion number. Observe that -x and -a can be identified when x = a.

Let x, y be elements of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let a, b be quaternion numbers. Observe that x - y and a - b can be identified when x = a and y = b.

Let z be an element of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then \overline{z} is an element of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

In the sequel z denotes an element of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{R}}$.

The following propositions are true:

- $(37) \quad -z = (-\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{B}}}) \cdot z.$
- (38) $\overline{0_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{R}}}} = 0_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{R}}}.$
- (39) If $\overline{z} = 0_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{R}}}$, then $z = 0_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{R}}}$.
- (40) $\overline{1_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{R}}}} = 1_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{R}}}.$
- (41) $|0_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}}| = 0.$
- (42) If |z| = 0, then $z = 0_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{B}}}$.
- (43) $|1_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{R}}}| = 1.$
- (44) $(1_{\mathbb{H}_{R}})^{-1} = 1_{\mathbb{H}_{R}}.$

Let x, y be quaternion numbers. The functor (x|y) yielding an element of \mathbb{H} is defined as follows:

(Def. 13)
$$(x|y) = x \cdot \overline{y}$$
.

The following propositions are true:

- $\begin{array}{ll} (45) & (c_1|c_2) = \langle \Re(c_1) \cdot \Re(c_2) + \Im_1(c_1) \cdot \Im_1(c_2) + \Im_2(c_1) \cdot \Im_2(c_2) + \Im_3(c_1) \cdot \\ & \Im_3(c_2), ((\Re(c_1) \cdot -\Im_1(c_2) + \Im_1(c_1) \cdot \Re(c_2)) \Im_2(c_1) \cdot \Im_3(c_2)) + \Im_3(c_1) \cdot \\ & \Im_2(c_2), ((\Re(c_1) \cdot -\Im_2(c_2) + \Re(c_2) \cdot \Im_2(c_1)) \Im_1(c_2) \cdot \Im_3(c_1)) + \Im_3(c_2) \cdot \\ & \Im_1(c_1), ((\Re(c_1) \cdot -\Im_3(c_2) + \Im_3(c_1) \cdot \Re(c_2)) \Im_1(c_1) \cdot \Im_2(c_2)) + \Im_2(c_1) \cdot \Im_1(c_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}. \end{array}$
- (46) $(c|c) = |c|^2$.
- (47) $\Re((c|c)) = |c|^2$ and $\Im_1((c|c)) = 0$ and $\Im_2((c|c)) = 0$ and $\Im_2((c|c)) = 0$.
- $(48) \quad |(c_1|c_2)| = |c_1| \cdot |c_2|.$
- (49) If (c|c) = 0, then c = 0.
- (50) $((c_1 + c_2)|c_3) = (c_1|c_3) + (c_2|c_3).$
- (51) $(c_1|(c_2+c_3)) = (c_1|c_2) + (c_1|c_3).$
- $(52) \quad ((-c_1)|c_2) = -(c_1|c_2).$
- $(53) \quad -(c_1|c_2) = (c_1|-c_2).$
- $(54) \quad ((-c_1)|-c_2) = (c_1|c_2).$
- (55) $((c_1 c_2)|c_3) = (c_1|c_3) (c_2|c_3).$
- $(56) \quad (c_1|(c_2-c_3)) = (c_1|c_2) (c_1|c_3).$
- (57) $((c_1+c_2)|(c_1+c_2)) = (c_1|c_1) + (c_1|c_2) + (c_2|c_1) + (c_2|c_2).$

(58)
$$((c_1 - c_2)|(c_1 - c_2)) = ((c_1|c_1) - (c_1|c_2) - (c_2|c_1)) + (c_2|c_2).$$

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [4] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [5] Xiquan Liang and Fuguo Ge. The quaternion numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 14(4):161–169, 2006.
- [6] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):445-449, 1990.
- [7] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):821-827, 1990.
- [8] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [9] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

Received March 18, 2008

Several Higher Differentiation Formulas of Special Functions

Junjie Zhao Qingdao University of Science and Technology China Xiquan Liang Qingdao University of Science and Technology China

Li Yan Qingdao University of Science and Technology China

Summary. In this paper, we proved some basic properties of higher differentiation, and higher differentiation formulas of special functions [4].

 $\rm MML$ identifier: <code>HFDIFF_1</code>, version: 7.8.10 4.100.1011

The notation and terminology used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [16], [13], [2], [3], [5], [1], [7], [9], [12], [10], [8], [18], [14], [11], [6], [15], and [17].

For simplicity, we use the following convention: x, r, a, x_0, p are real numbers, n, i, m are elements of \mathbb{N}, Z is an open subset of \mathbb{R} , and f, f_1, f_2 are partial functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} .

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (1) For every function f from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} holds dom $(f \upharpoonright Z) = Z$.
- (2) $(-f_1) f_2 = f_1 f_2.$
- (3) If $n \ge 1$, then dom $(\frac{1}{\square^n}) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $(\square^n)^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$.
- (4) $(r \cdot p) \frac{1}{\Box^n} = r \left(p \frac{1}{\Box^n} \right).$
- (5) For all elements n, m of \mathbb{R} holds n f + m f = (n + m) f.
- (6) If $f \upharpoonright Z$ is differentiable on Z, then f is differentiable on Z.

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

- (7) If $n \ge 1$ and f is differentiable n times on Z, then f is differentiable on Z.
- (8) \square^n is differentiable on \mathbb{R} .
- (9) If $x \in Z$, then (the function $\sin)'(Z)(2)(x) = -\sin x$.
- (10) If $x \in Z$, then (the function $\sin)'(Z)(3)(x) = -\cos x$.
- (11) If $x \in Z$, then (the function $\sin'(Z)(n)(x) = \sin(x + \frac{n \cdot \pi}{2})$.
- (12) If $x \in Z$, then (the function $\cos'(Z)(2)(x) = -\cos x$.
- (13) If $x \in Z$, then (the function $\cos^{\prime}(Z)(3)(x) = \sin x$.
- (14) If $x \in Z$, then (the function $\cos^{\prime}(Z)(n)(x) = \cos(x + \frac{n \cdot \pi}{2})$.
- (15) If f_1 is differentiable *n* times on *Z* and f_2 is differentiable *n* times on *Z*, then $(f_1 + f_2)'(Z)(n) = f_1'(Z)(n) + f_2'(Z)(n)$.
- (16) If f_1 is differentiable *n* times on *Z* and f_2 is differentiable *n* times on *Z*, then $(f_1 f_2)'(Z)(n) = f_1'(Z)(n) f_2'(Z)(n)$.
- (17) If f_1 is differentiable n times on Z and f_2 is differentiable n times on Z and $i \leq n$, then $(f_1 + f_2)'(Z)(i) = f_1'(Z)(i) + f_2'(Z)(i)$.
- (18) If f_1 is differentiable *n* times on *Z* and f_2 is differentiable *n* times on *Z* and $i \leq n$, then $(f_1 f_2)'(Z)(i) = f_1'(Z)(i) f_2'(Z)(i)$.
- (19) If f_1 is differentiable *n* times on *Z* and f_2 is differentiable *n* times on *Z*, then $f_1 + f_2$ is differentiable *n* times on *Z*.
- (20) If f_1 is differentiable *n* times on *Z* and f_2 is differentiable *n* times on *Z*, then $f_1 f_2$ is differentiable *n* times on *Z*.
- (21) If f is differentiable n times on Z, then (r f)'(Z)(n) = r f'(Z)(n).
- (22) If f is differentiable n times on Z, then r f is differentiable n times on Z.
- (23) If f is differentiable on Z, then $f'(Z)(1) = f'_{\uparrow Z}$.
- (24) If $n \ge 1$ and f is differentiable n times on Z, then $f'(Z)(1) = f'_{1Z}$.
- (25) If $x \in Z$, then $(r \text{ (the function sin)})'(Z)(n)(x) = r \cdot \sin(x + \frac{n \cdot \pi}{2})$.
- (26) If $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $(r \text{ (the function } \cos))'(\mathbb{Z})(n)(x) = r \cdot \cos(x + \frac{n \cdot \pi}{2}).$
- (27) If $x \in Z$, then $(r \text{ (the function exp)})'(Z)(n)(x) = r \cdot \exp x$.
- $(28) \quad (\square^n)'_{\upharpoonright Z} = (n \, (\square^{n-1})) \upharpoonright Z.$
- (29) If $x \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{\square^n}$ is differentiable in x and $(\frac{1}{\square^n})'(x) = -\frac{n \cdot x^{n-1}}{(x^n)^2}$.
- (30) If $n \ge 1$, then $(\Box^n)'(Z)(2) = ((n \cdot (n-1))(\Box^{n-2})) \upharpoonright Z$.
- (31) If $n \ge 2$, then $(\Box^n)'(Z)(3) = ((n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2))(\Box^{n-3})) \upharpoonright Z$.
- (32) If n > m, then $(\Box^n)'(Z)(m) = ((\binom{n}{m} \cdot m!)(\Box^{n-m})) \upharpoonright Z$.
- (33) If f is differentiable n times on Z, then (-f)'(Z)(n) = -f'(Z)(n) and -f is differentiable n times on Z.
- (34) If $x_0 \in Z$, then (Taylor(the function $\sin, Z, x_0, x)$)(n) =

$$\frac{\frac{\sin(x_0+\frac{n\cdot\pi}{2})\cdot(x-x_0)^n}{n!}}{\frac{\cos(x_0+\frac{n\cdot\pi}{2})\cdot(x-x_0)^n}{n!}}$$
 and (Taylor(the function $\cos, Z, x_0, x)$) $(n) =$

- (35) If r > 0, then (Maclaurin(the function $\sin,]-r, r[, x))(n) = \frac{\sin(\frac{n \cdot \pi}{2}) \cdot x^n}{n!}$ and (Maclaurin(the function $\cos,]-r, r[, x))(n) = \frac{\cos(\frac{n \cdot \pi}{2}) \cdot x^n}{n!}$.
- (36) If n > m and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $(\Box^n)'(\mathbb{Z})(m)(x) = \binom{n}{m} \cdot m! \cdot x^{n-m}$.
- (37) If $x \in Z$, then $(\Box^m)'(Z)(m)(x) = m!$.
- (38) \square^n is differentiable *n* times on *Z*.
- (39) If $x \in Z$ and n > m, then $(a (\square^n))'(Z)(m)(x) = a \cdot \binom{n}{m} \cdot m! \cdot x^{n-m}$.
- (40) If $x \in Z$, then $(a (\square^n))'(Z)(n)(x) = a \cdot n!$.
- (41) If $x_0 \in Z$ and n > m, then $(\operatorname{Taylor}(\Box^n, Z, x_0, x))(m) = \binom{n}{m} \cdot x_0^{n-m} \cdot (x x_0)^m$ and $(\operatorname{Taylor}(\Box^n, Z, x_0, x))(n) = (x x_0)^n$.
- (42) Let n, m be elements of \mathbb{N} and r, x be real numbers. If n > m and r > 0, then $(\text{Maclaurin}(\Box^n,]-r, r[, x))(m) = 0$ and $(\text{Maclaurin}(\Box^n,]-r, r[, x))(n) = x^n$.
- (43) $\frac{1}{\Box^n}$ is differentiable on]0, r[.
- (44) If $x_0 \in]0, r[$, then $(\frac{1}{\Box^n})'_{[0,r[}(x_0) = -\frac{n}{(\Box^{n+1})(x_0)})$
- (45) If $x \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{\Box^1}$ is differentiable in x and $(\frac{1}{\Box^1})'(x) = -\frac{1}{(x^1)^2}$.
- (46) If $]0, r[\subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\frac{1}{\square^2}), \text{ then } (\frac{1}{\square^1})'_{[0,r[} = ((-1)\frac{1}{\square^2})^{\uparrow}]0, r[.$
- (47) If $x \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{\square^2}$ is differentiable in x and $(\frac{1}{\square^2})'(x) = -\frac{2 \cdot x^1}{(x^2)^2}$.
- (48) If $]0, r[\subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\frac{1}{\square^3}), \text{ then } (\frac{1}{\square^2})'_{[0,r[} = ((-2) \frac{1}{\square^3})^{\dagger}]0, r[.$
- (49) If $n \ge 1$, then $\left(\frac{1}{\Box^n}\right)'_{\mid [0,r]} = \left(\left(-n\right)\frac{1}{\Box^{n+1}}\right) \mid]0, r[.$
- (50) Suppose f_1 is differentiable 2 times on Z and f_2 is differentiable 2 times on Z. Then $(f_1 f_2)'(Z)(2) = f_1'(Z)(2) f_2 + 2((f_1)'_{\uparrow Z} (f_2)'_{\uparrow Z}) + f_1 f_2'(Z)(2).$
- (51) If $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{the function ln})$ and $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\frac{1}{\Box^1})$, then (the function $\ln)'_{\upharpoonright Z} = \frac{1}{\Box^1} \upharpoonright Z$.
- (52) If $n \ge 1$ and $x_0 \in [0, r[$, then $(\frac{1}{\prod^n})'([0, r[)(2)(x_0)] = n \cdot (n+1) \cdot (\frac{1}{\prod^{n+2}})(x_0).$
- (53) ((The function sin) (the function sin))'(Z)(2) = 2 (((the function cos))(Z) + (-2) (((the function sin)) (the function sin))(Z).
- (54) ((The function cos) (the function cos))'(Z)(2) = 2 (((the function sin)) (the function sin)) $|Z\rangle + (-2) (((the function cos)) (the function cos)) |Z\rangle.$
- (55) ((The function sin) (the function \cos))'(Z)(2) = 4 (((-the function sin) (the function \cos)) \upharpoonright Z).
- (56) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function tan). Then the function tan is differentiable on Z and (the function $\tan)'_{\uparrow Z} = \left(\frac{1}{\text{the function cos}} \frac{1}{\text{the function cos}}\right) \upharpoonright Z$.
- (57) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function tan). Then $\frac{1}{\text{the function cos}}$ is differentiable on Z and $(\frac{1}{\text{the function cos}})'_{\uparrow Z} = (\frac{1}{\text{the function cos}} \text{ (the function tan)}) \upharpoonright Z.$

- (58) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function tan). Then (the function $\tan)'(Z)(2) =$ $2\left(\left((\text{the function tan}) \ \frac{1}{\text{the function cos}} \ \frac{1}{\text{the function cos}}) \upharpoonright Z\right).$
- (59)Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function cot). Then
 - the function \cot is differentiable on Z, and (i)
- (the function $\cot)'_{\restriction Z} = ((-1) \left(\frac{1}{\text{the function sin}} \frac{1}{1} \right)) \restriction Z.$ (ii)
- (60) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function cot). Then
 - (i)
 - $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\text{the function sin}}} \text{ is differentiable on } Z, \text{ and} \\ (\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\text{the function sin}}})'_{|Z} = (-\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\text{the function sin}}} \text{ (the function cot)})|Z.$ (ii)
- (61) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function cot). Then (the function \cot)'(Z)(2) = $2(((\text{the function cot}) \ \frac{1}{\text{the function sin}} \frac{1}{\text{the function sin}}) \upharpoonright Z).$
- (62) ((The function exp) (the function $\sin)$)'(Z)(2) = 2 (((the function exp) (the function \cos)) $\upharpoonright Z$).
- (63) ((The function exp) (the function \cos))'(Z)(2) = 2 (((the function exp) -the function $\sin(z)$.
- (64) Suppose f_1 is differentiable 3 times on Z and f_2 is differentiable 3 times on Z. Then $(f_1 f_2)'(Z)(3) = f_1'(Z)(3) f_2 + (3 (f_1'(Z)(2) (f_2)'_{\uparrow Z}) +$ $3((f_1)'_{\upharpoonright Z} f_2'(Z)(2))) + f_1 f_2'(Z)(3).$
- (65) ((The function sin)) (the function sin))'(Z)(3) = (-8) (((the function cos) (the function \sin)) $\upharpoonright Z$).
- (66) If f is differentiable 2 times on Z, then (f f)'(Z)(2) = 2(f f'(Z)(2)) + $2(f'_{\upharpoonright Z} f'_{\upharpoonright Z}).$
- (67) Suppose f is differentiable 2 times on Z and for every x_0 such that $x_0 \in Z$ holds $f(x_0) \neq 0$. Then $(\frac{1}{f})'(Z)(2) = \frac{2f'_{|Z}f'_{|Z} - f'(Z)(2)f}{f(ff)}$
- (68) ((The function exp) (the function $\sin)$)'(Z)(3) = (2 ((the function exp) $(-\text{the function } \sin + \text{the function } \cos)) \upharpoonright Z.$

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- Czesław Byliński. The complex numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):507-513, 1990.
- [3]Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153-164, 1990.
- Chuanzhang Chen. Mathematical Analysis. Higher Education Press, Beijing, 1978.
- Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, [5] 1(1):35-40, 1990.
- Jarosław Kotowicz. Partial functions from a domain to the set of real numbers. Formalized [6]Mathematics, 1(4):703–709, 1990.
- Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. Formalized Mathema-[7]tics, 1(2):269-272, 1990.
- Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):887-890, [8] 1990.
- Akira Nishino and Yasunari Shidama. The Maclaurin expansions. Formalized Mathema-[9] tics, 13(3):421-425, 2005.
- [10] Beata Perkowska. Functional sequence from a domain to a domain. Formalized Mathematics, 3(1):17-21, 1992.

- [11] Konrad Raczkowski. Integer and rational exponents. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):125–130, 1991.
- [12] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Real function differentiability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):797–801, 1990.
- [13] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [14] Yasunari Shidama. The Taylor expansions. Formalized Mathematics, 12(2):195–200, 2004.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [16] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [17] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
 [18] Yuguang Yang and Yasunari Shidama. Trigonometric functions and existence of circle
- [18] Yuguang Yang and Yasunari Shidama. Trigonometric functions and existence of circle ratio. Formalized Mathematics, 7(2):255–263, 1998.

Received March 18, 2008

Inverse Trigonometric Functions Arctan and Arccot

Xiquan Liang Qingdao University of Science and Technology China Bing Xie Qingdao University of Science and Technology China

Summary. This article describes definitions of inverse trigonometric functions arctan, arccot and their main properties, as well as several differentiation formulas of arctan and arccot.

MML identifier: $\texttt{SIN}_C\texttt{OS9},$ version: 7.8.10 4.100.1011

The articles [17], [1], [2], [18], [3], [13], [19], [7], [15], [5], [9], [12], [16], [4], [6], [8], [11], [14], and [10] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. FUNCTION ARCTAN AND ARCCOT

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: x, r, s, h denote real numbers, n denotes an element of \mathbb{N} , Z denotes an open subset of \mathbb{R} , and f, f_1, f_2 denote partial functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} .

The following propositions are true:

- (1) $]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}[\subseteq \text{dom} \text{ (the function tan)}.$
- (2) $]0,\pi[\subseteq \text{dom}(\text{the function cot}).$
- (3)(i) The function tan is differentiable on $]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}[$, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in \left] -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right[$ holds (the function $\tan)'(x) = \frac{1}{(\cos x)^2}$.
- (4) The function cot is differentiable on $]0,\pi[$ and for every x such that $x \in]0,\pi[$ holds (the function $\cot)'(x) = -\frac{1}{(\sin x)^2}$.
- (5) The function tan is continuous on $\left]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right[$.
- (6) The function cot is continuous on $]0, \pi[$.

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

- (7) The function tan is increasing on $\left]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$.
- (8) The function cot is decreasing on $]0, \pi[$.
- (9) (The function $\tan)$] $-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}$ [is one-to-one.
- (10) (The function \cot)[]0, π [is one-to-one.

Let us mention that (the function $\tan)\rceil - \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}$ [is one-to-one and (the function $\cot)\rceil 0, \pi$ [is one-to-one.

The partial function the function arctan from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) The function $\arctan = ((\text{the function } \tan) \upharpoonright] - \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} [)^{-1}.$

The partial function the function arccot from $\mathbb R$ to $\mathbb R$ is defined by:

(Def. 2) The function $\operatorname{arccot} = ((\operatorname{the function } \operatorname{cot})|_{0,\pi})^{-1}$.

Let r be a real number. The functor $\arctan r$ is defined by:

(Def. 3) $\arctan r = (\text{the function } \arctan)(r).$

The functor $\operatorname{arccot} r$ is defined by:

(Def. 4) $\operatorname{arccot} r = (\operatorname{the function} \operatorname{arccot})(r).$

Let r be a real number. Then $\arctan r$ is a real number. Then $\operatorname{arccot} r$ is a real number.

We now state two propositions:

- (11) rng (the function arctan) = $]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}[.$
- (12) rng (the function $\operatorname{arccot}) =]0, \pi[.$

Let us mention that the function arctan is one-to-one and the function arccot is one-to-one.

Let r be a real number. Then $\tan r$ is a real number. Then $\cot r$ is a real number.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (13) For every real number x such that $x \in \left]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right[$ holds (the function $\tan(x) = \tan x$.
- (14) For every real number x such that $x \in [0, \pi[$ holds (the function $\cot)(x) = \cot x$.
- (15) For every real number x such that $\cos x \neq 0$ holds (the function $\tan(x) = \tan x$.
- (16) For every real number x such that (the function $\sin(x) \neq 0$ holds (the function $\cot(x) = \cot x$.
- (17) $\tan(-\frac{\pi}{4}) = -1.$
- (18) $\cot(\frac{\pi}{4}) = 1$ and $\cot(\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi) = -1$.
- (19) For every real number x such that $x \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right]$ holds $\tan x \in \left[-1, 1\right]$.
- (20) For every real number x such that $x \in [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi]$ holds $\cot x \in [-1, 1]$.
- (21) rng((the function tan) $[-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}]) = [-1, 1].$
- (22) rng((the function cot) $[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi]) = [-1, 1].$

- (23) $[-1,1] \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function arctan).
- (24) $[-1,1] \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function arccot).

Let us observe that (the function $\tan) \upharpoonright [-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ is one-to-one and (the function $\cot) \upharpoonright [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi]$ is one-to-one.

The following propositions are true:

- (25) (The function $\arctan[-1, 1] = ((\text{the function } \tan) | [-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}])^{-1}.$
- (26) (The function arccot) $[-1, 1] = ((\text{the function } \operatorname{cot}) [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi])^{-1}.$
- (27) ((The function $\tan) \upharpoonright [-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ qua function) ·((the function $\arctan) \upharpoonright [-1, 1]) = id_{[-1,1]}$.
- (28) ((The function $\cot) \upharpoonright [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi]$ qua function) ·((the function $\operatorname{arccot}) \upharpoonright [-1, 1]) = \operatorname{id}_{[-1,1]}.$
- (29) ((The function $\tan) \upharpoonright [-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}]$) · ((the function $\arctan) \upharpoonright [-1, 1]$) = $\operatorname{id}_{[-1,1]}$.
- (30) ((The function $\cot) \upharpoonright [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi]$) · ((the function $\operatorname{arccot}) \upharpoonright [-1, 1]$) = $\operatorname{id}_{[-1,1]}$.
- (31) (The function arctan **qua** function) \cdot ((the function $\tan)$)] $-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}$]) = id] $-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}$ [.
- (32) (The function arccot) \cdot ((the function cot) \restriction]0, π [) = id_{]0, π [}.
- (33) (The function arctan **qua** function) \cdot ((the function $\tan)$] $-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}$]) = id] $-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}$].
- (34) (The function arccot **qua** function) \cdot ((the function $\cot)$)] $0, \pi$ [) = id_{0, π}[.
- (35) If $-\frac{\pi}{2} < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then $\arctan \tan r = r$.
- (36) If $0 < r < \pi$, then $\operatorname{arccot} \cot r = r$.
- (37) $\arctan(-1) = -\frac{\pi}{4}$.
- (38) $\operatorname{arccot}(-1) = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi.$
- (39) $\arctan 1 = \frac{\pi}{4}$.
- (40) $\operatorname{arccot} 1 = \frac{\pi}{4}$.
- (41) $\tan 0 = 0.$
- (42) $\cot(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0.$
- (43) $\arctan 0 = 0.$
- (44) $\operatorname{arccot} 0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$.
- (45) The function $\arctan is increasing on (the function <math>\tan)^{\circ} \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}$
- (46) The function arccot is decreasing on (the function cot) $^{\circ}]0, \pi[$.
- (47) The function $\arctan is increasing on [-1, 1].$
- (48) The function arccot is decreasing on [-1, 1].
- (49) For every real number x such that $x \in [-1, 1]$ holds $\arctan x \in [-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}]$.
- (50) For every real number x such that $x \in [-1, 1]$ holds $\operatorname{arccot} x \in [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi]$.
- (51) If $-1 \le r \le 1$, then $\tan \arctan r = r$.
- (52) If $-1 \le r \le 1$, then $\cot \operatorname{arccot} r = r$.

The function \arctan is continuous on [-1, 1]. (53)The function arccot is continuous on [-1, 1]. (54)(55) rng((the function arctan) [-1,1]) = $[-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}]$. (56) rng((the function $\operatorname{arccot}) \upharpoonright [-1, 1]) = [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi].$ (57) If $-1 \le r \le 1$ and $\arctan r = -\frac{\pi}{4}$, then r = -1. (58) If $-1 \le r \le 1$ and $\operatorname{arccot} r = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi$, then r = -1. (59) If $-1 \le r \le 1$ and $\arctan r = 0$, then r = 0. (60) If $-1 \leq r \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{arccot} r = \frac{\pi}{2}$, then r = 0. (61) If $-1 \le r \le 1$ and $\arctan r = \frac{\pi}{4}$, then r = 1. (62) If $-1 \le r \le 1$ and $\operatorname{arccot} r = \frac{\pi}{4}$, then r = 1. (63) If $-1 \le r \le 1$, then $-\frac{\pi}{4} \le \arctan r \le \frac{\pi}{4}$. (64) If $-1 \le r \le 1$, then $\frac{\pi}{4} \le \operatorname{arccot} r \le \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi$. (65) If -1 < r < 1, then $-\frac{\pi}{4} < \arctan r < \frac{\pi}{4}$. (66) If -1 < r < 1, then $\frac{\pi}{4} < \operatorname{arccot} r < \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi$. (67) If $-1 \le r \le 1$, then $\arctan r = -\arctan(-r)$. (68) If $-1 \le r \le 1$, then $\operatorname{arccot} r = \pi - \operatorname{arccot}(-r)$. (69) If $-1 \le r \le 1$, then $\cot \arctan r = \frac{1}{r}$. (70) If $-1 \le r \le 1$, then $\tan \operatorname{arccot} r = \frac{1}{r}$. The function arctan is differentiable on (the function tan) $^{\circ}]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}[$. (71)The function arccot is differentiable on (the function cot) $^{\circ}]0, \pi[$. (72)(73)The function \arctan is differentiable on]-1, 1[. (74)The function arccot is differentiable on]-1, 1[. If $-1 \le r \le 1$, then (the function $\arctan)'(r) = \frac{1}{1+r^2}$. (75)If $-1 \le r \le 1$, then (the function $\operatorname{arccot})'(r) = -\frac{1}{1+r^2}$. (76)The function arctan is continuous on (the function tan) °] $-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}$ [. (77)The function arccot is continuous on (the function cot) $^{\circ}]0, \pi[$. (78)dom (the function arctan) is open. (79)dom (the function arccot) is open. (80)

2. Several Differentiation Formulas of Arctan and Arccot

We now state a number of propositions:

- (81) Suppose $Z \subseteq [-1, 1[$. Then the function \arctan is differentiable on Z and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds (the function $\operatorname{arctan})'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$.
- (82) Suppose $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then the function arccot is differentiable on Z and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds (the function $\operatorname{arccot})'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = -\frac{1}{1+x^2}$.

- (83) Suppose $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) r the function arctan is differentiable on Z, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds (r the function $\arctan'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = \frac{r}{1+x^2}$.
- (84) Suppose $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) r the function arccot is differentiable on Z, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(r \text{ the function } \operatorname{arccot})'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = -\frac{r}{1+x^2}$.
- (85) Suppose f is differentiable in x and -1 < f(x) < 1. Then (the function arctan) $\cdot f$ is differentiable in x and ((the function arctan) $\cdot f$)' $(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{1+f(x)^2}$.
- (86) Suppose f is differentiable in x and -1 < f(x) < 1. Then (the function arccot) $\cdot f$ is differentiable in x and ((the function $\operatorname{arccot}) \cdot f)'(x) = -\frac{f'(x)}{1+f(x)^2}$.
- (87) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function arctan}) \cdot f)$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = r \cdot x + s$ and -1 < f(x) < 1. Then
 - (i) (the function $\arctan) \cdot f$ is differentiable on Z, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function $\arctan) \cdot f)'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \frac{r}{1 + (r \cdot x + s)^2}$.
- (88) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function arccot}) \cdot f)$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = r \cdot x + s$ and -1 < f(x) < 1. Then
 - (i) (the function arccot) $\cdot f$ is differentiable on Z, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function $\operatorname{arccot}) \cdot f)'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = -\frac{r}{1+(r\cdot x+s)^2}$.
- (89) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function ln}) \cdot (\text{the function arctan}))$ and $Z \subseteq]-1,1[$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $\arctan x > 0$. Then
 - (i) (the function \ln) (the function arctan) is differentiable on Z, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function ln) ·(the function $\arctan))'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x^2) \cdot \arctan x}$.
- (90) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function ln}) \cdot (\text{the function arccot}))$ and $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $\operatorname{arccot} x > 0$. Then
 - (i) (the function \ln) (the function arccot) is differentiable on Z, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function ln) ·(the function $\operatorname{arccot}))'_{\restriction Z}(x) = -\frac{1}{(1+x^2) \cdot \operatorname{arccot} x}$.
- (91) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\Box^n) \cdot \text{the function arctan})$ and $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then
- (i) $(\Box^n) \cdot$ the function arctan is differentiable on Z, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\Box^n) \cdot \text{the function } \arctan)'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = \frac{n \cdot (\arctan x)^{n-1}}{1+x^2}$.
- (92) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\Box^n) \cdot \text{the function arccot})$ and $Z \subseteq [-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) (\Box^n) the function arccot is differentiable on Z, and

XIQUAN LIANG AND BING XIE

- (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\Box^n) \cdot \text{the function } \operatorname{arccot})'_{\restriction Z}(x) = -\frac{n \cdot (\operatorname{arccot} x)^{n-1}}{1+x^2}.$
- (93) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\frac{1}{2}((\square^2) \cdot \text{the function arctan}))$ and $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then (i) $\frac{1}{2}((\square^2) \cdot \text{the function arctan})$ is differentiable on Z and
 - (i) $\frac{1}{2}((\Box^2) \cdot \text{the function arctan})$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\frac{1}{2}((\Box^2) \cdot \text{the function arctan}))'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = \frac{\arctan x}{1+x^2}$.
- (94) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\frac{1}{2}((\square^2) \cdot \text{the function arccot}))$ and $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then (i) $\frac{1}{2}((\square^2) \cdot \text{the function arccot})$ is differentiable on Z, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\frac{1}{2}((\square^2) \cdot \text{the function arccot}))'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = -\frac{\operatorname{arccot} x}{1+x^2}$.
- (95) Suppose $Z \subseteq [-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) id_Z the function arctan is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(id_Z \text{ the function } \arctan)'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \arctan x + \frac{x}{1+x^2}$.
- (96) Suppose $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) id_Z the function arccot is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\operatorname{id}_Z \operatorname{the function} \operatorname{arccot})'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = \operatorname{arccot} x \frac{x}{1+x^2}$.
- (97) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(f \text{ the function arctan})$ and $Z \subseteq]-1,1[$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = r \cdot x + s$. Then
 - (i) f the function arctan is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(f \text{ the function } \arctan)'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = r \cdot \arctan x + \frac{r \cdot x + s}{1 + x^2}$.
- (98) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(f \text{ the function arccot})$ and $Z \subseteq]-1,1[$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = r \cdot x + s$. Then
 - (i) f the function arccot is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(f \text{ the function } \operatorname{arccot})'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = r \cdot \operatorname{arccot} x \frac{r \cdot x + s}{1 + x^2}$.
- (99) Suppose $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\frac{1}{2}((\text{the function arctan}) \cdot f))$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = 2 \cdot x$ and -1 < f(x) < 1. Then
 - (i) $\frac{1}{2}$ ((the function arctan) $\cdot f$) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\frac{1}{2} ((\text{the function arctan}) \cdot f))'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + (2 \cdot x)^2}$.
- (100) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\frac{1}{2} ((\text{the function arccot}) \cdot f))$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = 2 \cdot x$ and -1 < f(x) < 1. Then
 - (i) $\frac{1}{2}$ ((the function arccot) $\cdot f$) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\frac{1}{2} ((\text{the function arccot}) \cdot f))'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = -\frac{1}{1+(2\cdot x)^2}$.
- (101) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(f_1 + f_2)$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f_1(x) = 1$ and $f_2 = \square^2$. Then $f_1 + f_2$ is differentiable on Z and for every

x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(f_1 + f_2)'_{\upharpoonright Z}(x) = 2 \cdot x$.

- (102) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\frac{1}{2} ((\text{the function ln}) \cdot (f_1 + f_2)))$ and $f_2 = \Box^2$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f_1(x) = 1$. Then
 - (i) $\frac{1}{2}$ ((the function ln) $\cdot (f_1 + f_2)$) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\frac{1}{2})((\text{the function ln}) \cdot (f_1 + f_2)))'_{|Z}(x) = \frac{x}{1+x^2}.$
- (103) Suppose that
 - (i) $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}_Z \operatorname{the function} \operatorname{arctan} -\frac{1}{2}((\operatorname{the function} \ln) \cdot (f_1 + f_2))),$
 - (ii) $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[,$
 - (iii) $f_2 = \Box^2$, and
 - (iv) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f_1(x) = 1$. Then
 - (v) id_Z the function $\arctan -\frac{1}{2}$ ((the function ln) $\cdot (f_1 + f_2)$) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (vi) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\operatorname{id}_Z \operatorname{the function} \operatorname{arctan} -\frac{1}{2} ((\operatorname{the function} \ln) \cdot (f_1 + f_2)))'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \operatorname{arctan} x.$
- (104) Suppose that
 - (i) $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}_Z \operatorname{the function} \operatorname{arccot} + \frac{1}{2}((\operatorname{the function} \ln) \cdot (f_1 + f_2))),$
 - (ii) $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[,$
 - (iii) $f_2 = \Box^2$, and
 - (iv) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f_1(x) = 1$. Then
 - (v) id_Z the function $\operatorname{arccot} + \frac{1}{2} ((\text{the function ln}) \cdot (f_1 + f_2))$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (vi) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\operatorname{id}_Z \operatorname{the function} \operatorname{arccot} + \frac{1}{2} ((\operatorname{the function} \ln) \cdot (f_1 + f_2)))'_{|Z}(x) = \operatorname{arccot} x.$
- (105) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{id}_Z ((\text{the function arctan}) \cdot f))$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = \frac{x}{r}$ and -1 < f(x) < 1. Then
 - (i) $\operatorname{id}_Z((\operatorname{the function arctan}) \cdot f)$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds (id_Z ((the function arctan) $\cdot f$))'_{|Z}(x) = arctan($\frac{x}{r}$) + $\frac{x}{r \cdot (1+(\frac{x}{r})^2)}$.
- (106) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{id}_Z ((\text{the function arccot}) \cdot f))$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = \frac{x}{r}$ and -1 < f(x) < 1. Then
 - (i) $\operatorname{id}_Z((\operatorname{the function arccot}) \cdot f)$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\operatorname{id}_Z ((\operatorname{the function} \operatorname{arccot}) \cdot f))'_{\restriction Z}(x) = \operatorname{arccot}(\frac{x}{r}) \frac{x}{r \cdot (1 + (\frac{x}{r})^2)}.$
- (107) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(f_1 + f_2)$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f_1(x) = 1$ and $f_2 = (\Box^2) \cdot f$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = \frac{x}{r}$. Then $f_1 + f_2$ is differentiable on Z and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(f_1 + f_2)'_{|Z}(x) = \frac{2 \cdot x}{r^2}$.

- (108) Suppose that
 - (i) $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\frac{r}{2}((\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (f_1 + f_2)))),$
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f_1(x) = 1$,
 - (iii) $r \neq 0$,
 - (iv) $f_2 = (\Box^2) \cdot f$, and
 - (v) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = \frac{x}{r}$. Then
 - (vi) $\frac{r}{2}$ ((the function ln) $\cdot (f_1 + f_2)$) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (vii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\frac{r}{2})$ (the function $\ln) \cdot (f_1 + f_2)))'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \frac{x}{r \cdot (1 + (\frac{x}{\pi})^2)}$.
- (109) Suppose that
 - (i) $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{id}_Z((\text{the function arctan}) \cdot f) \frac{r}{2}((\text{the function ln}) \cdot (f_1 + f_2))),$ (ii) $r \neq 0,$
 - (iii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = \frac{x}{r}$ and -1 < f(x) < 1,
 - (iv) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f_1(x) = 1$,
 - (v) $f_2 = (\Box^2) \cdot f$, and
 - (vi) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = \frac{x}{r}$. Then
 - (vii) $\operatorname{id}_Z((\operatorname{the function arctan}) \cdot f) \frac{r}{2}((\operatorname{the function ln}) \cdot (f_1 + f_2))$ is differentiable on Z, and
- (viii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\operatorname{id}_Z((\operatorname{the function arctan}) \cdot f) \frac{r}{2}((\operatorname{the function ln}) \cdot (f_1 + f_2)))'_{|Z}(x) = \arctan(\frac{x}{r}).$

(110) Suppose that

- (i) $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}_Z((\operatorname{the function arccot}) \cdot f) + \frac{r}{2}((\operatorname{the function ln}) \cdot (f_1 + f_2))),$
- (ii) $r \neq 0$,
- (iii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = \frac{x}{r}$ and -1 < f(x) < 1,
- (iv) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f_1(x) = 1$,
- (v) $f_2 = (\Box^2) \cdot f$, and
- (vi) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = \frac{x}{r}$. Then
- (vii) $\operatorname{id}_Z((\text{the function arccot}) \cdot f) + \frac{r}{2}((\text{the function ln}) \cdot (f_1 + f_2))$ is differentiable on Z, and
- (viii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\operatorname{id}_Z((\operatorname{the function} \operatorname{arccot}) \cdot f) + \frac{r}{2}((\operatorname{the function} \ln) \cdot (f_1 + f_2)))'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \operatorname{arccot}(\frac{x}{r}).$
- (111) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function arctan}) \cdot \frac{1}{f})$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds f(x) = x and $-1 < (\frac{1}{f})(x) < 1$. Then
 - (i) (the function $\arctan) \cdot \frac{1}{f}$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function $\arctan) \cdot \frac{1}{f})'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = -\frac{1}{1+x^2}$.

- (112) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function arccot}) \cdot \frac{1}{f})$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds f(x) = x and $-1 < (\frac{1}{f})(x) < 1$. Then
 - (i) (the function arccot) $\cdot \frac{1}{f}$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function $\operatorname{arccot}) \cdot \frac{1}{f})'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$.
- (113) Suppose that
 - (i) $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}((\operatorname{the function arctan}) \cdot f),$
 - (ii) $f = f_1 + h f_2$,
 - (iii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds -1 < f(x) < 1,
 - (iv) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f_1(x) = r + s \cdot x$, and
 - (v) $f_2 = \Box^2$.
 - Then
 - (vi) (the function $\arctan(f_1 + h f_2)$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (vii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function $\arctan) \cdot (f_1 + h f_2))'_{\restriction Z}(x) = \frac{s+2 \cdot h \cdot x}{1 + (r + s \cdot x + h \cdot x^2)^2}$.
- (114) Suppose that
 - (i) $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}((\operatorname{the function arccot}) \cdot f),$
 - (ii) $f = f_1 + h f_2$,
 - (iii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds -1 < f(x) < 1,
 - (iv) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f_1(x) = r + s \cdot x$, and
 - (v) $f_2 = \Box^2$. Then
 - (vi) (the function arccot) $\cdot (f_1 + h f_2)$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (vii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function $\operatorname{arccot}) \cdot (f_1 + h f_2))'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = -\frac{s+2 \cdot h \cdot x}{1 + (r + s \cdot x + h \cdot x^2)^2}$.
- (115) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function arctan}) \cdot (\text{the function exp}))$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $\exp x < 1$. Then
 - (i) (the function \arctan) (the function \exp) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function \arctan) (the function \exp))'_{$\uparrow Z$} $(x) = \frac{\exp x}{1 + (\exp x)^2}$.
- (116) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function arccot}) \cdot (\text{the function exp}))$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $\exp x < 1$. Then
 - (i) (the function arccot) (the function \exp) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function $\operatorname{arccot})$ (the function \exp))'_{|Z} $(x) = -\frac{\exp x}{1 + (\exp x)^2}$.
- (117) Suppose that
 - (i) $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function arctan}) \cdot (\text{the function ln})), \text{ and}$
 - (ii) for every x such that x ∈ Z holds -1 < (the function ln)(x) and (the function ln)(x) < 1.

XIQUAN LIANG AND BING XIE

- (iii) (the function \arctan) (the function \ln) is differentiable on Z, and
- (iv) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function \arctan) (the function \ln))'_{|Z} $(x) = \frac{1}{x \cdot (1 + (\text{the function } \ln)(x)^2)}$.
- (118) Suppose that
 - (i) $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function arccot}) \cdot (\text{the function ln})), \text{ and }$
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $-1 < (\text{the function } \ln)(x)$ and (the function $\ln)(x) < 1$.

Then

- (iii) (the function arccot) (the function \ln) is differentiable on Z, and
- (iv) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function $\operatorname{arccot})$ (the function $\ln))'_{|Z}(x) = -\frac{1}{x \cdot (1 + (\operatorname{the function } \ln)(x)^2)}$.
- (119) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function exp}) \cdot (\text{the function arctan}))$ and $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) (the function exp) \cdot (the function arctan) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function exp) \cdot (the function $\arctan))'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \frac{\exp \arctan x}{1+x^2}$.
- (120) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function exp}) \cdot (\text{the function arccot}))$ and $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) (the function exp) \cdot (the function arccot) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function exp) \cdot (the function arccot))'_{|Z}(x) = $-\frac{\operatorname{exp \operatorname{arccot}} x}{1+x^2}$.
- (121) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function arctan})-\text{id}_Z) \text{ and } Z \subseteq]-1,1[$. Then
 - (i) (the function $\arctan)-\operatorname{id}_Z$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function $\arctan) \operatorname{id}_Z)'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = -\frac{x^2}{1+x^2}$.
- (122) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(-\text{the function } \operatorname{arccot} \operatorname{id}_Z)$ and $Z \subseteq [-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) -the function $\operatorname{arccot} \operatorname{id}_Z$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds (-the function $\operatorname{arccot} \operatorname{id}_Z)'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = -\frac{x^2}{1+x^2}$.
- (123) Suppose $Z \subseteq [-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) (the function exp) (the function \arctan) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function exp) (the function $\arctan))'_{|Z}(x) = \exp x \cdot \arctan x + \frac{\exp x}{1+x^2}$.
- (124) Suppose $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) (the function exp) (the function arccot) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function exp) (the function $\operatorname{arccot}))'_{\restriction Z}(x) = \exp x \cdot \operatorname{arccot} x \frac{\exp x}{1+x^2}$.
- (125) Suppose $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\frac{1}{r}((\text{the function arctan}) \cdot f) \operatorname{id}_Z)$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = r \cdot x$ and $r \neq 0$ and -1 < f(x) < 1. Then
 - (i) $\frac{1}{r}$ ((the function arctan) $\cdot f$) id_Z is differentiable on Z, and

- (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\frac{1}{r})((\text{the function arctan}) \cdot f) \text{id}_Z)'_{\restriction Z}(x) = -\frac{(r \cdot x)^2}{1 + (r \cdot x)^2}.$
- (126) Suppose $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}((-\frac{1}{r}))$ ((the function $\operatorname{arccot}) \cdot f$) $-\operatorname{id}_Z$) and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = r \cdot x$ and $r \neq 0$ and -1 < f(x) < 1. Then (i) $(-\frac{1}{r})$ ((the function $\operatorname{arccot}) \cdot f$) $-\operatorname{id}_Z$ is differentiable on Z, and
 - (i) $(-\frac{1}{r})$ (the function accord $f) = \ln 2$ is thereintable on Z, and (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $((-\frac{1}{r})$ (the function accord) $\cdot f) -$
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ holds $\left(\left(-\frac{1}{r}\right)\left(\left(\text{the function arccot}\right) \cdot f\right) \text{id}_Z\right)_{\uparrow \mathbb{Z}}'(x) = -\frac{(r \cdot x)^2}{1 + (r \cdot x)^2}.$
- (127) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function ln}) \text{ (the function arctan)})$ and $Z \subseteq]-1, 1[$. Then
 - (i) (the function \ln) (the function \arctan) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function ln) (the function $\operatorname{arctan}))'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \frac{\arctan x}{x} + \frac{(\operatorname{the function } \ln)(x)}{1+x^2}$.
- (128) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function ln}) \text{ (the function arccot)})$ and $Z \subseteq]-1,1[$. Then
 - (i) (the function \ln) (the function arccot) is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds ((the function ln) (the function $\operatorname{arccot}))'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{arccot} x}{x} \frac{(\operatorname{the function } \ln)(x)}{1+x^2}.$
- (129) Suppose $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\frac{1}{f}$ the function \arctan) and $Z \subseteq [-1, 1[$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds f(x) = x. Then
 - (i) $\frac{1}{f}$ the function arctan is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\frac{1}{f}$ the function $\arctan)'_{\uparrow Z}(x) = -\frac{\arctan x}{x^2} + \frac{1}{x \cdot (1+x^2)}$.
- (130) Suppose $Z \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\frac{1}{f}$ the function arccot) and $Z \subseteq [-1, 1[$ and for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds f(x) = x. Then
 - (i) $\frac{1}{f}$ the function arccot is differentiable on Z, and
 - (ii) for every x such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\frac{1}{f}$ the function $\operatorname{arccot})'_{\restriction Z}(x) = -\frac{\operatorname{arccot} x}{x^2} \frac{1}{x \cdot (1+x^2)}$.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55– 65, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [4] Pacharapokin Chanapat, Kanchun, and Hiroshi Yamazaki. Formulas and identities of trigonometric functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(2):139–141, 2004.
- [5] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [6] Jarosław Kotowicz. Partial functions from a domain to a domain. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):697-702, 1990.
- [7] Jarosław Kotowicz. Partial functions from a domain to the set of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):703-709, 1990.
- [8] Jarosław Kotowicz. Properties of real functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):781–786, 1990.

- [9] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):269-272, 1990.
- [10] Konrad Raczkowski. Integer and rational exponents. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):125– 130, 1991.
- [11] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Real function continuity. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):787–791, 1990.
- [12] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Real function differentiability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):797–801, 1990.
- [13] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [14] Yasunari Shidama. The Taylor expansions. Formalized Mathematics, 12(2):195–200, 2004.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [16] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [17] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [18] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [19] Yuguang Yang and Yasunari Shidama. Trigonometric functions and existence of circle ratio. Formalized Mathematics, 7(2):255–263, 1998.

Received March 18, 2008

Inverse Trigonometric Functions Arcsec and Arccosec

Bing Xie Qingdao University of Science and Technology China Xiquan Liang Qingdao University of Science and Technology China

Fuguo Ge Qingdao University of Science and Technology China

Summary. This article describes definitions of inverse trigonometric functions arcsec and arccosec, as well as their main properties.

MML identifier: SINCOS10, version: 7.8.10 4.100.1011

The papers [1], [2], [16], [3], [12], [17], [13], [5], [8], [11], [14], [4], [6], [7], [10], [15], and [9] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper x, r denote real numbers.

The following propositions are true:

- (1) $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function sec).
- (2) $]\frac{\pi}{2},\pi] \subseteq \text{dom} (\text{the function sec}).$
- (3) $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right] \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function cosec).
- (4) $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the function cosec).
- (5) The function sec is differentiable on $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ and for every x such that $x \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ holds (the function $\sec)'(x) = \frac{\sin x}{(\cos x)^2}.$
- (6) The function sec is differentiable on $]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi[$ and for every x such that $x \in]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi[$ holds (the function $\sec)'(x) = \frac{\sin x}{(\cos x)^2}$.
- (7)(i) The function cosec is differentiable on $]-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0[$, and

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

- (ii) for every x such that $x \in \left] -\frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right[$ holds (the function cosec)'(x) = $-\frac{\cos x}{(\sin x)^2}$.
- (8)(i) The function cosec is differentiable on $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$, and
- (ii) for every x such that $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ holds (the function cosec)'(x) = $-\frac{\cos x}{(\sin x)^2}$.
- (9) The function sec is continuous on $\left]0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$.
- (10) The function sec is continuous on $]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi[$.
- (11) The function cosec is continuous on $\left|-\frac{\pi}{2},0\right|$.
- (12) The function cosec is continuous on $\left]0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$.
- (13) The function sec is increasing on $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[.$
- (14) The function sec is increasing on $\left|\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right|$.
- (15) The function cosec is decreasing on $\left]-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right[$.
- (16) The function cosec is decreasing on $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[.$
- (17) The function sec is increasing on $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.
- (18) The function sec is increasing on $\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right]$.
- (19) The function cosec is decreasing on $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right]$.
- (20) The function cosec is decreasing on $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.
- (21) (The function sec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is one-to-one.
- (22) (The function sec) $[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$ is one-to-one.
- (23) (The function cosec) $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$ is one-to-one.
- (24) (The function cosec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is one-to-one.

One can verify the following observations:

- * (the function sec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is one-to-one,
- * (the function sec) $[]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$ is one-to-one,
- * (the function cosec) $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$ is one-to-one, and
- * (the function cosec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is one-to-one.
- The partial function the 1st part of arcsec from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} is defined as follows: (Def. 1) The 1st part of arcsec = ((the function sec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}])^{-1}$.

The partial function the 2nd part of arcsec from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) The 2nd part of arcsec = $((\text{the function sec})^{\dagger}]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi])^{-1}$.

The partial function the 1st part of arccosec from $\mathbb R$ to $\mathbb R$ is defined by:

(Def. 3) The 1st part of $\operatorname{arccosec} = ((\text{the function cosec}) \upharpoonright [-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0])^{-1}.$

The partial function the 2nd part of accosec from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} is defined by:

(Def. 4) The 2nd part of $\operatorname{arccosec} = ((\text{the function cosec}) \upharpoonright]0, \frac{\pi}{2}])^{-1}$.

Let r be a real number. The functor $\operatorname{arcsec}_1 r$ is defined by:

(Def. 5) $\operatorname{arcsec}_1 r = (\text{the 1st part of } \operatorname{arcsec})(r).$

The functor $\operatorname{arcsec}_2 r$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) $\operatorname{arcsec}_2 r = (\text{the 2nd part of } \operatorname{arcsec})(r).$

The functor $\operatorname{arccosec}_1 r$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) $\operatorname{arccosec}_1 r = (\text{the 1st part of } \operatorname{arccosec})(r).$

The functor $\operatorname{arccosec}_2 r$ is defined by:

(Def. 8) $\operatorname{arccosec}_2 r = (\text{the 2nd part of } \operatorname{arccosec})(r).$

Let r be a real number. Then $\operatorname{arccsec}_1 r$ is a real number. Then $\operatorname{arccsec}_2 r$ is a real number. Then $\operatorname{arccosec}_1 r$ is a real number. Then $\operatorname{arccosec}_2 r$ is a real number.

We now state four propositions:

- (25) rng (the 1st part of arcsec) = $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.
- (26) rng (the 2nd part of arcsec) = $\left\lfloor \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi \right\rfloor$.
- (27) rng (the 1st part of arccosec) = $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right]$.
- (28) rng (the 2nd part of arccosec) = $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

One can check the following observations:

- * the 1st part of arcsec is one-to-one,
- * the 2nd part of arcsec is one-to-one,
- * the 1st part of arccosec is one-to-one, and
- * the 2nd part of arccosec is one-to-one.

Let t_1 be a real number. Then sec t_1 is a real number. Then cosec t_1 is a real number.

We now state a number of propositions:

(29)
$$\sin(\frac{\pi}{4}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$
 and $\cos(\frac{\pi}{4}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

- (30) $\sin(-\frac{\pi}{4}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\cos(-\frac{\pi}{4}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\sin(\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\cos(\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.
- (31) $\sec 0 = 1$ and $\sec(\frac{\pi}{4}) = \sqrt{2}$ and $\sec(\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi) = -\sqrt{2}$ and $\sec \pi = -1$.
- (32) $\operatorname{cosec}(-\frac{\pi}{2}) = -1$ and $\operatorname{cosec}(-\frac{\pi}{4}) = -\sqrt{2}$ and $\operatorname{cosec}(\frac{\pi}{4}) = \sqrt{2}$ and $\operatorname{cosec}(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1$.
- (33) For every set x such that $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ holds sec $x \in [1, \sqrt{2}]$.
- (34) For every set x such that $x \in [\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi, \pi]$ holds sec $x \in [-\sqrt{2}, -1]$.
- (35) For every set x such that $x \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{4}\right]$ holds $\operatorname{cosec} x \in \left[-\sqrt{2}, -1\right]$.
- (36) For every set x such that $x \in \left[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ holds $\operatorname{cosec} x \in [1, \sqrt{2}]$.
- (37) The function sec is continuous on $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.
- (38) The function sec is continuous on $\left\lfloor \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi \right\rfloor$.
- (39) The function cosec is continuous on $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right]$.
- (40) The function cosec is continuous on $\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$.
- (41) rng((the function sec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{4}]) = [1, \sqrt{2}].$
- (42) rng((the function sec) $[\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi, \pi]$) = $[-\sqrt{2}, -1]$.

- (43) rng((the function cosec)) $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{4}\right]$) = $\left[-\sqrt{2}, -1\right]$.
- (44) rng((the function cosec)) $[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}]) = [1, \sqrt{2}].$
- (45) $[1,\sqrt{2}] \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the 1st part of arcsec).
- (46) $[-\sqrt{2}, -1] \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the 2nd part of arcsec).
- (47) $[-\sqrt{2}, -1] \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the 1st part of arccosec).

(48) $[1,\sqrt{2}] \subseteq \text{dom}$ (the 2nd part of arccosec).

One can check the following observations:

- * (the function sec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ is one-to-one,
- * (the function sec) $[\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi, \pi]$ is one-to-one,
- * (the function cosec) $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{4}]$ is one-to-one, and
- * (the function cosec) $\left[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ is one-to-one.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (49) (The 1st part of arcsec) $[1, \sqrt{2}] = ((\text{the function sec}) [0, \frac{\pi}{4}])^{-1}.$
- (50) (The 2nd part of arcsec) $[-\sqrt{2}, -1] = ((\text{the function sec}) [\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi, \pi])^{-1}.$
- (51) (The 1st part of arccosec) $[-\sqrt{2}, -1] = ((\text{the function cosec}) [-\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{4}])^{-1}.$
- (52) (The 2nd part of arccosec) $[1, \sqrt{2}] = ((\text{the function cosec}) [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}])^{-1}.$
- (53) ((The function sec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ qua function) ·((the 1st part of arcsec) $[1, \sqrt{2}]$) = $\operatorname{id}_{[1,\sqrt{2}]}$.
- (54) ((The function $\sec) \upharpoonright [\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi, \pi]$ qua function) \cdot ((the 2nd part of $\operatorname{arcsec}) \upharpoonright [-\sqrt{2}, -1]$) = $\operatorname{id}_{[-\sqrt{2}, -1]}$.
- (55) ((The function cosec) $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{4}]$ qua function) \cdot ((the 1st part of $\operatorname{arccosec}) [-\sqrt{2}, -1]$) = $\operatorname{id}_{[-\sqrt{2}, -1]}$.
- (56) ((The function cosec) $[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ qua function) \cdot ((the 2nd part of accosec) $[1, \sqrt{2}]$) = id_[1, \sqrt{2}].
- (57) ((The function sec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$) \cdot ((the 1st part of arcsec) $[1, \sqrt{2}]$) = id_{[1, \sqrt{2}]}.
- (58) ((The function sec) $[\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi, \pi]$) · ((the 2nd part of arcsec) $[-\sqrt{2}, -1]$) = $\operatorname{id}_{[-\sqrt{2}, -1]}$.
- (59) ((The function cosec) $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{4}]$)·((the 1st part of arccosec) $[-\sqrt{2}, -1]$) = $\mathrm{id}_{[-\sqrt{2}, -1]}$.
- (60) ((The function cosec) $[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$) · ((the 2nd part of arccosec) $[1, \sqrt{2}]$) = $\mathrm{id}_{[1,\sqrt{2}]}$.
- (61) (The 1st part of arcsec **qua** function) \cdot ((the function sec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$) = $\mathrm{id}_{[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]}$.
- (62) (The 2nd part of arcsec **qua** function) \cdot ((the function sec) $[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$) = $\mathrm{id}_{[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]}$.
- (63) (The 1st part of arccosec **qua** function) \cdot ((the function cosec) $\upharpoonright [-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0[) = id_{[-\frac{\pi}{2},0[}$.

- (64) (The 2nd part of arccosec **qua** function) $\cdot ((\text{the function cosec}) \upharpoonright]0, \frac{\pi}{2}]) = \text{id}_{[0,\frac{\pi}{2}]}.$
- (65) (The 1st part of arcsec) \cdot ((the function sec) $\upharpoonright [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]) = \mathrm{id}_{[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]}$.
- (66) (The 2nd part of arcsec) \cdot ((the function sec) $[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$) = id_{[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]}.
- (67) (The 1st part of arccosec) \cdot ((the function cosec) $\upharpoonright [-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$) = id_{$[-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$}.
- (68) (The 2nd part of arccosec) \cdot ((the function cosec) $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$) = id_{$[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$}.
- (69) If $0 \le r < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then $\operatorname{arcsec}_1 \sec r = r$.
- (70) If $\frac{\pi}{2} < r \le \pi$, then $\operatorname{arcsec}_2 \sec r = r$.
- (71) If $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq r < 0$, then $\operatorname{arccosec}_1 \operatorname{cosec} r = r$.
- (72) If $0 < r \le \frac{\pi}{2}$, then $\operatorname{arccosec}_2 \operatorname{cosec} r = r$.
- (73) $\operatorname{arcsec}_1 1 = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{arcsec}_1 \sqrt{2} = \frac{\pi}{4}.$
- (74) $\operatorname{arcsec}_2(-\sqrt{2}) = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi$ and $\operatorname{arcsec}_2(-1) = \pi$.
- (75) $\operatorname{arccosec}_1(-1) = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\operatorname{arccosec}_1(-\sqrt{2}) = -\frac{\pi}{4}$.
- (76) $\operatorname{arccosec}_2 \sqrt{2} = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\operatorname{arccosec}_2 1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$.
- (77) The 1st part of arcsec is increasing on (the function sec) $\circ [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.
- (78) The 2nd part of arcsec is increasing on (the function sec) $^{\circ}]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$.
- (79) The 1st part of arccosec is decreasing on (the function cosec) $\circ [-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$.
- (80) The 2nd part of arccosec is decreasing on (the function cosec) $^{\circ}]0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.
- (81) The 1st part of arcsec is increasing on $[1, \sqrt{2}]$.
- (82) The 2nd part of arcsec is increasing on $\left[-\sqrt{2}, -1\right]$.
- (83) The 1st part of accosec is decreasing on $\left[-\sqrt{2}, -1\right]$.
- (84) The 2nd part of arccosec is decreasing on $[1, \sqrt{2}]$.
- (85) For every set x such that $x \in [1, \sqrt{2}]$ holds $\operatorname{arcsec}_1 x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$.
- (86) For every set x such that $x \in [-\sqrt{2}, -1]$ holds $\operatorname{arcsec}_2 x \in [\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi, \pi]$.
- (87) For every set x such that $x \in [-\sqrt{2}, -1]$ holds $\operatorname{arccosec}_1 x \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{4}]$.
- (88) For every set x such that $x \in [1, \sqrt{2}]$ holds $\operatorname{arccosec}_2 x \in [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.
- (89) If $1 \le r \le \sqrt{2}$, then sec $\operatorname{arcsec}_1 r = r$.
- (90) If $-\sqrt{2} \le r \le -1$, then sec $\operatorname{arcsec}_2 r = r$.
- (91) If $-\sqrt{2} \le r \le -1$, then $\operatorname{cosec} \operatorname{arccosec}_1 r = r$.
- (92) If $1 \le r \le \sqrt{2}$, then $\operatorname{cosec \, arccosec_2} r = r$.
- (93) The 1st part of arcsec is continuous on $[1, \sqrt{2}]$.
- (94) The 2nd part of arcsec is continuous on $\left[-\sqrt{2}, -1\right]$.
- (95) The 1st part of arccosec is continuous on $\left[-\sqrt{2}, -1\right]$.
- (96) The 2nd part of arccosec is continuous on $[1, \sqrt{2}]$.
- (97) rng((the 1st part of arcsec) $[1, \sqrt{2}] = [0, \frac{\pi}{4}].$
- (98) rng((the 2nd part of arcsec)) $[-\sqrt{2}, -1]) = [\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi, \pi].$
- (99) rng((the 1st part of arccosec) $[-\sqrt{2}, -1]) = [-\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{4}].$

- (100) rng((the 2nd part of arccosec) $[1, \sqrt{2}]) = [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}].$
- (101) If $1 \le r \le \sqrt{2}$ and $\operatorname{arcsec}_1 r = 0$, then r = 1 and if $1 \le r \le \sqrt{2}$ and $\operatorname{arcsec}_1 r = \frac{\pi}{4}$, then $r = \sqrt{2}$.
- (102) If $-\sqrt{2} \leq r \leq -1$ and $\operatorname{arcsec}_2 r = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi$, then $r = -\sqrt{2}$ and if $-\sqrt{2} \leq r \leq -1$ and $\operatorname{arcsec}_2 r = \pi$, then r = -1.
- (103) If $-\sqrt{2} \le r \le -1$ and $\operatorname{arccosec}_1 r = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, then r = -1 and if $-\sqrt{2} \le r \le -1$ and $\operatorname{arccosec}_1 r = -\frac{\pi}{4}$, then $r = -\sqrt{2}$.
- (104) If $1 \le r \le \sqrt{2}$ and $\operatorname{arccosec}_2 r = \frac{\pi}{4}$, then $r = \sqrt{2}$ and if $1 \le r \le \sqrt{2}$ and $\operatorname{arccosec}_2 r = \frac{\pi}{2}$, then r = 1.
- (105) If $1 \le r \le \sqrt{2}$, then $0 \le \operatorname{arcsec}_1 r \le \frac{\pi}{4}$.
- (106) If $-\sqrt{2} \le r \le -1$, then $\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi \le \operatorname{arcsec}_2 r \le \pi$.
- (107) If $-\sqrt{2} \leq r \leq -1$, then $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \operatorname{arccosec}_1 r \leq -\frac{\pi}{4}$.
- (108) If $1 \le r \le \sqrt{2}$, then $\frac{\pi}{4} \le \operatorname{arccosec}_2 r \le \frac{\pi}{2}$.
- (109) If $1 < r < \sqrt{2}$, then $0 < \operatorname{arcsec}_1 r < \frac{\pi}{4}$.
- (110) If $-\sqrt{2} < r < -1$, then $\frac{3}{4} \cdot \pi < \operatorname{arcsec}_2 r < \pi$.
- (111) If $-\sqrt{2} < r < -1$, then $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \arccos_1 r < -\frac{\pi}{4}$.
- (112) If $1 < r < \sqrt{2}$, then $\frac{\pi}{4} < \arccos_2 r < \frac{\pi}{2}$
- (113) If $1 \le r \le \sqrt{2}$, then $\sin \operatorname{arcsec}_1 r = \frac{\sqrt{r^2 1}}{r}$ and $\cos \operatorname{arcsec}_1 r = \frac{1}{r}$.
- (114) If $-\sqrt{2} \le r \le -1$, then $\sin \operatorname{arcsec}_2 r = -\frac{\sqrt{r^2-1}}{r}$ and $\cos \operatorname{arcsec}_2 r = \frac{1}{r}$.
- (115) If $-\sqrt{2} \le r \le -1$, then $\operatorname{sin arccosec}_1 r = \frac{1}{r}$ and $\operatorname{cos arccosec}_1 r = -\frac{\sqrt{r^2-1}}{r}$.
- (116) If $1 \le r \le \sqrt{2}$, then $\sin \arccos_2 r = \frac{1}{r}$ and $\cos \arccos_2 r = \frac{\sqrt{r^2 1}}{r}$.
- (117) If $1 < r < \sqrt{2}$, then $\operatorname{cosec} \operatorname{arcsec}_1 r = \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 1}}$.
- (118) If $-\sqrt{2} < r < -1$, then $\operatorname{cosec \, arcsec_2} r = -\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 1}}$.
- (119) If $-\sqrt{2} < r < -1$, then sec $\arccos_1 r = -\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 1}}$.
- (120) If $1 < r < \sqrt{2}$, then sec $\arccos_2 r = \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 1}}$.
- (121) The 1st part of arcsec is differentiable on (the function sec) $^{\circ}]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$.
- (122) The 2nd part of arcsec is differentiable on (the function sec) °] $\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi$ [.
- (123) The 1st part of arccosec is differentiable on (the function cosec) $^{\circ}]-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0[$.
- (124) The 2nd part of arccosec is differentiable on (the function cosec) °]0, $\frac{\pi}{2}$ [.
- (125) (The function sec) °]0, $\frac{\pi}{2}$ [is open.
- (126) (The function sec) °] $\frac{\pi}{2}$, π [is open.
- (127) (The function cosec) °] $-\frac{\pi}{2}$, 0[is open.
- (128) (The function cosec) °]0, $\frac{\pi}{2}$ [is open.
- (129) The 1st part of arcsec is continuous on (the function sec) °]0, $\frac{\pi}{2}$ [.
- (130) The 2nd part of arcsec is continuous on (the function sec) $^{\circ}]\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi[$.

(131) The 1st part of arccosec is continuous on (the function cosec) $^{\circ}]-\frac{\pi}{2},0[$.

(132) The 2nd part of arccosec is continuous on (the function cosec) $^{\circ}]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55– 65, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [4] Pacharapokin Chanapat, Kanchun, and Hiroshi Yamazaki. Formulas and identities of trigonometric functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(2):139–141, 2004.
 [5] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*,
- [5] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [6] Jarosław Kotowicz. Partial functions from a domain to a domain. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):697-702, 1990.
- [7] Jarosław Kotowicz. Properties of real functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):781–786, 1990.
 [8] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. Formalized Mathema-
- [8] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [9] Yatsuka Nakamura. Half open intervals in real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 10(1):21–22, 2002.
- [10] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Real function continuity. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):787–791, 1990.
- [11] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Real function differentiability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):797–801, 1990.
- [12] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [14] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501-505, 1990.
- [15] Peng Wang and Bo Li. Several differentiation formulas of special functions. Part V. Formalized Mathematics, 15(3):73–79, 2007.
- [16] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
 [17] Woronowicz Children Friedmann (1990).
- [17] Yuguang Yang and Yasunari Shidama. Trigonometric functions and existence of circle ratio. Formalized Mathematics, 7(2):255-263, 1998.

Received March 18, 2008

The Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem

Noboru Endou Gifu National College of Technology Japan

Keiko Narita Hirosaki-city Aomori, Japan

Yasunari Shidama Shinshu University Nagano, Japan

Summary. In this article we prove the Monotone Convergence Theorem [16].

 $\rm MML$ identifier: MESFUNC9, version: 7.8.10 4.100.1011

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [10], [20], [2], [7], [21], [6], [8], [9], [1], [17], [18], [3], [4], [5], [13], [14], [15], [19], [11], [12], and [22].

1. Preliminaries

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: X is a non empty set, S is a σ -field of subsets of X, M is a σ -measure on S, E is an element of S, F, G are sequences of partial functions from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, I is a sequence of extended reals, f, g are partial functions from X to $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, s_1, s_2, s_3 are sequences of extended reals, p is an extended real number, n, m are natural numbers, x is an element of X, and z, D are sets.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (1) If f is without $+\infty$ and g is without $+\infty$, then $\operatorname{dom}(f+g) = \operatorname{dom} f \cap \operatorname{dom} g$.
- (2) If f is without $+\infty$ and g is without $-\infty$, then dom $(f g) = \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g$.

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

NOBORU ENDOU et al.

- (3) If f is without $-\infty$ and g is without $-\infty$, then f + g is without $-\infty$.
- (4) If f is without $+\infty$ and g is without $+\infty$, then f + g is without $+\infty$.
- (5) If f is without $-\infty$ and g is without $+\infty$, then f g is without $-\infty$.
- (6) If f is without $+\infty$ and g is without $-\infty$, then f g is without $+\infty$.
- (7)(i) If s_1 is convergent to finite number, then there exists a real number g such that $\lim s_1 = g$ and for every real number p such that 0 < p there exists a natural number n such that for every natural number m such that $n \le m$ holds $|s_1(m) \lim s_1| < p$,
- (ii) if s_1 is convergent to $+\infty$, then $\lim s_1 = +\infty$, and
- (iii) if s_1 is convergent to $-\infty$, then $\lim s_1 = -\infty$.
- (8) If s_1 is non-negative, then s_1 is not convergent to $-\infty$.
- (9) If s_1 is convergent and for every natural number k holds $s_1(k) \le p$, then $\lim s_1 \le p$.
- (10) If s_1 is convergent and for every natural number k holds $p \leq s_1(k)$, then $p \leq \lim s_1$.
- (11) Suppose that
 - (i) s_2 is convergent,
- (ii) s_3 is convergent,
- (iii) s_2 is non-negative,
- (iv) s_3 is non-negative, and
- (v) for every natural number k holds $s_1(k) = s_2(k) + s_3(k)$.

Then s_1 is non-negative and convergent and $\lim s_1 = \lim s_2 + \lim s_3$.

- (12) Suppose for every natural number n holds $G(n) = F(n) \upharpoonright D$ and $x \in D$. Then
 - (i) if F # x is convergent to $+\infty$, then G # x is convergent to $+\infty$,
- (ii) if F # x is convergent to $-\infty$, then G # x is convergent to $-\infty$,
- (iii) if F # x is convergent to finite number, then G # x is convergent to finite number, and
- (iv) if F # x is convergent, then G # x is convergent.
- (13) If E = dom f and f is measurable on E and f is non-negative and $M(E \cap \text{EQ-dom}(f, +\infty)) \neq 0$, then $\int f \, dM = +\infty$.
- (14) $\int \chi_{E,X} dM = M(E)$ and $\int \chi_{E,X} \upharpoonright E dM = M(E)$.
- (15) Suppose that
- (i) $E \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$,
- (ii) $E \subseteq \operatorname{dom} g$,
- (iii) f is measurable on E,
- (iv) g is measurable on E,
- (v) f is non-negative, and
- (vi) for every element x of X such that $x \in E$ holds $f(x) \leq g(x)$. Then $\int f \upharpoonright E \, \mathrm{d}M \leq \int g \upharpoonright E \, \mathrm{d}M$.

2. Selected Properties of Extended Real Sequence

Let f be an extended real-valued function and let x be a set. Then f(x) is an element of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let s be an extended real-valued function. The functor $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ yields a sequence of extended reals and is defined by:

(Def. 1)
$$(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}(0) = s(0)$$
 and for every natural number *n* holds $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}(n+1) = (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}(n) + s(n+1).$

Let s be an extended real-valued function. We say that s is summable if and only if:

(Def. 2) $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent.

Let s be an extended real-valued function. The functor $\sum s$ yielding an extended real number is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) $\sum s = \lim((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}).$

Next we state several propositions:

- (16) If s_1 is non-negative, then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} (s_1)(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}$ is non-negative and $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} (s_1)(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}$ is non-decreasing.
- (17) If for every natural number n holds $0 < s_1(n)$, then for every natural number m holds $0 < (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} (s_1)(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(m)$.
- (18) If F has the same dom and for every natural number n holds $G(n) = F(n) \upharpoonright D$, then G has the same dom.
- (19) Suppose that
 - (i) $D \subseteq \operatorname{dom} F(0)$,
 - (ii) for every natural number n holds $G(n) = F(n) \upharpoonright D$, and
- (iii) for every element x of X such that $x \in D$ holds F # x is convergent. Then $\lim F \upharpoonright D = \lim G$.
- (20) Suppose F has the same dom and $E \subseteq \text{dom } F(0)$ and for every natural number m holds F(m) is measurable on E and $G(m) = F(m) \upharpoonright E$. Then G(n) is measurable on E.
- (21) Suppose that
 - (i) $E \subseteq \operatorname{dom} F(0),$
 - (ii) G has the same dom,
- (iii) for every element x of X such that $x \in E$ holds F # x is summable, and
- (iv) for every natural number n holds $G(n) = F(n) \upharpoonright E$.

Let x be an element of X. If $x \in E$, then G # x is summable.

3. Partial Sums of Functional Sequence and their Properties

Let X be a non empty set and let F be a sequence of partial functions from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The functor $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}$ yields a sequence of partial functions from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(0) = F(0)$ and for every natural number n holds $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n+1) = (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n) + F(n+1).$

Let X be a set and let F be a sequence of partial functions from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. We say that F is additive if and only if:

- (Def. 5) For all natural numbers n, m such that $n \neq m$ and for every set x such that $x \in \text{dom } F(n) \cap \text{dom } F(m)$ holds $F(n)(x) \neq +\infty$ or $F(m)(x) \neq -\infty$. Next we state a number of propositions:
 - (22) If $z \in \operatorname{dom}(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ and $m \leq n$, then $z \in \operatorname{dom}(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(m)$ and $z \in \operatorname{dom} F(m)$.
 - (23) If $z \in \operatorname{dom}(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ and $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)(z) = +\infty$, then there exists a natural number m such that $m \leq n$ and $F(m)(z) = +\infty$.
 - (24) If F is additive and $z \in \operatorname{dom}(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ and $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)(z) = +\infty$ and $m \le n$, then $F(m)(z) \ne -\infty$.
 - (25) If $z \in \operatorname{dom}(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ and $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)(z) = -\infty$, then there exists a natural number m such that $m \leq n$ and $F(m)(z) = -\infty$.
 - (26) If F is additive and $z \in \operatorname{dom}(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ and $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)(z) = -\infty$ and $m \leq n$, then $F(m)(z) \neq +\infty$.
 - (27) If F is additive, then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}(n)^{-1}(\{-\infty\}) \cap F(n+1)^{-1}(\{+\infty\}) = \emptyset$ and $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}(n)^{-1}(\{+\infty\}) \cap F(n+1)^{-1}(\{-\infty\}) = \emptyset$.
 - (28) If F is additive, then dom $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n) = \bigcap \{ \operatorname{dom} F(k); k \text{ ranges} over elements of } \mathbb{N}: k \leq n \}.$
 - (29) If F is additive and has the same dom, then dom $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}(n) =$ dom F(0).
 - (30) If for every natural number n holds F(n) is non-negative, then F is additive.
 - (31) If F is additive and for every n holds $G(n) = F(n) \upharpoonright D$, then G is additive.
 - (32) If F is additive and has the same dom and $D \subseteq \text{dom } F(0)$ and $x \in D$, then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} (F \# x)(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n) = ((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \# x)(n).$
 - (33) Suppose F is additive and has the same dom and $D \subseteq \text{dom} F(0)$ and $x \in D$. Then
 - (i) $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} (F \# x)(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent to finite number iff $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \# x$ is convergent to finite number,
 - (ii) $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} (F \# x)(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent to $+\infty$ iff $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \# x$ is convergent to $+\infty$,

- (iii) $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} (F \# x)(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent to $-\infty$ iff $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \# x$ is convergent to $-\infty$, and
- (iv) $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} (F \# x)(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent iff $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \# x$ is convergent.
- (34) If F is additive and has the same dom and dom $f \subseteq \text{dom } F(0)$ and $x \in \text{dom } f$ and F # x is summable and $f(x) = \sum F \# x$, then $f(x) = \lim((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \# x)$.
- (35) Suppose that for every natural number m holds F(m) is simple function in S. Then F is additive and $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ is simple function in S.
- (36) If for every natural number m holds F(m) is non-negative, then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ is non-negative.
- (37) If F has the same dom and $x \in \text{dom } F(0)$ and for every natural number k holds F(k) is non-negative and $n \leq m$, then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)(x) \leq (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(m)(x)$.
- (38) Suppose F has the same dom and $x \in \text{dom } F(0)$ and for every natural number m holds F(m) is non-negative. Then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}} \# x$ is non-decreasing and $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}} \# x$ is convergent.
- (39) If for every natural number m holds F(m) is without $-\infty$, then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ is without $-\infty$.
- (40) If for every natural number m holds F(m) is without $+\infty$, then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ is without $+\infty$.
- (41) Suppose that for every natural number n holds F(n) is measurable on E and F(n) is without $-\infty$. Then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}(m)$ is measurable on E.
- (42) Suppose that
 - (i) F is additive and has the same dom,
- (ii) G is additive and has the same dom,
- (iii) $x \in \operatorname{dom} F(0) \cap \operatorname{dom} G(0)$, and
- (iv) for every natural number k and for every element y of X such that $y \in \operatorname{dom} F(0) \cap \operatorname{dom} G(0)$ holds $F(k)(y) \leq G(k)(y)$. Then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)(x) \leq (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} G(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n)(x)$.
- (43) Let X be a non empty set and F be a sequence of partial functions from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. If F is additive and has the same dom, then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}$
- has the same dom.(44) Suppose that
- (i) $\operatorname{dom} F(0) = E$,
- (ii) F is additive and has the same dom,
- (iii) for every natural number n holds $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}(n)$ is measurable on E, and
- (iv) for every element x of X such that $x \in E$ holds F # x is summable.

Then $\lim_{\alpha \to 0} F(\alpha)_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ is measurable on E.

- (45) Suppose that for every natural number n holds F(n) is integrable on M. Let m be a natural number. Then $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(m)$ is integrable on M.
- (46) Suppose that
 - (i) $E = \operatorname{dom} F(0),$
 - (ii) F is additive and has the same dom, and
- (iii) for every natural number n holds F(n) is measurable on E and F(n) is non-negative and $I(n) = \int F(n) \, dM$.

Then
$$\int (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(m) dM = (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} I(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(m).$$

4. Sequence of Measurable Functions

Next we state two propositions:

- (47) Suppose that
- (i) $E \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$,
- (ii) f is non-negative,
- (iii) f is measurable on E,
- (iv) F is additive,
- (v) for every n holds F(n) is simple function in S and F(n) is non-negative and $E \subseteq \text{dom } F(n)$, and
- (vi) for every x such that $x \in E$ holds F # x is summable and $f(x) = \sum F \# x$.

Then there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every n holds $I(n) = \int F(n) \upharpoonright E \, \mathrm{d}M$ and I is summable and $\int f \upharpoonright E \, \mathrm{d}M = \sum I$.

- (48) Suppose $E \subseteq \text{dom } f$ and f is non-negative and f is measurable on E. Then there exists a sequence g of partial functions from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that
 - (i) g is additive,
 - (ii) for every natural number n holds g(n) is simple function in S and g(n) is non-negative and g(n) is measurable on E,
- (iii) for every element x of X such that $x \in E$ holds g # x is summable and $f(x) = \sum g \# x$, and
- (iv) there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every natural number n holds $I(n) = \int g(n) \restriction E \, dM$ and I is summable and $\int f \restriction E \, dM = \sum I$.

Let X be a non empty set. Observe that there exists a sequence of partial functions from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ which is additive and has the same dom.

Let C, D, X be non empty sets, let F be a function from $C \times D$ into $X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, let c be an element of C, and let d be an element of D. Then F(c, d) is a partial function from X to $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Let C, D, X be non empty sets, let F be a function from $C \times D$ into X, and let c be an element of C. The functor $\operatorname{curry}(F, c)$ yields a function from D into X and is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) For every element d of D holds $(\operatorname{curry}(F, c))(d) = F(c, d)$.

Let C, D, X be non empty sets, let F be a function from $C \times D$ into X, and let d be an element of D. The functor curry'(F, d) yields a function from C into X and is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) For every element c of C holds $(\operatorname{curry}'(F, d))(c) = F(c, d)$.

Let X, Y be sets, let F be a function from $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ into $X \rightarrow Y$, and let n be a natural number. The functor curry(F, n) yielding a sequence of partial functions from X into Y is defined by:

(Def. 8) For every natural number m holds $(\operatorname{curry}(F, n))(m) = F(n, m)$.

The functor curry (F, n) yields a sequence of partial functions from X into Y and is defined by:

(Def. 9) For every natural number m holds $(\operatorname{curry}'(F, n))(m) = F(m, n)$.

Let X be a non empty set, let F be a function from \mathbb{N} into $(X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}})^{\mathbb{N}}$, and let n be a natural number. Then F(n) is a sequence of partial functions from X into $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

The following four propositions are true:

- (49) Suppose E = dom F(0) and F has the same dom and for every natural number n holds F(n) is non-negative and F(n) is measurable on E. Then there exists a function F_1 from \mathbb{N} into $(X \rightarrow \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for every natural number n holds
 - (i) for every natural number m holds $F_1(n)(m)$ is simple function in S and dom $F_1(n)(m) = \text{dom } F(n)$,
 - (ii) for every natural number m holds $F_1(n)(m)$ is non-negative,
- (iii) for all natural numbers j, k such that $j \le k$ and for every element x of X such that $x \in \text{dom } F(n)$ holds $F_1(n)(j)(x) \le F_1(n)(k)(x)$, and
- (iv) for every element x of X such that $x \in \text{dom } F(n)$ holds $F_1(n) \# x$ is convergent and $\lim_{x \to \infty} (F_1(n) \# x) = F(n)(x)$.
- (50) Suppose that
 - (i) $E = \operatorname{dom} F(0),$
 - (ii) F is additive and has the same dom, and
- (iii) for every natural number n holds F(n) is measurable on E and F(n) is non-negative.

Then there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every natural number n holds

 $I(n) = \int F(n) \, \mathrm{d}M$ and $\int (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n) \, \mathrm{d}M = (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} I(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(n).$

- (51) Suppose that
 - (i) $E \subseteq \operatorname{dom} F(0),$

NOBORU ENDOU *et al.*

- (ii) F is additive and has the same dom,
- (iii) for every natural number n holds F(n) is non-negative and F(n) is measurable on E, and
- (iv) for every element x of X such that $x \in E$ holds F # x is summable. Then there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every natural number n holds $I(n) = \int F(n) \upharpoonright E \, dM$ and I is summable and

 $\int \lim \left(\left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha) \right)_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \right) | E \, \mathrm{d}M = \sum I.$

- (52) Suppose that
 - (i) $E = \operatorname{dom} F(0),$
 - (ii) F(0) is non-negative,
- (iii) F has the same dom,
- (iv) for every natural number n holds F(n) is measurable on E,
- (v) for all natural numbers n, m such that $n \le m$ and for every element x of X such that $x \in E$ holds $F(n)(x) \le F(m)(x)$, and
- (vi) for every element x of X such that $x \in E$ holds F # x is convergent. Then there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every natural number n holds $I(n) = \int F(n) dM$ and I is convergent and $\int \lim F dM = \lim I$.

References

- Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [3] Józef Białas. Infimum and supremum of the set of real numbers. Measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):163-171, 1991.
- [4] Józef Białas. Series of positive real numbers. Measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):173–183, 1991.
- [5] Józef Białas. The σ -additive measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(2):263–270, 1991.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153-164, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47-53, 1990.
- [11] Noboru Endou and Yasunari Shidama. Integral of measurable function. Formalized Mathematics, 14(2):53–70, 2006.
- [12] Noboru Endou, Yasunari Shidama, and Keiko Narita. Egoroff's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 16(1):57–63, 2008.
- [13] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Basic properties of extended real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 9(3):491–494, 2001.
- [14] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Definitions and basic properties of measurable functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):495–500, 2001.
- [15] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. The measurability of extended real valued functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):525–529, 2001.
- [16] P. R. Halmos. Measure Theory. Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [17] Andrzej Nędzusiak. σ -fields and probability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [18] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.

- [19] Beata Perkowska. Functional sequence from a domain to a domain. Formalized Mathe-matics, 3(1):17–21, 1992.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
 [21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186,
- 1990. [22] Hiroshi Yamazaki, Noboru Endou, Yasunari Shidama, and Hiroyuki Okazaki. Inferior limit, superior limit and convergence of sequences of extended real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 15(4):231–236, 2007.

Received March 18, 2008

Mizar Analysis of Algorithms: Algorithms over Integers¹

Grzegorz Bancerek Białystok Technical University Poland

Summary. This paper is a continuation of [5] and concerns if-while algebras over integers. In these algebras the only elementary instructions are assignment instructions. The instruction assigns to a (program) variable a value which is calculated for the current state according to some arithmetic expression. The expression may include variables, constants, and a limited number of arithmetic operations. States are functions from a given set of locations into integers. A variable is a function from the states into the locations and an expression is a function from the states into the locations (computability) limit the set of variables and expressions and, simultaneously, allow to write algorithms in a natural way (and to prove their correctness).

As examples the proofs of full correctness of two Euclid algorithms (with modulo operation and subtraction) and algorithm of exponentiation by squaring are given.

 $\rm MML$ identifier: AOFA_IOO, version: 7.8.10 4.100.1011

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following papers: [16], [30], [2], [31], [12], [32], [15], [13], [17], [11], [1], [3], [28], [7], [24], [29], [21], [20], [25], [9], [27], [14], [8], [18], [26], [22], [19], [10], [23], [4], [6], and [5].

1. Preliminaries

One can prove the following proposition

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

 $^{^1\}mathrm{This}$ work has been partially supported by the Białystok Technical University grant W/WI/1/06.

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

(1) Let x, y, z, a, b, c be sets. Suppose $a \neq b \neq c \neq a$. Then there exists a function f such that f(a) = x and f(b) = y and f(c) = z.

Let F be a non empty functional set, let x be a set, and let f be a set. The functor $F \upharpoonright_{\neq f}^{x}$ yields a subset of F and is defined by:

(Def. 1) $F \upharpoonright_{\neq f}^{x} = \{g \in F \colon g(x) \neq f\}.$

- One can prove the following proposition
- (2) Let F be a non empty functional set, x, y be sets, and g be an element of F. Then $g \in F \upharpoonright_{\neq y}^{x}$ if and only if $g(x) \neq y$.

Let X be a set, let Y, Z be sets, and let f be a function from $\mathbb{Z}^X \times Y$ into Z.

(Def. 2) An element of X is called a variable in f.

Let f be a real-yielding function and let x be a real number. We introduce $f \cdot x$ as a synonym of x f.

Let t_1 , t_2 be integer-yielding functions. The functors: $t_1 \div t_2$, $t_1 \mod t_2$, $leq(t_1, t_2)$, $gt(t_1, t_2)$, and $eq(t_1, t_2)$ yield integer-yielding functions and are defined as follows:

- (Def. 3) $\operatorname{dom}(t_1 \div t_2) = \operatorname{dom} t_1 \cap \operatorname{dom} t_2$ and for every set s such that $s \in \operatorname{dom}(t_1 \div t_2)$ holds $(t_1 \div t_2)(s) = t_1(s) \div t_2(s)$.
- (Def. 4) dom $(t_1 \mod t_2) = \text{dom} t_1 \cap \text{dom} t_2$ and for every set s such that $s \in \text{dom}(t_1 \mod t_2)$ holds $(t_1 \mod t_2)(s) = t_1(s) \mod t_2(s)$.
- (Def. 5) dom leq (t_1, t_2) = dom $t_1 \cap$ dom t_2 and for every set s such that $s \in$ dom leq (t_1, t_2) holds $(\text{leq}(t_1, t_2))(s) = (t_1(s) > t_2(s) \to 0, 1).$
- (Def. 6) dom $\operatorname{gt}(t_1, t_2) = \operatorname{dom} t_1 \cap \operatorname{dom} t_2$ and for every set s such that $s \in \operatorname{dom} \operatorname{gt}(t_1, t_2)$ holds $(\operatorname{gt}(t_1, t_2))(s) = (t_1(s) > t_2(s) \to 1, 0).$
- (Def. 7) dom eq (t_1, t_2) = dom $t_1 \cap$ dom t_2 and for every set s such that $s \in$ dom eq (t_1, t_2) holds $(eq(t_1, t_2))(s) = (t_1(s) = t_2(s) \rightarrow 1, 0).$

Let X be a non empty set, let f be a function from X into Z, and let x be an integer number. Then f + x, f - x, and $f \cdot x$ are functions from X into Z and they can be characterized by the conditions:

- (Def. 8) For every element s of X holds (f + x)(s) = f(s) + x.
- (Def. 9) For every element s of X holds (f x)(s) = f(s) x.
- (Def. 10) For every element s of X holds $(f \cdot x)(s) = f(s) \cdot x$.

Let X be a set and let f, g be functions from X into Z. Then $f \div g, f \mod g$, $\operatorname{leq}(f,g), \operatorname{gt}(f,g)$, and $\operatorname{eq}(f,g)$ are functions from X into Z.

Let X be a non empty set and let t_1 , t_2 be functions from X into \mathbb{Z} . Then $t_1 - t_2$ and $t_1 + t_2$ are functions from X into \mathbb{Z} and they can be characterized by the conditions:

(Def. 11) For every element s of X holds $(t_1 - t_2)(s) = t_1(s) - t_2(s)$.

(Def. 12) For every element s of X holds $(t_1 + t_2)(s) = t_1(s) + t_2(s)$.

Let A be a non empty set and let B be an infinite set. Note that B^A is infinite.

Let N be a set, let v be a function, and let f be a function. The functor $v \circ_N f$ yields a function and is defined by the conditions (Def. 13).

- (Def. 13)(i) There exists a set Y such that for every set y holds $y \in Y$ iff there exists a function h such that $h \in \operatorname{dom} v$ and $y \in \operatorname{rng} h$ and for every set a holds $a \in \operatorname{dom}(v \circ_N f)$ iff $a \in Y^N$ and there exists a function g such that a = q and $q \cdot f \in \operatorname{dom} v$, and
 - (ii) for every function g such that $g \in \text{dom}(v \circ_N f)$ holds $(v \circ_N f)(g) = v(g \cdot f)$.

Let X, Y, Z, N be non empty sets, let v be an element of Z^{Y^X} , and let f be a function from X into N. Then $v \circ_N f$ is an element of Z^{Y^N} .

The following three propositions are true:

- (3) For all functions f_1 , f_2 , g such that $\operatorname{rng} g \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f_2$ holds $(f_1 + f_2) \cdot g = f_2 \cdot g$.
- (4) Let X, N, I be non empty sets, s be a function from X into I, and c be a function from X into N. Suppose c is one-to-one. Let n be an element of I. Then $(N \mapsto n) + s \cdot c^{-1}$ is a function from N into I.
- (5) Let N, X, I be non empty sets and v_1, v_2 be functions. Suppose dom $v_1 =$ dom $v_2 = I^X$. Let f be a function from X into N. If f is one-to-one and $v_1 \circ_N f = v_2 \circ_N f$, then $v_1 = v_2$.

Let X be a set. Observe that there exists a function from X into \overline{X} which is one-to-one and onto and there exists a function from $\overline{\overline{X}}$ into X which is one-to-one and onto.

Let X be a set. An enumeration of X is an one-to-one onto function from X into $\overline{\overline{X}}$. A denumeration of X is an one-to-one onto function from $\overline{\overline{X}}$ into X.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (6) Let X be a set and f be a function. Then f is an enumeration of X if and only if dom f = X and rng $f = \overline{X}$ and f is one-to-one.
- (7) Let X be a set and f be a function. Then f is a denumeration of X if and only if dom $f = \overline{\overline{X}}$ and rng f = X and f is one-to-one.
- (8) Let X be a non empty set, x, y be elements of X, and f be an enumeration of X. Then f + (x, f(y)) + (y, f(x)) is an enumeration of X.
- (9) For every non empty set X and for every element x of X there exists an enumeration f of X such that f(x) = 0.
- (10) For every non empty set X and for every denumeration f of X holds $f(0) \in X$.
- (11) For every countable set X and for every enumeration f of X holds rng $f \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Let X be a set and let f be an enumeration of X. Then f^{-1} is a denumeration of X.

Let X be a set and let f be a denumeration of X. Then f^{-1} is an enumeration of X.

We now state two propositions:

- (12) For all natural numbers n, m holds $0^{n+m} = 0^n \cdot 0^m$.
- (13) For every real number x and for all natural numbers n, m holds $(x^n)^m = x^{n \cdot m}$.

2. IF-WHILE ALGEBRA OVER INTEGERS

Let X be a non empty set. A \mathbb{Z} -variable of X is a function from \mathbb{Z}^X into X. A \mathbb{Z} -expression of X is a function from \mathbb{Z}^X into \mathbb{Z} . A \mathbb{Z} -array of X is a function from \mathbb{Z} into X.

In the sequel A is a pre-if-while algebra.

Let us consider A, let I be an element of A, let X be a non empty set, let T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , and let f be an execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and T. We say that I is an assignment w.r.t. A, X, and f if and only if the conditions (Def. 14) are satisfied.

- (Def. 14)(i) $I \in \text{ElementaryInstructions}_A$, and
 - (ii) there exists a \mathbb{Z} -variable v of X and there exists a \mathbb{Z} -expression t of X such that for every element s of \mathbb{Z}^X holds f(s, I) = s + (v(s), t(s)).

Let us consider A, let X be a non empty set, let T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , let f be an execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and T, let v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of X, and let t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of X. We say that v and t form an assignment w.r.t. f if and only if:

(Def. 15) There exists an element I of A such that $I \in \text{ElementaryInstructions}_A$ and for every element s of \mathbb{Z}^X holds f(s, I) = s + (v(s), t(s)).

Let us consider A, let X be a non empty set, let T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , and let f be an execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and T. Let us assume that there exists an element of A which is an assignment w.r.t. A, X, and f. A \mathbb{Z} -variable of X is said to be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of A w.r.t. f if:

(Def. 16) There exists a \mathbb{Z} -expression t of X such that it and t form an assignment w.r.t. f.

Let us consider A, let X be a non empty set, let T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , and let f be an execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and T. Let us assume that there exists an element of A which is an assignment w.r.t. A, X, and f. A Z-expression of X is said to be a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f if:

(Def. 17) There exists a \mathbb{Z} -variable v of X such that v and it form an assignment w.r.t. f.

Let X, Y be non empty sets, let f be an element of Y^X , and let x be an element of X. Then f(x) is an element of Y.

Let X be a non empty set and let x be an element of X. The functor \dot{x} yielding a \mathbb{Z} -expression of X is defined as follows:

(Def. 18) For every element s of \mathbb{Z}^X holds $(\dot{x})(s) = s(x)$.

Let X be a non empty set and let v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of X. The functor \dot{v} yielding a \mathbb{Z} -expression of X is defined by:

(Def. 19) For every element s of \mathbb{Z}^X holds $(\dot{v})(s) = s(v(s))$.

Let X be a non empty set and let x be an element of X. The functor \hat{x} yields a \mathbb{Z} -variable of X and is defined by:

(Def. 20) $\hat{x} = \mathbb{Z}^X \longmapsto x.$

The following proposition is true

(14) For every non empty set X and for every element x of X holds $\dot{x} = \dot{x}$.

Let X be a non empty set and let i be an integer number. The functor i_X yields a \mathbb{Z} -expression of X and is defined by:

(Def. 21) $i_X = \mathbb{Z}^X \longmapsto i.$

One can prove the following proposition

(15) For every non empty set X and for every \mathbb{Z} -expression t of X holds $t + 0_X = t$ and $t \cdot 1_X = t$.

Let us consider A, let X be a non empty set, let T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , and let f be an execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and T. We say that f is Euclidean if and only if the conditions (Def. 22) are satisfied.

(Def. 22) For every Z-variable v of A w.r.t. f and for every Z-expression t of A w.r.t. f holds v and t form an assignment w.r.t. f and for every integer number i holds i_X is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and for every Z-variable v of A w.r.t. f holds \dot{v} is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and for every element x of X holds \hat{x} is a Z-variable of A w.r.t. f and there exists a Z-array a of X such that $a \upharpoonright \overline{X}$ is one-to-one and for every Z-expression t of A w.r.t. f holds $a \cdot t$ is a Z-variable of A w.r.t. f and for every Z-expression t of A w.r.t. f holds -t is a Z-variable of A w.r.t. f and for every Z-expression t of A w.r.t. f holds -t is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and for every Z-expression t of A w.r.t. f holds -t is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and for all Z-expressions t_1, t_2 of A w.r.t. f holds $t_1 t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 + t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 + t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 + t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f and $t_1 \div t_2$ is a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f.

Let us consider A. We say that A is Euclidean if and only if:

- (Def. 23) For every non empty countable set X and for every subset T of \mathbb{Z}^X holds there exists an execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and T which is Euclidean. The infinite missing \mathbb{N} set \mathbb{Z} -ElemIns is defined by:
- (Def. 24) \mathbb{Z} -ElemIns = $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}}$.

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

An execution function of $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{Z}\text{-}\text{ElemIns})$ over $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}|_{\neq 0}^{0}$ is said to be a \mathbb{Z} -execution if it satisfies the condition (Def. 25).

(Def. 25) Let s be an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$, v be an element of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}}$, and e be an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}}$. Then it(s, the root tree of $\langle v, e \rangle$) = $s + \cdot (v(s), e(s))$.

Let X be a non empty set. The functor \mathbb{Z} -ElemIns X yielding an infinite missing \mathbb{N} set is defined as follows:

(Def. 26) \mathbb{Z} -ElemIns $X = X^{\mathbb{Z}^X} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}^X}$.

Let X be a non empty set and let x be an element of X. An execution function of $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{Z}\text{-}\mathrm{ElemIns}\,X)$ over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^x$ is said to be a \mathbb{Z} -execution with x if it satisfies the condition (Def. 27).

(Def. 27) Let s be an element of \mathbb{Z}^X , v be an element of $X^{\mathbb{Z}^X}$, and e be an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}^X}$. Then it(s, the root tree of $\langle v, e \rangle$) = $s + \cdot (v(s), e(s))$.

Let X be a non empty set, let T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , and let c be an enumeration of X. Let us assume that $\operatorname{rng} c \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. An execution function of $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{Z}\text{-ElemIns})$ over \mathbb{Z}^X and T is said to be a \mathbb{Z} -execution with c over T if it satisfies the condition (Def. 28).

- (Def. 28) Let s be an element of \mathbb{Z}^X , v be an element of $X^{\mathbb{Z}^X}$, and e be an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}^X}$. Then it(s, the root tree of $\langle c \cdot v \circ_{\mathbb{N}} c, e \circ_{\mathbb{N}} c \rangle$) = $s + \cdot (v(s), e(s))$. We now state three propositions:
 - (16) Let f be a \mathbb{Z} -execution, v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of \mathbb{N} , and t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of \mathbb{N} . Then v and t form an assignment w.r.t. f.
 - (17) For every \mathbb{Z} -execution f holds every \mathbb{Z} -variable of \mathbb{N} is a \mathbb{Z} -variable of $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{Z}$ -ElemIns) w.r.t. f.
 - (18) For every \mathbb{Z} -execution f holds every \mathbb{Z} -expression of \mathbb{N} is a \mathbb{Z} -expression of $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{Z}$ -ElemIns) w.r.t. f.

Let us mention that every \mathbb{Z} -execution is Euclidean.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (19) Let X be a non empty countable set, T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , c be an enumeration of X, f be a \mathbb{Z} -execution with c over T, v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of X, and t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of X. Then v and t form an assignment w.r.t. f.
- (20) Let X be a non empty countable set, T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , c be an enumeration of X, and f be a \mathbb{Z} -execution with c over T. Then every \mathbb{Z} -variable of X is a \mathbb{Z} -variable of $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{Z}$ -ElemIns) w.r.t. f.
- (21) Let X be a non empty countable set, T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , c be an enumeration of X, and f be a \mathbb{Z} -execution with c over T. Then every \mathbb{Z} -expression of X is a \mathbb{Z} -expression of $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{Z}$ -ElemIns) w.r.t. f.

Let X be a countable non empty set, let T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , and let c be an enumeration of X. Observe that every \mathbb{Z} -execution with c over T is Euclidean.

Let us observe that $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{Z}\text{-ElemIns})$ is Euclidean.

One can check that there exists a pre-if-while algebra which is Euclidean and non degenerated.

Let A be an Euclidean pre-if-while algebra, let X be a non empty countable set, and let T be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X . Observe that there exists an execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and T which is Euclidean.

In the sequel A is an Euclidean pre-if-while algebra, X is a non empty countable set, T is a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , and f is an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and T.

Let us consider A, X, T, f and let t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f. Then -t is a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f.

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let t be a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f, and let i be an integer number. Then t + i, t - i, and $t \cdot i$ are Z-expressions of A w.r.t. f. Let us consider A, X, T, f and let t_1 , t_2 be Z-expressions of A w.r.t. f. Then $t_1 - t_2$, $t_1 + t_2$, and $t_1 t_2$ are Z-expressions of A w.r.t. f. Moreover, $t_1 \div t_2$, $t_1 \mod t_2$, $leq(t_1, t_2)$, and $gt(t_1, t_2)$ are also Z-expressions of A w.r.t. f and they can be characterized by the conditions:

(Def. 29) For every element s of \mathbb{Z}^X holds $(t_1 \div t_2)(s) = t_1(s) \div t_2(s)$.

(Def. 30) For every element s of \mathbb{Z}^X holds $(t_1 \mod t_2)(s) = t_1(s) \mod t_2(s)$.

(Def. 31) For every element s of \mathbb{Z}^X holds $(\operatorname{leq}(t_1, t_2))(s) = (t_1(s) > t_2(s) \to 0, 1).$

(Def. 32) For every element s of \mathbb{Z}^X holds $(\operatorname{gt}(t_1, t_2))(s) = (t_1(s) > t_2(s) \to 1, 0)$. Let us consider A, X, T, f and let t_1, t_2 be \mathbb{Z} -expressions of A w.r.t. f. Then $\operatorname{eq}(t_1, t_2)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 33) For every element s of \mathbb{Z}^X holds $(eq(t_1, t_2))(s) = (t_1(s) = t_2(s) \to 1, 0)$. Let us consider A, X, T, f and let v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of A w.r.t. f. The functor \dot{v} yields a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f and is defined by:

(Def. 34) $\dot{v} = \dot{x}$ where x = v qua \mathbb{Z} -variable of X.

Let us consider A, X, T, f and let x be an element of X. The functor $\hat{x}_{A,f}$ yields a \mathbb{Z} -variable of A w.r.t. f and is defined as follows:

(Def. 35) $\hat{x}_{A,f} = \hat{x}$.

Let us consider A, X, T, f and let x be a variable in f. We introduce \hat{x} as a synonym of $\hat{x}_{A,f}$.

Let us consider A, X, T, f and let x be a variable in f. The functor \dot{x} yielding a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f is defined as follows:

(Def. 36) $\dot{x} = \dot{\hat{x}}$.

The following proposition is true

(22) For every variable x in f and for every element s of \mathbb{Z}^X holds $(\dot{x})(s) = s(x)$.

Let us consider A, X, T, f and let i be an integer number. The functor $i_{A,f}$ yields a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f and is defined as follows:

(Def. 37) $i_{A,f} = i_X$.

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of A w.r.t. f, and let t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f. The functor v := t yielding an element of A is defined as follows:

(Def. 38) $v := t = \text{choose}(\{I \in A : I \in \text{ElementaryInstructions}_A \land$

 $\bigwedge_{s: \text{element of } \mathbb{Z}^X} f(s, I) = s + (v(s), t(s)) \}).$

One can prove the following proposition

(23) Let v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of A w.r.t. f and t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f. Then $v := t \in \text{ElementaryInstructions}_A$.

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of A w.r.t. f, and let t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f. Observe that v := t is absolutely-terminating.

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of A w.r.t. f, and let t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f. The functors v += t and v *= t yielding absolutely-terminating elements of A are defined by:

(Def. 39)
$$v = t = v = (\dot{v} + t).$$

(Def. 40)
$$v *= t = v := (\dot{v} t).$$

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let x be an element of X, and let t be a \mathbb{Z} expression of A w.r.t. f. The functor x := t yielding an absolutely-terminating
element of A is defined as follows:

(Def. 41) $x := t = \hat{x}_{A,f} := t.$

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let x be an element of X, and let y be a variable in f. The functor x:=y yields an absolutely-terminating element of A and is defined by:

(Def. 42) $x := y = x := \dot{y}$.

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let x be an element of X, and let v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of A w.r.t. f. The functor x := v yields an absolutely-terminating element of A and is defined by:

(Def. 43) $x := v = x := \dot{v}$.

Let us consider A, X, T, f and let v, w be \mathbb{Z} -variables of A w.r.t. f. The functor v := w yielding an absolutely-terminating element of A is defined as follows:

(Def. 44) $v := w = v := \dot{w}$.

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let x be a variable in f, and let i be an integer number. The functor x := i yielding an absolutely-terminating element of A is defined by:

(Def. 45) $x := i = x := (i_{A,f}).$

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let v_1, v_2 be \mathbb{Z} -variables of A w.r.t. f, and let x be a variable in f. The functor swap (v_1, x, v_2) yields an absolutely-terminating element of A and is defined by:

(Def. 46) swap $(v_1, x, v_2) = x := v_1; v_1 := v_2; v_2 := \dot{x}.$

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let x be a variable in f, and let t be a \mathbb{Z} expression of A w.r.t. f. The functors $x \neq t$, $x \neq t$, $x \neq t$, and $x \neq t$ yielding
absolutely-terminating elements of A are defined by:

- (Def. 47) $x + = t = x := (\dot{x} + t).$
- (Def. 48) $x *= t = x := (\dot{x} t)$.
- (Def. 49) $x = t = x = (\dot{x} \mod t).$
- (Def. 50) $x/=t = x := (\dot{x} \div t).$

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let x be a variable in f, and let i be an integer number. The functor x+=i, x*=i, x%=i, and x/=i yield absolutely-terminating elements of A and are defined as follows:

(Def. 51)
$$x = i = x = (\dot{x} + i)$$
.

- (Def. 52) $x *= i = x := (\dot{x} \cdot i).$
- (Def. 53) $x = i = x = (\dot{x} \mod i_{A,f}).$
- (Def. 54) $x/=i=x:=(\dot{x}\div i_{A,f}).$

The functor $x \div i$ yields a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f and is defined as follows:

(Def. 55)
$$x \div i = \dot{x} \div i_{A,f}$$
.

Let us consider A, X, T, f, let v be a \mathbb{Z} -variable of A w.r.t. f, and let i be an integer number. The functors v := i, v + = i, and v * = i yield absolutely-terminating elements of A and are defined by:

(Def. 56)
$$v := i = v := (i_{A,f})$$
.

- (Def. 57) v = i = v = (v + i).
- (Def. 58) $v *= i = v := (\dot{v} \cdot i).$

Let us consider A, X, let b be an element of X, let g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and let t_1 be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. g. Absolutely-terminating elements " t_1 is odd" and " t_1 is even" of A are defined by:

- (Def. 59) t_1 is odd = $b := (t_1 \mod 2_{A,q})$.
- (Def. 60) t_1 is even $= b := ((t_1 + 1) \mod 2_{A,q}).$

Let t_2 be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. g. The functors $t_1 \log t_2$, $t_1 \operatorname{gt} t_2$, and $t_1 \operatorname{eq} t_2$ yield absolutely-terminating elements of A and are defined as follows:

(Def. 61) $t_1 \log t_2 = b := \log(t_1, t_2).$

The functor t_1 gt t_2 yields an absolutely-terminating element of A and is defined as follows:

(Def. 62) $t_1 \operatorname{gt} t_2 = b := \operatorname{gt}(t_1, t_2).$

(Def. 63) $t_1 \exp t_2 = b := \exp(t_1, t_2).$

Let us consider A, X, let b be an element of X, let g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and let t_1, t_2 be \mathbb{Z} -expressions of A w.r.t. g. We introduce $t_2 \operatorname{geq} t_1$ as a synonym of $t_1 \operatorname{leq} t_2$ and $t_2 \operatorname{lt} t_1$ as a synonym of $t_1 \operatorname{gt} t_2$.

Let us consider A, X, let b be an element of X, let g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and let v_1, v_2 be \mathbb{Z} -variables of A w.r.t. g. The functors $v_1 \text{ leq } v_2$ and $v_1 \text{ gt } v_2$ yield absolutely-terminating elements of A and are defined as follows:

(Def. 64) $v_1 \log v_2 = \dot{v_1} \log \dot{v_2}$.

(Def. 65) $v_1 \operatorname{gt} v_2 = \dot{v}_1 \operatorname{gt} \dot{v}_2.$

Let us consider A, X, let b be an element of X, let g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and let v_1, v_2 be \mathbb{Z} -variables of A w.r.t. g. We introduce $v_2 \operatorname{geq} v_1$ as a synonym of $v_1 \operatorname{leq} v_2$ and $v_2 \operatorname{lt} v_1$ as a synonym of $v_1 \operatorname{gt} v_2$.

Let us consider A, X, let b be an element of X, let g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and let x_1 be a variable in g. Absolutely-terminating elements " x_1 is odd" and " x_1 is even" of A are defined by:

(Def. 66) x_1 is odd = (\dot{x}_1) is odd.

(Def. 67) x_1 is even $= (\dot{x_1})$ is even.

Let x_2 be a variable in g. The functors $x_1 \log x_2$ and $x_1 \operatorname{gt} x_2$ yield absolutelyterminating elements of A and are defined by:

(Def. 68) $x_1 \log x_2 = \dot{x_1} \log \dot{x_2}$.

(Def. 69) $x_1 \operatorname{gt} x_2 = \dot{x_1} \operatorname{gt} \dot{x_2}$.

Let us consider A, X, let b be an element of X, let g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X \upharpoonright_{\neq 0}^b$, and let x_1, x_2 be variables in g. We introduce $x_2 \operatorname{geq} x_1$ as a synonym of $x_1 \operatorname{leq} x_2$ and $x_2 \operatorname{lt} x_1$ as a synonym of $x_1 \operatorname{gt} x_2$.

Let us consider A, X, let b be an element of X, let g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, let x be a variable in g, and let i be an integer number. The functors $x \log i$, $x \gcd i$, $x \gcd i$, and $x \lg i$ yielding absolutely-terminating elements of A are defined as follows:

- (Def. 70) $x \operatorname{leq} i = \dot{x} \operatorname{leq} i_{A,g}$.
- (Def. 71) $x \operatorname{geq} i = \dot{x} \operatorname{geq} i_{A,g}$.
- (Def. 72) $x \operatorname{gt} i = \dot{x} \operatorname{gt} i_{A,g}$.
- (Def. 73) $x \operatorname{lt} i = \dot{x} \operatorname{lt} i_{A,g}$.

The functor $\frac{x}{i}$ yielding a Z-expression of A w.r.t. g is defined as follows:

(Def. 74) $\frac{x}{i} = \dot{x} \div i_{A,g}$.

Let us consider A, X, T, f and let x_1 , x_2 be variables in f. The functors $x_1 += x_2$, $x_1 *= x_2$, $x_1 *= x_2$, $x_1 *= x_2$, and $x_1 /= x_2$ yielding absolutely-terminating elements of A are defined as follows:

- (Def. 75) $x_1 + x_2 = x_1 + x_2$.
- (Def. 76) $x_1 *= x_2 = x_1 *= \dot{x_2}$.
- (Def. 77) $x_1 = x_2 = x_1 = (\dot{x_1} \mod \dot{x_2}).$
- (Def. 78) $x_1 /= x_2 = x_1 := (\dot{x_1} \div \dot{x_2}).$

The functors $x_1 + x_2$, $x_1 \cdot x_2$, $x_1 \mod x_2$, and $x_1 \div x_2$ yield \mathbb{Z} -expressions of A w.r.t. f and are defined as follows:

- (Def. 79) $x_1 + x_2 = \dot{x_1} + \dot{x_2}$.
- (Def. 80) $x_1 \cdot x_2 = \dot{x_1} \, \dot{x_2}$.
- (Def. 81) $x_1 \mod x_2 = \dot{x_1} \mod \dot{x_2}$.
- (Def. 82) $x_1 \div x_2 = \dot{x_1} \div \dot{x_2}$.

For simplicity, we follow the rules: A denotes an Euclidean pre-if-while algebra, X denotes a non empty countable set, x, y, z denote elements of X, s denotes an element of \mathbb{Z}^X , T denotes a subset of \mathbb{Z}^X , f denotes an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and T, v denotes a Z-variable of A w.r.t. f, t denotes a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f, and i denotes an integer number.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (24) f(s, v := t)(v(s)) = t(s) and for every z such that $z \neq v(s)$ holds f(s, v := t)(z) = s(z).
- (25) Let x be a variable in f and i be an integer number. Then f(s, x:=i)(x) = i and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x:=i)(z) = s(z).
- (26) Let x be a variable in f and t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f. Then f(s, x:=t)(x) = t(s) and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x:=t)(z) = s(z).
- (27) For all variables x, y in f holds f(s, x := y)(x) = s(y) and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x := y)(z) = s(z).
- (28) For every variable x in f holds f(s, x = i)(x) = s(x) + i and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x = i)(z) = s(z).
- (29) Let x be a variable in f and t be a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f. Then f(s, x = t)(x) = s(x) + t(s) and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x = t)(z) = s(z).
- (30) For all variables x, y in f holds f(s, x + = y)(x) = s(x) + s(y) and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x + = y)(z) = s(z).
- (31) For every variable x in f holds $f(s, x^{*=i})(x) = s(x) \cdot i$ and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds $f(s, x^{*=i})(z) = s(z)$.
- (32) Let x be a variable in f and t be a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f. Then $f(s, x^{*}=t)(x) = s(x) \cdot t(s)$ and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds $f(s, x^{*}=t)(x) = s(x) \cdot t(s)$

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

 $x \ast = t)(z) = s(z).$

- (33) For all variables x, y in f holds $f(s, x^{*=}y)(x) = s(x) \cdot s(y)$ and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds $f(s, x^{*=}y)(z) = s(z)$.
- (34) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$, x be a variable in g, and i be an integer number. Then
 - (i) if $s(x) \le i$, then $g(s, x \operatorname{leq} i)(b) = 1$,
 - (ii) if s(x) > i, then $g(s, x \log i)(b) = 0$,
- (iii) if $s(x) \ge i$, then $g(s, x \operatorname{geq} i)(b) = 1$,
- (iv) if s(x) < i, then $g(s, x \operatorname{geq} i)(b) = 0$, and
- (v) for every z such that $z \neq b$ holds $g(s, x \log i)(z) = s(z)$ and $g(s, x \deg i)(z) = s(z)$.
- (35) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and x, y be variables in g. Then if $s(x) \leq s(y)$, then $g(s, x \log y)(b) = 1$ and if s(x) > s(y), then $g(s, x \log y)(b) = 0$ and for every z such that $z \neq b$ holds $g(s, x \log y)(z) = s(z)$.
- (36) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$, x be a variable in g, and i be an integer number. Then
 - (i) $s(x) \leq i \text{ iff } g(s, x \operatorname{leq} i) \in \mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$, and
 - (ii) $s(x) \ge i \text{ iff } g(s, x \operatorname{geq} i) \in \mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^{b}.$
- (37) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and x, y be variables in g. Then
 - (i) $s(x) \le s(y)$ iff $g(s, x \operatorname{leq} y) \in \mathbb{Z}^X {\upharpoonright_{\neq 0}^b}$, and
- (ii) $s(x) \ge s(y)$ iff $g(s, x \operatorname{geq} y) \in \mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$.
- (38) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$, x be a variable in g, and i be an integer number. Then
 - (i) if s(x) > i, then $g(s, x \operatorname{gt} i)(b) = 1$,
- (ii) if $s(x) \leq i$, then $g(s, x \operatorname{gt} i)(b) = 0$,
- (iii) if s(x) < i, then $g(s, x \operatorname{lt} i)(b) = 1$,
- (iv) if $s(x) \ge i$, then $g(s, x \operatorname{lt} i)(b) = 0$, and
- (v) for every z such that $z \neq b$ holds $g(s, x \operatorname{gt} i)(z) = s(z)$ and $g(s, x \operatorname{lt} i)(z) = s(z)$.
- (39) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and x, y be variables in g. Then
 - (i) if s(x) > s(y), then $g(s, x \operatorname{gt} y)(b) = 1$,
- (ii) if $s(x) \leq s(y)$, then $g(s, x \operatorname{gt} y)(b) = 0$,
- (iii) if s(x) < s(y), then $g(s, x \operatorname{lt} y)(b) = 1$,
- (iv) if $s(x) \ge s(y)$, then $g(s, x \operatorname{lt} y)(b) = 0$, and

- (v) for every z such that $z \neq b$ holds $g(s, x \operatorname{gt} y)(z) = s(z)$ and $g(s, x \operatorname{lt} y)(z) = s(z)$.
- (40) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$, x be a variable in g, and i be an integer number. Then
 - (i) s(x) > i iff $g(s, x \operatorname{gt} i) \in \mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$, and
- (ii) s(x) < i iff $g(s, x \operatorname{lt} i) \in \mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^{b'}$.
- (41) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and x, y be variables in g. Then
 - (i) s(x) > s(y) iff $g(s, x \operatorname{gt} y) \in \mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$, and
 - (ii) s(x) < s(y) iff $g(s, x \operatorname{lt} y) \in \mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^{\dot{b}}$.
- (42) For every variable x in f holds $f(s, x''=i)(x) = s(x) \mod i$ and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x''=i)(z) = s(z).
- (43) Let x be a variable in f and t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. f. Then $f(s, x = t)(x) = s(x) \mod t(s)$ and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x = t)(z) = s(z).
- (44) For all variables x, y in f holds $f(s, x = y)(x) = s(x) \mod s(y)$ and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x = y)(z) = s(z).
- (45) For every variable x in f holds $f(s, x/=i)(x) = s(x) \div i$ and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x/=i)(z) = s(z).
- (46) Let x be a variable in f and t be a Z-expression of A w.r.t. f. Then $f(s, x/=t)(x) = s(x) \div t(s)$ and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x/=t)(z) = s(z).
- (47) For all variables x, y in f holds $f(s, x/=y)(x) = s(x) \div s(y)$ and for every z such that $z \neq x$ holds f(s, x/=y)(z) = s(z).
- (48) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$, and t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. g. Then
 - (i) $g(s, t \text{ is odd})(b) = t(s) \mod 2$,
- (ii) $g(s, t \text{ is even})(b) = (t(s) + 1) \mod 2$, and
- (iii) for every z such that $z \neq b$ holds g(s, t is odd)(z) = s(z) and g(s, t is even)(z) = s(z).
- (49) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and x be a variable in g. Then
 - (i) $g(s, x \text{ is odd})(b) = s(x) \mod 2$,
- (ii) $g(s, x \text{ is even})(b) = (s(x) + 1) \mod 2$, and
- (iii) for every z such that $z \neq b$ holds g(s, x is odd)(z) = s(z).
- (50) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and t be a \mathbb{Z} -expression of A w.r.t. g. Then
 - (i) t(s) is odd iff $g(s, t \text{ is odd}) \in \mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and
 - (ii) t(s) is even iff $g(s, t \text{ is even}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{X} |_{\neq 0}^{b}$.

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

- (51) Let b be an element of X, g be an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and x be a variable in g. Then
 - (i) s(x) is odd iff $g(s, x \text{ is odd}) \in \mathbb{Z}^X|_{\neq 0}^b$, and
 - (ii) s(x) is even iff $g(s, x \text{ is even}) \in \mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$.

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme *ForToIteration* deals with an Euclidean pre-if-while algebra \mathcal{A} , a countable non empty set \mathcal{B} , an element \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{B} , elements \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{A} , an Euclidean execution function \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{A} over $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}|_{\neq 0}^{\mathcal{C}}$, variables \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} in \mathcal{F} , an element \mathcal{I} of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}$, a \mathbb{Z} -expression \mathcal{J} of \mathcal{A} w.r.t. \mathcal{F} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

 $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})]$ and if $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}) \leq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) + 1$ and if $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}) > \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$

provided the following conditions are met:

- $\mathcal{E} = \operatorname{for} \mathcal{G} := \mathcal{J}$ until $\mathcal{G} \operatorname{leq} \mathcal{H}$ step $\mathcal{G} += 1$ do \mathcal{D} done,
- $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}:=\mathcal{J})],$
- For every element s of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[s]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}(s, \mathcal{D}; \mathcal{G}^{+}=1)]$ and $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}(s, \mathcal{G} \log \mathcal{H})]$,
- For every element s of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[s]$ holds $\mathcal{F}(s, \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{G}) = s(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{F}(s, \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{H}) = s(\mathcal{H})$, and
- $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}$.

The scheme *ForDowntoIteration* deals with an Euclidean pre-if-while algebra \mathcal{A} , a countable non empty set \mathcal{B} , an element \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{B} , elements \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{A} , an Euclidean execution function \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{A} over $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}|_{\neq 0}^{\mathcal{C}}$, variables \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{H} in \mathcal{F} , an element \mathcal{I} of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}$, a \mathbb{Z} -expression \mathcal{J} of \mathcal{A} w.r.t. \mathcal{F} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

 $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})]$ and if $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}) \geq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) - 1$ and if $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I}) < \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{E})(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$

provided the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\mathcal{E} = \operatorname{for} \mathcal{G} := \mathcal{J}$ until $\dot{\mathcal{H}} \operatorname{leq} \dot{\mathcal{G}}$ step $\mathcal{G} + = (-1)$ do \mathcal{D} done,
- $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}:=\mathcal{J})],$
- For every element s of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[s]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}(s, \mathcal{D}; \mathcal{G}^{+}=(-1))]$ and $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{F}(s, \mathcal{H} \log \mathcal{G})]$,
- For every element s of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[s]$ holds $\mathcal{F}(s, \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{G}) = s(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{F}(s, \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{H}) = s(\mathcal{H})$, and
- $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}$.

3. TERMINATION IN IF-WHILE ALGEBRAS OVER INTEGERS

In the sequel b denotes an element of X and g denotes an Euclidean execution function of A over \mathbb{Z}^X and $\mathbb{Z}^X |_{\neq 0}^b$.

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (52) Let I be an element of A and i, n be variables in g. Suppose there exists a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(n) = 1 and d(i) = 2 and for every s holds g(s, I)(n) = s(n) and g(s, I)(i) = s(i). Then iteration of g started in $I; i \neq 1; i \geq n$ terminates w.r.t. $g(s, i \geq n)$.
- (53) Let P be a set, I be an element of A, and i, n be variables in g. Suppose that
 - (i) there exists a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(n) = 1 and d(i) = 2, and
 - (ii) for every s such that s ∈ P holds g(s, I)(n) = s(n) and g(s, I)(i) = s(i) and g(s, I), g(s, i leq n), g(s, i+=1) ∈ P.
 Suppose s ∈ P. Then iteration of g started in I; i+=1; i leq n terminates w.r.t. g(s, i leq n).
- (54) Let I be an element of A. Suppose I is terminating w.r.t. g. Let i, n be variables in g. Suppose there exists a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(n) = 1 and d(i) = 2 and for every s holds g(s, I)(n) = s(n) and g(s, I)(i) = s(i). Then for i:=t until $i \log n$ step i+=1 do I done is terminating w.r.t. g.
- (55) Let P be a set and I be an element of A. Suppose I is terminating w.r.t. g and P. Let i, n be variables in g. Suppose that
 - (i) there exists a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(n) = 1 and d(i) = 2,
 - (ii) for every s such that $s \in P$ holds g(s, I)(n) = s(n) and g(s, I)(i) = s(i), and
- (iii) P is invariant w.r.t. i := t and g, invariant w.r.t. I and g, invariant w.r.t. $i \log n$ and g, and invariant w.r.t. i + 1 and g.

Then for i:=t until i leq n step i + = 1 do I done is terminating w.r.t. g and P.

4. Examples

Let us consider X, A, T, f, s and let I be an element of A. Then f(s, I) is an element of \mathbb{Z}^X .

One can prove the following propositions. Let F denotes the program:

s := 1;
for $i := 2$ until $i \log n$ step $i += 1$ do
s*=i
done

(56) Let n, s, i be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(n) = 1 and d(s) = 2 and d(i) = 3 and d(b) = 4. Then F is terminating w.r.t. g.

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

- (57) Let n, s, i be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(n) = 1 and d(s) = 2 and d(i) = 3 and d(b) = 4. Let q be an element of \mathbb{Z}^X and N be a natural number. If N = q(n), then g(q, F)(s) = N!.
- Let P_0 denotes the program:

s := 1;	
for $i := 1$ until $i \operatorname{leq} n$ step $i += 1$ do	
s*= x	
done	

- (58) Let x, n, s, i be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(x) = 0 and d(n) = 1 and d(s) = 2 and d(i) = 3 and d(b) = 4. Then P_0 is terminating w.r.t. g.
- (59) Let x, n, s, i be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(x) = 0and d(n) = 1 and d(s) = 2 and d(i) = 3 and d(b) = 4. Let q be an element of \mathbb{Z}^X and N be a natural number. If N = q(n), then $g(q, P_0)(s) = q(x)^N$.

Let Fib denotes the program:

x:=0; y:=1; for i:= 1 until i leq n step i+= 1 do z:= x; x:= y; y+= z done

- (60) Let n, x, y, z, i be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(n) = 1 and d(x) = 2 and d(y) = 3 and d(z) = 4 and d(i) = 5. Then *Fib* is terminating w.r.t. g.
- (61) Let n, x, y, z, i be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(b) = 0and d(n) = 1 and d(x) = 2 and d(y) = 3 and d(z) = 4 and d(i) = 5. Let s be an element of \mathbb{Z}^X and N be an element of \mathbb{N} . If N = s(n), then $g(s, Fib)(x) = \operatorname{Fib}(N)$.

Let GCD_1 denotes the program:

while y gt 0 do
 z:= x; z%= y;
 x:= y; y:= z
 done

- (62) Let x, y, z be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(x) = 1 and d(y) = 2 and d(z) = 3. Then GCD_1 is terminating w.r.t. g and $\{s: s(x) > s(y) \land s(y) \ge 0\}$.
- (63) Let x, y, z be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(b) = 0and d(x) = 1 and d(y) = 2 and d(z) = 3. Let s be an element of \mathbb{Z}^X and n, m be elements of \mathbb{N} . If n = s(x) and m = s(y) and n > m, then $g(s, GCD_1)(x) = \gcd(n, m)$.

Let GCD_2 denotes the program:

```
while y 	ext{gt } 0 do

z := (\dot{x} - \dot{y});

if z 	ext{lt } 0 then z *= -1 fi;

x := y;

y := z

done
```

- (64) Let x, y, z be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(x) = 1 and d(y) = 2 and d(z) = 3. Then GCD_2 is terminating w.r.t. g and $\{s: s(x) \ge 0 \land s(y) \ge 0\}$.
- (65) Let x, y, z be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(x) = 1 and d(y) = 2 and d(z) = 3. Let s be an element of \mathbb{Z}^X and n, m be elements of \mathbb{N} . Suppose n = s(x) and m = s(y) and n > 0. Then $g(s, GCD_2)(x) = \gcd(n, m)$.

```
Let P_1 denotes the program:
```

```
y:=1;
while m gt 0 do
    if m is odd then y*= x fi;
    m/=2;
    x*= x
done
```

- (66) Let x, y, m be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(x) = 1 and d(y) = 2 and d(m) = 3. Then P_1 is terminating w.r.t. g and $\{s : s(m) \ge 0\}$.
- (67) Let x, y, m be variables in g. Given a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(x) = 1 and d(y) = 2 and d(m) = 3. Let s be an element of \mathbb{Z}^X and n be a natural number. If n = s(m), then $g(s, P_1)(y) = s(x)^n$.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Countable sets and Hessenberg's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):65–69, 1991.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Joining of decorated trees. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):77–82, 1993.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Mizar analysis of algorithms: Preliminaries. Formalized Mathematics, 15(3):87–110, 2007.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. On defining functions on trees. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):91–101, 1993.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Two programs for scm. Part I preliminaries. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):69–72, 1993.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [9] Józef Białas. Infimum and supremum of the set of real numbers. Measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):163-171, 1991.
- [10] Ewa Burakowska. Subalgebras of the universal algebra. Lattices of subalgebras. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):23–27, 1993.

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

- [11] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55– 65, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990;
- [14] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [15] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [16] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [17] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
 [18] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Definitions and basic properties
- of measurable functions. Formalized Mathematics, 9(3):495–500, 2001.
- [19] Jarosław Kotowicz, Beata Madras, and Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Basic notation of universal algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):251–253, 1992.
- [20] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):887–890, 1990.
 [21] Rafał Kwiatek and Grzegorz Zwara. The divisibility of integers and integer relative primes.
- [21] Rafał Kwiatek and Grzegorz Zwara. The divisibility of integers and integer relative primes. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):829–832, 1990.
- [22] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. On a mathematical model of programs. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):241–250, 1992.
- [23] Beata Perkowska. Free universal algebra construction. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):115– 120, 1993.
- [24] Konrad Raczkowski and Andrzej Nędzusiak. Real exponents and logarithms. Formalized Mathematics, 2(2):213–216, 1991.
- [25] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Abian's fixed point theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 6(3):335–338, 1997.
- [26] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Multivariate polynomials with arbitrary number of variables. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(1):95–110, 2001.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):329-334, 1990.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [29] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [30] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [31] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73-83, 1990.
- [32] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received March 18, 2008

Invertibility of Matrices of Field Elements

Yatsuka Nakamura Shinshu University Nagano, Japan Kunio Oniumi Shinshu University Nagano, Japan

Wenpai Chang Nan Kai Institute of Technology Nantou County, Taiwan

Summary. In this paper the theory of invertibility of matrices of field elements (see e.g. [5], [6]) is developed. The main purpose of this article is to prove that the left invertibility and the right invertibility are equivalent for a matrix of field elements. To prove this, we introduced a special transformation of matrix to some canonical forms. Other concepts as zero vector and base vectors of field elements are also introduced as a preparation.

MML identifier: MATRIX14, version: 7.9.01 4.101.1015

The papers [14], [3], [7], [17], [4], [13], [15], [10], [1], [12], [18], [16], [9], [8], [2], and [11] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

We use the following convention: x, y denote sets, n, m, i, j denote elements of \mathbb{N} , and K denotes a field.

Let K be a non empty zero structure and let us consider n. The functor 0_K^n yields a finite sequence of elements of K and is defined by:

(Def. 1) $0_K^n = n \mapsto 0_K$.

Let K be a non empty zero structure and let us consider n. Then 0_K^n is an element of (the carrier of K)ⁿ.

In the sequel L denotes a non empty additive loop structure. The following three propositions are true:

> C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

YATSUKA NAKAMURA et al.

- (1) Every finite sequence x of elements of L is an element of (the carrier of L)^{len x}.
- (2) For all finite sequences x_1 , x_2 of elements of L such that $\ln x_1 = \ln x_2$ holds $\ln(x_1 + x_2) = \ln x_1$.
- (3) For all finite sequences x_1, x_2 of elements of L such that $len x_1 = len x_2$ holds $len(x_1 - x_2) = len x_1$.

In the sequel G is a non empty multiplicative loop structure.

Next we state four propositions:

- (4) Let x_1, x_2 be finite sequences of elements of G and given i. If $i \in \text{dom}(x_1 \bullet x_2)$, then $(x_1 \bullet x_2)(i) = (x_1)_i \cdot (x_2)_i$ and $(x_1 \bullet x_2)_i = (x_1)_i \cdot (x_2)_i$.
- (5) Let x_1, x_2 be finite sequences of elements of L and i be a natural number. If len $x_1 = \text{len } x_2$ and $1 \le i \le \text{len } x_1$, then $(x_1 + x_2)(i) = (x_1)_i + (x_2)_i$ and $(x_1 - x_2)(i) = (x_1)_i - (x_2)_i$.
- (6) For every element a of K and for every finite sequence x of elements of K holds $-a \cdot x = (-a) \cdot x$ and $-a \cdot x = a \cdot -x$.
- (7) For all finite sequences x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 of elements of G such that $\operatorname{len} x_1 = \operatorname{len} x_2$ and $\operatorname{len} y_1 = \operatorname{len} y_2$ holds $x_1 \cap y_1 \bullet x_2 \cap y_2 = (x_1 \bullet x_2) \cap (y_1 \bullet y_2)$.

Let us consider K and let e_1 , e_2 be finite sequences of elements of K. We introduce $|(e_1, e_2)|$ as a synonym of $e_1 \cdot e_2$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (8) Let x, y be finite sequences of elements of K and a be an element of K. If $\operatorname{len} x = \operatorname{len} y$, then $a \cdot x \bullet y = a \cdot (x \bullet y)$ and $x \bullet a \cdot y = a \cdot (x \bullet y)$.
- (9) For all finite sequences x, y of elements of K and for every element a of K such that $\operatorname{len} x = \operatorname{len} y$ holds $|(a \cdot x, y)| = a \cdot |(x, y)|$.
- (10) For all finite sequences x, y of elements of K and for every element a of K such that len x = len y holds $|(x, a \cdot y)| = a \cdot |(x, y)|$.
- (11) Let x, y_1, y_2 be finite sequences of elements of K and a be an element of K. If len $x = \text{len } y_1$ and len $x = \text{len } y_2$, then $|(x, y_1 + y_2)| = |(x, y_1)| + |(x, y_2)|$.
- (12) For all finite sequences x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 of elements of K such that $\ln x_1 = \ln x_2$ and $\ln y_1 = \ln y_2$ holds $|(x_1 \cap y_1, x_2 \cap y_2)| = |(x_1, x_2)| + |(y_1, y_2)|$.
- (13) For every element p_1 of (the carrier of K)ⁿ holds $p_1 \bullet n \mapsto 0_K = n \mapsto 0_K$.

Let us consider n, let us consider K, and let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. We introduce Inv A as a synonym of A^{\sim} .

2. ZERO VECTOR AND BASE VECTORS OF FIELD ELEMENTS

Next we state several propositions:

 $(14) \quad I_K^{0\times 0}=0_K^{0\times 0} \text{ and } I_K^{0\times 0}=\emptyset.$

- (15) For every square matrix A over K of dimension 0 holds $A = \emptyset$ and $A = I_K^{0 \times 0}$ and $A = 0_K^{0 \times 0}$.
- (16) Every square matrix over K of dimension 0 is invertible.
- (17) For all square matrices A, B, C over K of dimension n holds $(A \cdot B) \cdot C = A \cdot (B \cdot C)$.
- (18) Let A, B be square matrices over K of dimension n. Then A is invertible and $B = A^{\sim}$ if and only if $B \cdot A = I_K^{n \times n}$ and $A \cdot B = I_K^{n \times n}$.
- (19) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Then A is invertible if and only if there exists a square matrix B over K of dimension n such that $B \cdot A = I_K^{n \times n}$ and $A \cdot B = I_K^{n \times n}$.
- (20) For every finite sequence x of elements of K holds $|(x, 0_K^{\ln x})| = 0_K$.
- (21) For every finite sequence x of elements of K holds $|(0_K^{\text{len } x}, x)| = 0_K$.
- (22) For every element a of K holds $|(\langle 0_K \rangle, \langle a \rangle)| = 0_K$.

Let K be a non empty set, let n be a natural number, and let a be an element of K. Then $n \mapsto a$ is a finite sequence of elements of K.

Let us consider K and let n, i be natural numbers. The *i*-versor in K^n yields a finite sequence of elements of K and is defined by:

(Def. 2) The *i*-versor in $K^n = \text{Replace}(n \mapsto 0_K, i, 1_K)$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (23) For all natural numbers n, i holds len (the *i*-versor in K^n) = n.
- (24) For all natural numbers i, n such that $1 \le i \le n$ holds (the *i*-versor in K^n) $(i) = 1_K$.
- (25) Let i, j, n be natural numbers. Suppose $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n$ and $i \ne j$. Then (the *i*-versor in K^n) $(j) = 0_K$.
- (26) For all natural numbers i, n such that $1 \le i \le n$ holds $I_K^{n \times n}(i) =$ the *i*-versor in K^n .
- (27) For all i, j such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ holds $I_{K_{i,j}}^{n \times n} =$ (the *i*-versor in K^n)(j).
- (28) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Then $A = 0_K^{n \times n}$ if and only if for all elements i, j of \mathbb{N} such that $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n$ holds $A_{i,j} = 0_K$.
- (29) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Then $A = I_K^{n \times n}$ if and only if for all elements i, j of \mathbb{N} such that $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n$ holds $A_{i,j} = (i = j \to 1_K, 0_K).$

YATSUKA NAKAMURA et al.

3. Conditions of Invertibility

One can prove the following propositions:

- (30) For all square matrices A, B over K of dimension n holds $(A \cdot B)^{\mathrm{T}} = B^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot A^{\mathrm{T}}$.
- (31) For every square matrix A over K of dimension n such that A is invertible holds A^{T} is invertible and $(A^{\mathrm{T}})^{\sim} = (A^{\sim})^{\mathrm{T}}$.
- (32) Let x be a finite sequence of elements of K and a be an element of K. Given i such that $1 \le i \le \ln x$ and x(i) = a and for every j such that $j \ne i$ and $1 \le j \le \ln x$ holds $x(j) = 0_K$. Then $\sum x = a$.
- (33) Let f_1 , f_2 be finite sequences of elements of K. Then dom $(f_1 \bullet f_2) =$ dom $f_1 \cap$ dom f_2 and for every i such that $i \in$ dom $(f_1 \bullet f_2)$ holds $(f_1 \bullet f_2)(i) =$ $(f_1)_i \cdot (f_2)_i$.
- (34) Let x, y be finite sequences of elements of K and given i. Suppose len x = m and $y = x \bullet$ the *i*-versor in K^m and $1 \le i \le m$. Then y(i) = x(i) and for every j such that $j \ne i$ and $1 \le j \le m$ holds $y(j) = 0_K$.
- (35) Let x be a finite sequence of elements of K. Suppose len x = m and $1 \le i \le m$. Then $|(x, \text{the } i\text{-versor in } K^m)| = x(i)$ and $|(x, \text{the } i\text{-versor in } K^m)| = x_i$.
- (36) For all m, i such that $1 \le i \le m$ holds $|(\text{the } i\text{-versor in } K^m, \text{the } i\text{-versor in } K^m)| = 1_K$.
- (37) Let a be an element of K and P, Q be square matrices over K of dimension n. Suppose that n > 0 and $a \neq 0_K$ and $P_{1,1} = a^{-1}$ and for every i such that $1 < i \le n$ holds P(i) = the *i*-versor in K^n and $Q_{1,1} = a$ and for every j such that $1 < j \le n$ holds $Q_{1,j} = -a \cdot P_{1,j}$ and for every i such that $1 < i \le n$ holds Q(i) = the *i*-versor in K^n . Then P is invertible and $Q = P^{\sim}$.
- (38) Let a be an element of K and P be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Suppose n > 0 and $a \neq 0_K$ and $P_{1,1} = a^{-1}$ and for every i such that $1 < i \le n$ holds P(i) = the *i*-versor in K^n . Then P is invertible.
- (39) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Suppose n > 0 and $A_{1,1} \neq 0_K$. Then there exists a square matrix P over K of dimension n such that
 - (i) P is invertible,
- (ii) $(A \cdot P)_{1,1} = 1_K,$
- (iii) for every j such that $1 < j \le n$ holds $(A \cdot P)_{1,j} = 0_K$, and
- (iv) for every *i* such that $1 < i \le n$ and $A_{i,1} = 0_K$ holds $(A \cdot P)_{i,1} = 0_K$.
- (40) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Suppose n > 0 and $A_{1,1} \neq 0_K$. Then there exists a square matrix P over K of dimension n such that

- (i) P is invertible,
- (ii) $(P \cdot A)_{1,1} = 1_K,$
- (iii) for every *i* such that $1 < i \le n$ holds $(P \cdot A)_{i,1} = 0_K$, and
- (iv) for every j such that $1 < j \le n$ and $A_{1,j} = 0_K$ holds $(P \cdot A)_{1,j} = 0_K$.
- (41) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Suppose n > 0 and $A_{1,1} \neq 0_K$. Then there exist square matrices P, Q over K of dimension n such that
 - (i) P is invertible,
- (ii) Q is invertible,
- (iii) $(P \cdot A \cdot Q)_{1,1} = 1_K,$
- (iv) for every *i* such that $1 < i \le n$ holds $(P \cdot A \cdot Q)_{i,1} = 0_K$, and
- (v) for every j such that $1 < j \le n$ holds $(P \cdot A \cdot Q)_{1,j} = 0_K$.
 - 4. A TRANSFORMATION OF MATRIX TO SOME CANONICAL FORM

We now state the proposition

(42) Let D be a non empty set, m, n, i, j be elements of N, and A be a matrix over D of dimension $m \times n$. Then Swap(A, i, j) is a matrix over D of dimension $m \times n$.

Let us consider K, let n be an element of \mathbb{N} , and let i_0 be a natural number. The functor SwapDiagonal (K, n, i_0) yields a square matrix over K of dimension n and is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) SwapDiagonal $(K, n, i_0) =$ Swap $(I_K^{n \times n}, 1, i_0)$.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (43) Let n be an element of \mathbb{N} , i_0 be a natural number, and A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Suppose $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ and A =SwapDiagonal (K, n, i_0) . Let i, j be natural numbers. Suppose $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Suppose $i_0 \neq 1$. Then
 - (i) if i = 1 and $j = i_0$, then $A_{i,j} = 1_K$,
- (ii) if $i = i_0$ and j = 1, then $A_{i,j} = 1_K$,
- (iii) if i = 1 and j = 1, then $A_{i,j} = 0_K$,
- (iv) if $i = i_0$ and $j = i_0$, then $A_{i,j} = 0_K$, and
- (v) if $i \neq 1$ and $i \neq i_0$ or $j \neq 1$ and $j \neq i_0$, then if i = j, then $A_{i,j} = 1_K$ and if $i \neq j$, then $A_{i,j} = 0_K$.
- (44) Let n be an element of N, A be a square matrix over K of dimension n, and i be a natural number. If $1 \le i \le n$, then $(\text{SwapDiagonal}(K, n, 1))_{i,i} = 1_K$.
- (45) Let n be an element of \mathbb{N} , A be a square matrix over K of dimension n, and i, j be natural numbers. If $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, then if $i \neq j$, then (SwapDiagonal(K, n, 1))_{i,j} = 0_K.

- (46) Let given K, n, i_0 be elements of \mathbb{N} , and A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Suppose that
 - (i) $1 \le i_0$,
 - (ii) $i_0 \leq n$,
- (iii) $i_0 = 1$, and
- (iv) for all natural numbers i, j such that $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n$ holds if i = j, then $A_{i,j} = 1_K$ and if $i \ne j$, then $A_{i,j} = 0_K$.

Then A =SwapDiagonal (K, n, i_0) .

- (47) Let given K, n, i_0 be elements of \mathbb{N} , and A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Suppose that
 - (i) $1 \le i_0$,
 - (ii) $i_0 \leq n$,
- (iii) $i_0 \neq 1$, and
- (iv) for all natural numbers i, j such that $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n$ holds if i = 1 and $j = i_0$, then $A_{i,j} = 1_K$ and if $i = i_0$ and j = 1, then $A_{i,j} = 1_K$ and if i = 1 and j = 1, then $A_{i,j} = 0_K$ and if $i = i_0$ and $j = i_0$, then $A_{i,j} = 0_K$ and if $i \ne 1$ and $i \ne i_0$ or $j \ne 1$ and $j \ne i_0$, then if i = j, then $A_{i,j} = 1_K$ and if $i \ne j$, then $A_{i,j} = 0_K$. Then $A = SwapDiagonal(K, n, i_0)$.
- (48) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n and i_0 be an element of N. Suppose $1 \le i_0 \le n$. Then
 - (i) for every j such that $1 \le j \le n$ holds $(\text{SwapDiagonal}(K, n, i_0) \cdot A)_{i_0, j} = A_{1,j}$ and $(\text{SwapDiagonal}(K, n, i_0) \cdot A)_{1,j} = A_{i_0,j}$, and
 - (ii) for all i, j such that $i \neq 1$ and $i \neq i_0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ holds (SwapDiagonal $(K, n, i_0) \cdot A$)_{i,j} = $A_{i,j}$.
- (49) For every element i_0 of \mathbb{N} such that $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ holds SwapDiagonal (K, n, i_0) is invertible and $(SwapDiagonal(K, n, i_0))^{\smile} =$ SwapDiagonal (K, n, i_0) .
- (50) For every element i_0 of \mathbb{N} such that $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ holds $(\operatorname{SwapDiagonal}(K, n, i_0))^{\mathrm{T}} = \operatorname{SwapDiagonal}(K, n, i_0).$
- (51) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n and j_0 be an element of N. Suppose $1 \le j_0 \le n$. Then
 - (i) for every *i* such that $1 \le i \le n$ holds $(A \cdot \text{SwapDiagonal}(K, n, j_0))_{i,j_0} = A_{i,1}$ and $(A \cdot \text{SwapDiagonal}(K, n, j_0))_{i,1} = A_{i,j_0}$, and
 - (ii) for all i, j such that $j \neq 1$ and $j \neq j_0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ holds $(A \cdot \text{SwapDiagonal}(K, n, j_0))_{i,j} = A_{i,j}$.
- (52) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Then $A = 0_K^{n \times n}$ if and only if for all i, j such that $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n$ holds $A_{i,j} = 0_K$.

The following four propositions are true:

- (53) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Suppose $A \neq 0_K^{n \times n}$. Then there exist square matrices B, C over K of dimension n such that
 - (i) B is invertible,
 - (ii) C is invertible,
- (iii) $(B \cdot A \cdot C)_{1,1} = 1_K,$
- (iv) for every *i* such that $1 < i \le n$ holds $(B \cdot A \cdot C)_{i,1} = 0_K$, and
- (v) for every j such that $1 < j \le n$ holds $(B \cdot A \cdot C)_{1,j} = 0_K$.
- (54) Let A, B be square matrices over K of dimension n. Suppose $B \cdot A = I_K^{n \times n}$. Then there exists a square matrix B_2 over K of dimension n such that $A \cdot B_2 = I_K^{n \times n}$.
- (55) Let A be a square matrix over K of dimension n. Then the following statements are equivalent
 - (i) there exists a square matrix B_1 over K of dimension n such that $B_1 \cdot A = I_K^{n \times n}$,
- (ii) there exists a square matrix B_2 over K of dimension n such that $A \cdot B_2 = I_K^{n \times n}$.
- (56) For all square matrices A, B over K of dimension n such that $A \cdot B = I_K^{n \times n}$ holds A is invertible and B is invertible.

References

- Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [5] Shigeru Furuya. Matrix and Determinant. Baifuukan (in Japanese), 1957.
- [6] Felix R. Gantmacher. The Theory of Matrices. AMS Chelsea Publishing, 1959.
- [7] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):475–480, 1991.
- [8] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [9] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [11] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Binary operations on finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):979–981, 1990.
- [12] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [13] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [14] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [15] Hiroshi Yamazaki, Yoshinori Fujisawa, and Yatsuka Nakamura. On replace function and swap function for finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):471–474, 2001.

- [16] Xiaopeng Yue, Xiquan Liang, and Zhongpin Sun. Some properties of some special matrices. Formalized Mathematics, 13(4):541–547, 2005.
- [17] Katarzyna Zawadzka. The sum and product of finite sequences of elements of a field. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):205–211, 1992.
- [18] Katarzyna Zawadzka. The product and the determinant of matrices with entries in a field. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):1–8, 1993.

Received April 2, 2008

Ramsey's Theorem

Marco Riccardi Casella Postale 49 54038 Montignoso, Italy

Summary. The goal of this article is to formalize two versions of Ramsey's theorem. The theorems are not phrased in the usually pictorial representation of a coloured graph but use a set-theoretic terminology. After some useful lemma, the second section presents a generalization of Ramsey's theorem on infinite set closely following the book [9]. The last section includes the formalization of the theorem in a more known version (see [1]).

MML identifier: RAMSEY_1, version: 7.9.01 4.101.1015

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following papers: [15], [16], [17], [4], [3], [6], [12], [7], [2], [5], [8], [14], [13], [10], and [11].

1. Preliminaries

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: n, m, k are natural numbers, X, Y, Z are sets, f is a function from X into Y, and H is a subset of X.

Let us consider X, Y, H and let P be a partition of $[X]^Y$. We say that H is homogeneous for P if and only if:

(Def. 1) There exists an element p of P such that $[H]^Y \subseteq p$.

Let us consider n and let X be an infinite set. One can check that $[X]^n$ is non empty.

Let us consider n, X, Y, f. Let us assume that f is one-to-one and $\overline{\overline{n}} \subseteq \overline{\overline{X}}$ and X is non empty and Y is non empty. The functor $f||^n$ yields a function from $[X]^n$ into $[Y]^n$ and is defined by:

(Def. 2) For every element x of $[X]^n$ holds $(f||^n)(x) = f^{\circ}x$. Next we state four propositions:

> C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

MARCO RICCARDI

- (1) If f is one-to-one and $\overline{\overline{n}} \subseteq \overline{X}$ and X is non empty and Y is non empty, then $[f^{\circ}H]^n = (f||^n)^{\circ}([H]^n)$.
- (2) If X is infinite and $X \subseteq \omega$, then $\overline{X} = \omega$.
- (3) If X is infinite, then $X \cup Y$ is infinite.
- (4) If X is infinite and Y is finite, then $X \setminus Y$ is infinite.

Let X be an infinite set and let Y be a set. Note that $X \cup Y$ is infinite. Let X be an infinite set and let Y be a finite set. One can verify that $X \setminus Y$

is infinite.

The following propositions are true:

- (5) $[X]^0 = \{0\}.$
- (6) For every finite set X such that $\operatorname{card} X < n$ holds $[X]^n$ is empty.
- (7) If $X \subseteq Y$, then $[X]^Z \subseteq [Y]^Z$.
- (8) If X is finite and Y is finite and $\overline{\overline{Y}} = X$, then $[Y]^X = \{Y\}$.
- (9) If X is non empty and Y is non empty, then f is constant iff there exists an element y of Y such that rng $f = \{y\}$.
- (10) For every finite set X such that $k \leq \operatorname{card} X$ there exists a subset Y of X such that $\operatorname{card} Y = k$.
- (11) If $m \ge 1$, then $n + 1 \le \binom{n+m}{m}$.
- (12) If $m \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$, then $m + 1 \le \binom{n+m}{m}$.
- (13) Let X be a non empty set, p_1 , p_2 be elements of X, P be a partition of X, and A be an element of P. Suppose $p_1 \in A$ and (the projection onto $P)(p_1) =$ (the projection onto $P)(p_2)$). Then $p_2 \in A$.

2. INFINITE RAMSEY THEOREM

We now state two propositions:

- (14) Let F be a function from $[X]^n$ into k. Suppose $k \neq 0$ and X is infinite. Then there exists H such that H is infinite and $F \upharpoonright [H]^n$ is constant.
- (15) Let X be an infinite set and P be a partition of $[X]^n$. If $\overline{P} = k$, then there exists a subset of X which is infinite and homogeneous for P.

3. RAMSEY'S THEOREM

The scheme BinInd2 concerns a binary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that: $\mathcal{P}[m,n]$

provided the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\mathcal{P}[0,n]$ and $\mathcal{P}[n,0]$, and
- If $\mathcal{P}[m+1,n]$ and $\mathcal{P}[m,n+1]$, then $\mathcal{P}[m+1,n+1]$.

We now state two propositions:

- (16) Suppose $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 2$. Then there exists a natural number r such that
 - $r \le \binom{(m+n)-2}{m-1},$ $r \ge 2,$ and (i)
 - (ii)
- for every finite set X and for every function F from $[X]^2$ into Seg 2 (iii) such that $\operatorname{card} X \ge r$ there exists a subset S of X such that $\operatorname{card} S \ge m$ and $\operatorname{rng}(F | [S]^2) = \{1\}$ or card $S \ge n$ and $\operatorname{rng}(F | [S]^2) = \{2\}$.
- (17) Let m be a natural number. Then there exists a natural number r such that for every finite set X and for every partition P of $[X]^2$ if card $X \ge r$ and $\overline{\overline{P}} = 2$, then there exists a subset S of X such that card $S \ge m$ and S is homogeneous for P.

References

- [1] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler. Proofs from THE BOOK. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2004.
- Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377-382, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55-65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153-164, 1990.
- Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357-367, 1990.
- [7]Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990. [8] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35-40, 1990.
- T. J. Jech. Set Theory. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2002.
- [10] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):887–890, 1990.
- [11] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):83-86, 1993.
- [12] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [13]Marco Riccardi. The sylow theorems. Formalized Mathematics, 15(3):159-165, 2007.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):535-545, 1991.
- [15] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [16] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73-83, 1990.
- [17] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181-186, 1990.

Received April 18, 2008

Towards the Construction of a Model of Mizar Concepts¹

Grzegorz Bancerek Białystok Technical University Poland

Summary. The aim of this paper is to develop a formal theory of Mizar linguistic concepts following the ideas from [14] and [13]. The theory here presented is an abstract of the existing implementation of the Mizar system and is devoted to the formalization of Mizar expressions. The base idea behind the formalization is dependence on variables which is determined by variable-dependence (variables may depend on other variables). The dependence constitutes a Galois connection between opposite poset of dependence-closed set of variables and the sup-semilattice of widening of Mizar types (smooth type widening).

In the paper the concepts strictly connected with Mizar expressions are formalized. Among them are quasi-loci, quasi-terms, quasi-adjectives, and quasitypes. The structural induction and operation of substitution are also introduced. The prefix *quasi* is used to indicate that some rules of construction of Mizar expressions may not be fulfilled. For example, variables, quasi-loci, and quasiterms have no assigned types and, in result, there is no possibility to conduct type-checking of arguments. The other gaps concern inconsistent and out-ofcontext clusters of adjectives in types. Those rules are required in the Mizar *identification* process. However, the expression appearing in later processes of Mizar checker may not satisfy the rules. So, introduced apparatus is enough and adequate to describe data structures and algorithms from the Mizar checker (like *equational classes*).

MML identifier: ABCMIZ_1, version: 7.9.01 4.101.1015

The notation and terminology used in this paper are introduced in the following papers: [24], [41], [33], [30], [42], [20], [43], [39], [23], [17], [34], [21], [22], [32], [2],

 $^{^1\}mathrm{This}$ work has been partially supported by the Białystok Technical University grant W/WI/1/06.

[38], [35], [26], [1], [4], [15], [19], [28], [3], [7], [8], [9], [36], [25], [5], [40], [6], [11], [12], [27], [18], [37], [29], [31], [10], and [16].

1. VARIABLES

We adopt the following convention: i is a natural number, j is an element of \mathbb{N} , and X, Y, x, y, z are sets.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For every function f holds $f(x) \subseteq \bigcup f$.
- (2) For every function f such that $\bigcup f = \emptyset$ holds $f(x) = \emptyset$.
- (3) For every function f and for all sets x, y such that $f = \langle x, y \rangle$ holds x = y.
- (4) $(\mathrm{id}_X)^{\circ}Y \subseteq Y.$
- (5) Let Σ be a non void signature and X be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ . Then every term of Σ over X is non pair.

Let Σ be a non void signature and let X be a non empty yielding many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ . Observe that every element of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$ is non pair.

We now state the proposition

(6) For all sets x, y, z such that $x, y \in \{z\}^*$ and $\overline{\overline{x}} = \overline{\overline{y}}$ holds x = y.

Let us note that \emptyset is decorated tree yielding.

Let Σ be a non void signature and let \mathfrak{A} be an algebra over Σ . A subset of \mathfrak{A} is a subset of \bigcup (the sorts of \mathfrak{A}). A finite sequence of elements of \mathfrak{A} is a finite sequence of elements of \bigcup (the sorts of \mathfrak{A}).

Let Σ be a non void signature and let X be a non empty yielding many sorted set indexed by Σ . Note that every finite sequence of elements of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$ is decorated tree yielding.

Next we state the proposition

- (7) Let Σ be a non void signature, X be a non empty yielding many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , and τ be an element of $\text{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$. Then
- (i) there exists a sort symbol s of Σ and there exists a set v such that τ = the root tree of $\langle v, s \rangle$ and $v \in X(s)$, or
- (ii) there exists an operation symbol o of Σ and there exists a finite sequence p of elements of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$ such that $\tau = \langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \Sigma \rangle$ -tree(p) and $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} \operatorname{Arity}(o)$ and p is decorated tree yielding and an argument sequence of $\operatorname{Sym}(o, X \cup ((\text{the carrier of } \Sigma) \longmapsto \{0\})).$

Let A be a set. The functor varcl A is defined by the conditions (Def. 1).

- $(\text{Def. 1})(i) \quad A \subseteq \operatorname{varcl} A,$
 - (ii) for all x, y such that $\langle x, y \rangle \in \operatorname{varcl} A$ holds $x \subseteq \operatorname{varcl} A$, and

(iii) for every set B such that $A \subseteq B$ and for all x, y such that $\langle x, y \rangle \in B$ holds $x \subseteq B$ holds varcl $A \subseteq B$.

Let us observe that the functor $\operatorname{varcl} A$ is projective.

We now state three propositions:

- (8) $\operatorname{varcl} \emptyset = \emptyset$.
- (9) For all sets Y, Z such that $Y \subseteq Z$ holds varch $Y \subseteq$ varch Z.
- (10) For every set Z holds $\operatorname{varcl} \bigcup Z = \bigcup \{\operatorname{varcl} z : z \text{ ranges over elements of } Z\}.$

The scheme *Sch14* deals with a set \mathcal{A} , a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a set, and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

 $\operatorname{varcl} \bigcup \{ \mathcal{F}(z); z \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}[z] \} = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{varcl} \mathcal{F}(z); z \}$

ranges over elements of $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{P}[z]$ }

for all values of the parameters.

Next we state three propositions:

- (11) $\operatorname{varcl}(X \cup Y) = \operatorname{varcl} X \cup \operatorname{varcl} Y.$
- (12) For every non empty set Z such that for every element z of Z holds $\operatorname{varcl} z = z$ holds $\operatorname{varcl} \cap Z = \bigcap Z$.
- (13) $\operatorname{varcl}(\operatorname{varcl} X \cap \operatorname{varcl} Y) = \operatorname{varcl} X \cap \operatorname{varcl} Y$. Let Z be an empty set. Observe that $\operatorname{varcl} Z$ is empty. The functor Vars is defined by the condition (Def. 2).
- (Def. 2) There exists a many sorted set V indexed by \mathbb{N} such that
 - (i) Vars = $\bigcup V$,
 - (ii) $V(0) = \{ \langle \emptyset, i \rangle : i \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathbb{N} \}, \text{ and }$
 - (iii) for every natural number n holds $V(n + 1) = \{\langle \operatorname{varcl} Z, j \rangle; Z \text{ ranges} over subsets of <math>V(n), j$ ranges over elements of $\mathbb{N}: Z$ is finite $\}$.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (14) Let V be a many sorted set indexed by \mathbb{N} . Suppose that
 - (i) $V(0) = \{ \langle \emptyset, i \rangle : i \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathbb{N} \}, \text{ and }$
 - (ii) for every natural number n holds V(n + 1) = {⟨ varcl Z, j⟩; Z ranges over subsets of V(n), j ranges over elements of N: Z is finite}. Let i, j be elements of N. If i ≤ j, then V(i) ⊆ V(j).
- (15) Let V be a many sorted set indexed by \mathbb{N} . Suppose that
 - (i) $V(0) = \{ \langle \emptyset, i \rangle : i \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathbb{N} \}, \text{ and }$
- (ii) for every natural number n holds $V(n + 1) = \{\langle \operatorname{varcl} Z, j \rangle; Z \text{ ranges}$ over subsets of V(n), j ranges over elements of \mathbb{N} : Z is finite}. Let Z be a finite subset of Vars. Then there exists an element i of \mathbb{N} such that $Z \subseteq V(i)$.
- (16) $\{\langle \emptyset, i \rangle : i \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \text{Vars.}$
- (17) For every finite subset Z of Vars and for every natural number i holds $\langle \operatorname{varcl} Z, i \rangle \in \operatorname{Vars}$.

- (18) Vars = { $\langle \operatorname{varcl} Z, j \rangle$; Z ranges over subsets of Vars, j ranges over elements of N: Z is finite}.
- (19) varcl Vars = Vars.
- (20) For every X such that rk(X) is finite holds X is finite.
- (21) $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{varcl} X) = \operatorname{rk}(X).$
- (22) For every finite subset X of \mathbf{R}_{ω} holds $X \in \mathbf{R}_{\omega}$.
- (23) Vars $\subseteq \mathbf{R}_{\omega}$.
- (24) For every finite subset Z of Vars holds $\operatorname{varcl} Z$ is a finite subset of Vars. One can verify that Vars is non empty.

A variable is an element of Vars.

- Let x be a variable. Observe that x_1 is finite.
- Let x be a variable. We introduce vars(x) as a synonym of x_1 .

Let x be a variable. Then vars(x) is a subset of Vars.

The following propositions are true:

- (25) $\langle \emptyset, i \rangle \in \text{Vars.}$
- (26) For every subset Z of Vars holds $\operatorname{varcl}\{\langle \operatorname{varcl} Z, j \rangle\} = \operatorname{varcl} Z \cup \{\langle \operatorname{varcl} Z, j \rangle\}.$
- (27) For every variable x holds $\operatorname{varcl}\{x\} = \operatorname{vars}(x) \cup \{x\}$.
- (28) For every variable x holds $\langle vars(x) \cup \{x\}, i \rangle \in Vars$.

2. Quasi-loci

Let R be a binary relation and let X be a set. We introduce $R \operatorname{dom} X$ as a synonym of $R \upharpoonright X$.

The set QuasiLoci of finite sequences of Vars is defined by the condition (Def. 3).

- (Def. 3) Let p be a finite sequence of elements of Vars. Then $p \in$ QuasiLoci if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) p is one-to-one, and
 - (ii) for every *i* such that $i \in \text{dom } p$ holds $p(i)_1 \subseteq \text{rng}(p \text{ dom } i)$.

One can prove the following proposition

(29) $\varepsilon_{\text{Vars}} \in \text{QuasiLoci}.$

Let us observe that QuasiLoci is non empty.

A quasi-locus sequence is an element of QuasiLoci.

One can verify that every quasi-locus sequence is one-to-one.

Next we state several propositions:

(30) Let l be an one-to-one finite sequence of elements of Vars. Then l is a quasi-locus sequence if and only if for every natural number i and for every variable x such that $i \in \text{dom } l$ and x = l(i) and for every variable y such

that $y \in vars(x)$ there exists a natural number j such that $j \in \text{dom } l$ and j < i and y = l(j).

- (31) Let l be a quasi-locus sequence and x be a variable. Then $l \cap \langle x \rangle$ is a quasi-locus sequence if and only if $x \notin \operatorname{rng} l$ and $\operatorname{vars}(x) \subseteq \operatorname{rng} l$.
- (32) Let p_1 , p_2 be finite sequences. Suppose $p_1 \cap p_2$ is a quasi-locus sequence. Then p_1 is a quasi-locus sequence and p_2 is a finite sequence of elements of Vars.
- (33) For every quasi-locus sequence l holds varcl rng l =rng l.
- (34) For every variable x holds $\langle x \rangle$ is a quasi-locus sequence iff $\operatorname{vars}(x) = \emptyset$.
- (35) For all variables x, y holds $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a quasi-locus sequence iff $\operatorname{vars}(x) = \emptyset$ and $x \neq y$ and $\operatorname{vars}(y) \subseteq \{x\}$.
- (36) Let x, y, z be variables. Then $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ is a quasi-locus sequence if and only if $\operatorname{vars}(x) = \emptyset$ and $x \neq y$ and $\operatorname{vars}(y) \subseteq \{x\}$ and $x \neq z$ and $y \neq z$ and $\operatorname{vars}(z) \subseteq \{x, y\}$.

Let l be a quasi-locus sequence. Then l^{-1} is a partial function from Vars to \mathbb{N} .

3. MIZAR CONSTRUCTOR SIGNATURE

The functor **type** is defined by:

(Def. 4) type = 0.

The functor **adj** is defined by:

(Def. 5) adj = 1.

The functor **term** is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) term = 2.

The functor * is defined by:

(Def. 7) * = 0.

The functor **non** is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) non = 1.

Let \mathfrak{C} be a signature. We say that \mathfrak{C} is constructor if and only if the conditions (Def. 9) are satisfied.

(Def. 9) The carrier of $\mathfrak{C} = \{\mathbf{type}, \mathbf{adj}, \mathbf{term}\}\$ and $\{*, \mathbf{non}\} \subseteq$ the operation symbols of \mathfrak{C} and (the arity of $\mathfrak{C})(*) = \langle \mathbf{adj}, \mathbf{type} \rangle$ and (the arity of $\mathfrak{C})(\mathbf{non}) = \langle \mathbf{adj} \rangle$ and (the result sort of $\mathfrak{C})(*) = \mathbf{type}$ and (the result sort of $\mathfrak{C})(\mathbf{non}) = \mathbf{adj}$ and for every element o of the operation symbols of \mathfrak{C} such that $o \neq *$ and $o \neq \mathbf{non}$ holds (the arity of $\mathfrak{C})(o) \in \{\mathbf{term}\}^*$.

Let us note that every signature which is constructor is also non empty and non void.

The strict signature MinConstrSign is defined by:

(Def. 10) MinConstrSign is constructor and the operation symbols of MinConstrSign = $\{*, \mathbf{non}\}.$

Let us observe that MinConstrSign is constructor.

Let us observe that there exists a signature which is constructor and strict. Let \mathfrak{C} be a constructor signature and let o be an operation symbol of \mathfrak{C} . We say that o is constructor if and only if:

(Def. 11) $o \neq *$ and $o \neq \mathbf{non}$.

We now state the proposition

(37) Let Σ be a constructor signature and o be an operation symbol of Σ . If o is constructor, then $\operatorname{Arity}(o) = \operatorname{len} \operatorname{Arity}(o) \mapsto \operatorname{term}$.

Let \mathfrak{C} be a non empty non void signature. We say that \mathfrak{C} is initialized if and only if the condition (Def. 12) is satisfied.

(Def. 12) There exist operation symbols m, α of \mathfrak{C} such that the result sort of m =type and Arity $(m) = \emptyset$ and the result sort of $\alpha = \operatorname{adj}$ and Arity $(\alpha) = \emptyset$.

Let $\mathfrak C$ be a constructor signature. The functor $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak C}$ is a sort symbol of $\mathfrak C$ and is defined by:

(Def. 13) $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \mathbf{type}$.

The functor $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a sort symbol of \mathfrak{C} and is defined as follows:

(Def. 14) $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \operatorname{adj}$.

The functor $\operatorname{term}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a sort symbol of \mathfrak{C} and is defined by:

(Def. 15) $\operatorname{term}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \operatorname{term}$.

The functor $\mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ yielding an operation symbol of \mathfrak{C} is defined as follows:

(Def. 16) $\operatorname{\mathbf{non}}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \operatorname{\mathbf{non}}$.

The functor $*_{\mathfrak{C}}$ yielding an operation symbol of \mathfrak{C} is defined as follows:

(Def. 17) $*_{\mathfrak{C}} = *.$

We now state the proposition

(38) Let \mathfrak{C} be a constructor signature. Then $\operatorname{Arity}(\mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}) = \langle \mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}} \rangle$ and the result sort of $\mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $\operatorname{Arity}(*_{\mathfrak{C}}) = \langle \mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}} \rangle$ and the result sort of $*_{\mathfrak{C}} = \mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

The functor Modes is defined as follows:

(Def. 18) $Modes = {type} \times (QuasiLoci \times \mathbb{N}).$

The functor Attrs is defined as follows:

(Def. 19) Attrs = $\{adj\} \times (QuasiLoci \times \mathbb{N})$.

The functor Funcs is defined by:

(Def. 20) Funcs = { \mathbf{term} } × (QuasiLoci × \mathbb{N}).

One can verify the following observations:

- * Modes is non empty,
- * Attrs is non empty, and

* Funcs is non empty.

The non empty set Constructors is defined by:

(Def. 21) Constructors = Modes \cup Attrs \cup Funcs.

Next we state the proposition

(39) {*, **non**} misses Constructors.

Let x be an element of QuasiLoci $\times \mathbb{N}$. Then x_1 is a quasi-locus sequence. Then x_2 is an element of \mathbb{N} .

Let c be an element of Constructors. We introduce the kind of c as a synonym of c_1 .

Let c be an element of Constructors. Then the kind of c is an element of $\{type, adj, term\}$. Then c_2 is an element of QuasiLoci $\times \mathbb{N}$.

Let c be an element of Constructors. The loci of c yields a quasi-locus sequence and is defined as follows:

(Def. 22) The loci of $c = (c_2)_1$.

The index of c yielding a natural number is defined as follows:

(Def. 23) The index of $c = (c_2)_2$.

We now state the proposition

(40) Let c be an element of Constructors. Then

- (i) the kind of $c = \mathbf{type}$ iff $c \in Modes$,
- (ii) the kind of $c = \operatorname{adj} \operatorname{iff} c \in \operatorname{Attrs}$, and
- (iii) the kind of c =term iff $c \in$ Funcs.

The strict constructor signature MaxConstrSign is defined by the conditions (Def. 24).

- (Def. 24)(i) The operation symbols of MaxConstrSign = $\{*, \mathbf{non}\} \cup \text{Constructors}$, and
 - (ii) for every operation symbol o of MaxConstrSign such that o is constructor holds (the result sort of MaxConstrSign) $(o) = o_1$ and $\overline{(\text{the arity of MaxConstrSign})(o)} = \overline{(o_2)_1}$.

Let us note that MinConstrSign is non initialized and MaxConstrSign is initialized.

Let us observe that there exists a constructor signature which is initialized and strict.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature. One can check that there exists an operation symbol of \mathfrak{C} which is constructor.

4. MIZAR EXPRESSIONS

Let \mathfrak{C} be a constructor signature. The functor Vars \mathfrak{C} yielding a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of \mathfrak{C} is defined as follows:

(Def. 25) $(\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C})(\operatorname{type}) = \emptyset$ and $(\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C})(\operatorname{adj}) = \emptyset$ and $(\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C})(\operatorname{term}) = \operatorname{Vars}$. Let \mathfrak{C} be a constructor signature. Note that $\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C}$ is non empty yielding. Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature. Observe that $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C})$ is

non-empty.

Let Σ be a non void signature, let X be a non empty yielding many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , and let τ be an element of $\text{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$. We say that τ is ground if and only if:

(Def. 26) $\bigcup \operatorname{Var}_{\Sigma} \tau = \emptyset$.

We say that τ is compound if and only if:

(Def. 27) $\tau(\emptyset) \in (\text{the operation symbols of } \Sigma) \times \{\text{the carrier of } \Sigma\}.$

In the sequel \mathfrak{C} denotes an initialized constructor signature, s denotes a sort symbol of \mathfrak{C} , o denotes an operation symbol of \mathfrak{C} , and c denotes a constructor operation symbol of \mathfrak{C} .

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . An expression of \mathfrak{C} is an element of $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C})$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , s. An expression of \mathfrak{C} is called an expression of \mathfrak{C} from s if:

(Def. 28) It \in (the sorts of Free_{\mathfrak{C}}(Vars \mathfrak{C}))(s).

Next we state the proposition

(41) z is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from s iff $z \in (\text{the sorts of Free}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\text{Vars }\mathfrak{C}))(s)$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let us consider c. Let us assume that len Arity(c) = 0. The functor c_t yielding an expression of \mathfrak{C} is defined by:

(Def. 29) $c_{\rm t} = \langle c, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ -tree(\emptyset).

The following proposition is true

(42) Let given o. Suppose len Arity(o) = 1. Let α be an expression of \mathfrak{C} . Given s such that $s = \operatorname{Arity}(o)(1)$ and α is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from s. Then $\langle o,$ the carrier of \mathfrak{C} -tree($\langle \alpha \rangle$) is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from the result sort of o.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , o. Let us assume that len $\operatorname{Arity}(o) = 1$. Let η be an expression of \mathfrak{C} . Let us assume that there exists a sort symbol s of \mathfrak{C} such that $s = \operatorname{Arity}(o)(1)$ and η is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from s. The functor $o(\eta)$ yielding an expression of \mathfrak{C} is defined by:

(Def. 30) $o(\eta) = \langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ -tree $(\langle \eta \rangle)$.

In the sequel α , β are expressions of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (43) $\mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)$ is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $\mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha) = \langle \mathbf{non}, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ -tree($\langle \alpha \rangle$).
- (44) If $\operatorname{\mathbf{non}}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha) = \operatorname{\mathbf{non}}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\beta)$, then $\alpha = \beta$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , α . Observe that $\mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)$ is compound.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . Note that there exists an expression of \mathfrak{C} which is compound.

Next we state the proposition

(45) Let given o. Suppose len Arity(o) = 2. Let α, β be expressions of \mathfrak{C} . Given sort symbols s_1, s_2 of \mathfrak{C} such that $s_1 = \operatorname{Arity}(o)(1)$ and $s_2 = \operatorname{Arity}(o)(2)$ and α is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from s_1 and β is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from s_2 . Then $\langle o,$ the carrier of $\mathfrak{C} \rangle$ -tree $(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle)$ is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from the result sort of o.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , o. Let us assume that len Arity(o) = 2. Let η_1 , η_2 be expressions of \mathfrak{C} . Let us assume that there exist sort symbols s_1 , s_2 of \mathfrak{C} such that $s_1 = \operatorname{Arity}(o)(1)$ and $s_2 = \operatorname{Arity}(o)(2)$ and η_1 is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from s_1 and η_2 is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from s_2 . The functor $o(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ yielding an expression of \mathfrak{C} is defined as follows:

- (Def. 31) $o(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ -tree $(\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle)$. In the sequel τ, τ_1, τ_2 are expressions of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. We now state two propositions:
 - (46) $*_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau)$ is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $*_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau) = \langle *, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ -tree($\langle \alpha, \tau \rangle$).
 - (47) If $*_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau_1) = *_{\mathfrak{C}}(\beta, \tau_2)$, then $\alpha = \beta$ and $\tau_1 = \tau_2$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , α , τ . One can check that $*_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau)$ is compound.

Let Σ be a non void signature and let s be a sort symbol of Σ . Let us assume that there exists an operation symbol o of Σ such that the result sort of o = s. An operation symbol of Σ is said to be an operation symbol of s if:

(Def. 32) The result sort of it = s.

Let \mathfrak{C} be a constructor signature. Then $\mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an operation symbol of $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Then $*_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an operation symbol of $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

The following proposition is true

(48) Let s_1, s_2 be sort symbols of \mathfrak{C} . Suppose $s_1 \neq s_2$. Let τ_1 be an expression of \mathfrak{C} from s_1 and τ_2 be an expression of \mathfrak{C} from s_2 . Then $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$.

5. QUASI-TERMS

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . The functor QuasiTerms \mathfrak{C} yields a subset of $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C})$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 33) QuasiTerms $\mathfrak{C} = (\text{the sorts of Free}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\text{Vars }\mathfrak{C}))(\mathbf{term}_{\mathfrak{C}}).$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . One can check that QuasiTerms \mathfrak{C} is non empty and constituted of decorated trees.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . A quasi-term of \mathfrak{C} is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\operatorname{term}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. We now state the proposition

(49) z is a quasi-term of \mathfrak{C} iff $z \in$ QuasiTerms \mathfrak{C} .

Let x be a variable and let us consider \mathfrak{C} . The functor $x_{\mathfrak{C}}$ yields a quasi-term of \mathfrak{C} and is defined by:

(Def. 34) $x_{\mathfrak{C}} = \text{the root tree of } \langle x, \text{ term } \rangle.$

One can prove the following proposition

(50) For all variables x_1 , x_2 and for all initialized constructor signatures \mathfrak{C}_1 , \mathfrak{C}_2 such that $(x_1)_{\mathfrak{C}_1} = (x_2)_{\mathfrak{C}_2}$ holds $x_1 = x_2$.

Let x be a variable and let us consider \mathfrak{C} . One can check that $x_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is non compound.

We now state the proposition

(51) Let p be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence. Then $\langle c, \text{ the carrier} of \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ -tree(p) is an expression of \mathfrak{C} if and only if len p = len Arity(c) and $p \in (\text{QuasiTerms } \mathfrak{C})^*$.

In the sequel p is a finite sequence of elements of QuasiTerms \mathfrak{C} .

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , c and let us consider p. Let us assume that $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} \operatorname{Arity}(c)$. The functor $\vec{c}(p)$ yields a compound expression of \mathfrak{C} and is defined as follows:

(Def. 35) $\vec{c}(p) = \langle c, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ -tree(p).

Next we state several propositions:

- (52) If len p = len Arity(c), then $\vec{c(p)}$ is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from the result sort of c.
- (53) Let η be an expression of \mathfrak{C} . Then
 - (i) there exists a variable x such that $\eta = x_{\mathfrak{C}}$, or
 - (ii) there exists a constructor operation symbol c of \mathfrak{C} and there exists a finite sequence p of elements of QuasiTerms \mathfrak{C} such that len p = len Arity(c) and $\eta = c \vec{-}(p)$, or
- (iii) there exists an expression α of \mathfrak{C} from $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ such that $\eta = \operatorname{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)$, or
- (iv) there exists an expression α of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and there exists an expression τ of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ such that $\eta = *\mathfrak{e}(\alpha, \tau)$.
- (54) If len p = len Arity(c), then $\vec{c}(p) \neq \mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)$.
- (55) If len p = len Arity(c), then $\vec{c}(p) \neq *_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau)$.
- (56) $\operatorname{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha) \neq *_{\mathfrak{C}}(\beta, \tau).$

In the sequel η is an expression of \mathfrak{C} .

Next we state two propositions:

- (57) If $\eta(\emptyset) = \langle \mathbf{non}, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$, then there exists α such that $\eta = \mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)$.
- (58) If $\eta(\emptyset) = \langle *, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$, then there exist α, τ such that $\eta = *_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau)$.

6. QUASI-ADJECTIVES

In the sequel α , α' denote expressions of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , α . The functor non α yields an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and is defined by:

(Def. 36) non $\alpha = \begin{cases} \alpha \upharpoonright \langle 0 \rangle, \text{ if there exists } \alpha' \text{ such that } \alpha = \mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha'), \\ \mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha), \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , α . We say that α is positive if and only if:

(Def. 37) It is not true that there exists α' such that $\alpha = \operatorname{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha')$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . Note that there exists an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ which is positive.

Next we state the proposition

(59) For every positive expression α of \mathfrak{C} from $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ holds non $\alpha = \operatorname{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , α . We say that α is negative if and only if:

(Def. 38) There exists α' such that α' is positive and $\alpha = \mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha')$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let α be a positive expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Note that non α is negative and non positive.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . One can check that there exists an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathrm{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ which is negative and non positive.

Next we state three propositions:

- (60) For every non positive expression α of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ there exists an expression α' of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ such that $\alpha = \mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha')$ and non $\alpha = \alpha'$.
- (61) Let α be a negative expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Then there exists a positive expression α' of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ such that $\alpha = \mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha')$ and non $\alpha = \alpha'$.
- (62) For every non positive expression α of \mathfrak{C} from $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ holds $\operatorname{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\operatorname{non} \alpha) = \alpha$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let α be a negative expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Note that non α is positive.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , α . We say that α is regular if and only if:

(Def. 39) α is positive or negative.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . Observe that every expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ which is positive is also regular and non negative and every expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ which is negative is also regular and non positive.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . Note that there exists an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ which is regular.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . The functor QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} yields a subset of $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C})$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 40) QuasiAdjs $\mathfrak{C} = \{\alpha : \alpha \text{ is regular}\}.$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . Note that QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} is non empty and constituted of decorated trees.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . A quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} is a regular expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathrm{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Next we state two propositions:

- (63) z is a quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} iff $z \in$ QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} .
- (64) z is a quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} if and only if z is a positive expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ or a negative expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . Note that every quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} which is non positive is also negative and every quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} which is non negative is also positive.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . Note that there exists a quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} which is positive and there exists a quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} which is negative.

The following propositions are true:

- (65) Let α be a positive quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} . Then there exists a constructor operation symbol v of \mathfrak{C} such that the result sort of $v = \operatorname{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and there exists p such that $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} \operatorname{Arity}(v)$ and $\alpha = v (p)$.
- (66) Let v be a constructor operation symbol of \mathfrak{C} . Suppose the result sort of $v = \mathbf{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} \operatorname{Arity}(v)$. Then $v \vec{}(p)$ is a positive quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} .

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let α be a quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} . One can check that non α is regular.

We now state three propositions:

- (67) For every quasi-adjective α of \mathfrak{C} holds non non $\alpha = \alpha$.
- (68) For all quasi-adjectives α_1 , α_2 of \mathfrak{C} such that $\operatorname{non} \alpha_1 = \operatorname{non} \alpha_2$ holds $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.
- (69) For every quasi-adjective α of \mathfrak{C} holds non $\alpha \neq \alpha$.

7. Quasi-types

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let ϑ be an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. We say that ϑ is pure if and only if:

(Def. 41) It is not true that there exist α , τ such that $\vartheta = *_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau)$.

The following propositions are true:

- (70) Let *m* be an operation symbol of \mathfrak{C} . Suppose the result sort of $m = \mathbf{type}$ and $\operatorname{Arity}(m) = \emptyset$. Then there exists τ such that $\tau =$ the root tree of $\langle m,$ the carrier of $\mathfrak{C} \rangle$ and τ is pure.
- (71) Let v be an operation symbol of \mathfrak{C} . Suppose the result sort of $v = \operatorname{adj}$ and $\operatorname{Arity}(v) = \emptyset$. Then there exists α such that $\alpha =$ the root tree of $\langle v,$ the carrier of $\mathfrak{C} \rangle$ and α is positive.

- (ii) if η is a quasi-term of \mathfrak{C} , then η is not a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} , and
- (iii) if η is a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} , then η is not a quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} .

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , A, ϑ . We introduce $A * \vartheta$ as a synonym of $\langle A, \vartheta \rangle$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , A, ϑ . Then $A * \vartheta$ is a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} .

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , θ . Note that θ_1 is finite.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , θ . We introduce $\operatorname{adjs} \theta$ as a synonym of θ_1 . We introduce the base of θ as a synonym of θ_2 .

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , θ . Then $\operatorname{adjs} \theta$ is a subset of QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} . Then the base of θ is a pure expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\operatorname{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (78) $\operatorname{adjs}(A * \vartheta) = A$ and the base of $A * \vartheta = \vartheta$.
- (79) Let A_1, A_2 be finite subsets of QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} and ϑ_1, ϑ_2 be pure expressions of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. If $A_1 * \vartheta_1 = A_2 * \vartheta_2$, then $A_1 = A_2$ and $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_2$.
- (80) $\theta = \operatorname{adjs} \theta * \operatorname{the base of} \theta$.

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

(81) For all quasi-types θ_1 , θ_2 of \mathfrak{C} such that $\operatorname{adjs} \theta_1 = \operatorname{adjs} \theta_2$ and the base of θ_1 = the base of θ_2 holds $\theta_1 = \theta_2$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , θ and let α be a quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} . The functor $\alpha * \theta$ yields a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} and is defined by:

(Def. 44) $\alpha * \theta = \langle \{\alpha\} \cup \text{adjs } \theta, \text{ the base of } \theta \rangle.$

We now state three propositions:

- (82) For every quasi-adjective α of \mathfrak{C} holds $\operatorname{adjs}(\alpha * \theta) = \{\alpha\} \cup \operatorname{adjs} \theta$ and the base of $\alpha * \theta$ = the base of θ .
- (83) For every quasi-adjective α of \mathfrak{C} holds $\alpha * (\alpha * \theta) = \alpha * \theta$.
- (84) For all quasi-adjectives α , β of \mathfrak{C} holds $\alpha * (\beta * \theta) = \beta * (\alpha * \theta)$.

8. VARIABLES IN QUASI-TYPES

Let Σ be a non-void signature, let s be a sort symbol of Σ , let X be a nonempty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , and let τ be a term of Σ over X. Note that $(\operatorname{Var} \tau)(s)$ is finite.

Let Σ be a non void signature, let s be a sort symbol of Σ , let X be a non empty yielding many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , and let τ be an element of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$. Observe that $(\operatorname{Var}_{\Sigma} \tau)(s)$ is finite.

Let Σ be a non void signature, let X be a non empty yielding many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , and let s be a sort symbol of Σ . The functor vars^X_s yielding a function from \bigcup (the sorts of Free_{Σ}(X)) into 2^{X(s)} is defined by:

(Def. 45) For every element τ of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$ holds $(\operatorname{vars}_{s}^{X})(\tau) = (\operatorname{Var}_{\Sigma}\tau)(s)$.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature and let η be an expression of \mathfrak{C} . The functor Var η yielding a subset of Vars is defined by:

(Def. 46) $\operatorname{Var} \eta = (\operatorname{Var}_{\mathfrak{C}} \eta)(\operatorname{term}_{\mathfrak{C}}).$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , η . Note that Var η is finite.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , η . The functor $vars(\eta)$ yielding a finite subset of Vars is defined as follows:

(Def. 47) $\operatorname{vars}(\eta) = \operatorname{varcl}\operatorname{Var}\eta$.

The following propositions are true:

- (85) $\operatorname{varcl}\operatorname{vars}(\eta) = \operatorname{vars}(\eta).$
- (86) For every variable x holds $\operatorname{Var}(x_{\mathfrak{C}}) = \{x\}.$
- (87) For every variable x holds $\operatorname{vars}(x_{\mathfrak{C}}) = \{x\} \cup \operatorname{vars}(x)$.
- (88) Let p be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence. Suppose $\eta = \langle c, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ -tree(p). Then $\operatorname{Var} \eta = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{Var} \tau; \tau \text{ ranges over quasi-terms of } \mathfrak{C}: \tau \in \operatorname{rng} p \}$.

- (89) Let p be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence. Suppose $\eta = \langle c, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ -tree(p). Then $\operatorname{vars}(\eta) = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{vars}(\tau); \tau \text{ ranges over quasi-terms of } \mathfrak{C} : \tau \in \operatorname{rng} p \}.$
- (90) If len p = len Arity(c), then $\operatorname{Var}(c(p)) = \bigcup \{\operatorname{Var}\tau; \tau \text{ ranges over quasi-terms of } \mathfrak{C}: \tau \in \operatorname{rng} p \}.$
- (91) If len p = len Arity(c), then $\operatorname{vars}(\vec{c}(p)) = \bigcup \{\operatorname{vars}(\tau); \tau \text{ ranges over quasi-terms of } \mathfrak{C}: \tau \in \operatorname{rng} p \}.$
- (92) For every many sorted signature Σ and for every set o holds $\operatorname{Var}_{\Sigma}(\langle o,$ the carrier of Σ)-tree (\emptyset)) = $\mathbf{0}_{\text{the carrier of }\Sigma}$.
- (93) Let Σ be a many sorted signature, o be a set, and τ be a decorated tree. Then $\operatorname{Var}_{\Sigma}(\langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \Sigma \rangle$ -tree $(\langle \tau \rangle)) = \operatorname{Var}_{\Sigma} \tau$.
- (94) $\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{\mathbf{non}}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)) = \operatorname{Var} \alpha.$
- (95) $\operatorname{vars}(\operatorname{\mathbf{non}}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)) = \operatorname{vars}(\alpha).$
- (96) Let Σ be a many sorted signature, o be a set, and τ_1 , τ_2 be decorated trees. Then $\operatorname{Var}_{\Sigma}(\langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \Sigma \rangle \operatorname{-tree}(\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle)) = \operatorname{Var}_{\Sigma} \tau_1 \cup \operatorname{Var}_{\Sigma} \tau_2$.
- (97) $\operatorname{Var}(*_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau)) = \operatorname{Var} \alpha \cup \operatorname{Var} \tau.$
- (98) $\operatorname{vars}(*_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau)) = \operatorname{vars}(\alpha) \cup \operatorname{vars}(\tau).$
- (99) Var non $\alpha = \operatorname{Var} \alpha$.
- (100) $\operatorname{vars}(\operatorname{non} \alpha) = \operatorname{vars}(\alpha).$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let θ be a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} . The functor $\operatorname{Var} \theta$ yields a subset of Vars and is defined as follows:

 $(\text{Def. 48}) \quad \text{Var}\, \theta = \bigcup ((\text{vars}_{\mathbf{term}_{\mathfrak{C}}}^{\text{Vars}\,\mathfrak{C}})^{\circ} \operatorname{adjs} \theta) \cup \text{Var}\,(\text{the base of }\theta).$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let θ be a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} . Note that $\operatorname{Var} \theta$ is finite. Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let θ be a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} . The functor $\operatorname{vars}(\theta)$ yields a finite subset of Vars and is defined by:

(Def. 49) $\operatorname{vars}(\theta) = \operatorname{varcl} \operatorname{Var} \theta$.

We now state several propositions:

- (101) For every quasi-type θ of \mathfrak{C} holds $\operatorname{varcl} \operatorname{vars}(\theta) = \operatorname{vars}(\theta)$.
- (102) For every quasi-type θ of \mathfrak{C} and for every quasi-adjective α of \mathfrak{C} holds $\operatorname{Var}(\alpha * \theta) = \operatorname{Var} \alpha \cup \operatorname{Var} \theta$.
- (103) For every quasi-type θ of \mathfrak{C} and for every quasi-adjective α of \mathfrak{C} holds $\operatorname{vars}(\alpha * \theta) = \operatorname{vars}(\alpha) \cup \operatorname{vars}(\theta).$
- (104) $\operatorname{Var}(A * \vartheta) = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{Var} \alpha; \alpha \text{ ranges over quasi-adjectives of } \mathfrak{C} : \alpha \in A \} \cup \operatorname{Var} \vartheta.$
- (105) $\operatorname{vars}(A * \vartheta) = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{vars}(\alpha); \alpha \text{ ranges over quasi-adjectives of } \mathfrak{C}: \alpha \in A \} \cup \operatorname{vars}(\vartheta).$
- (106) $\operatorname{Var}(\emptyset_{\operatorname{QuasiAdjs}\mathfrak{C}} * \vartheta) = \operatorname{Var} \vartheta.$
- (107) η is ground iff $\operatorname{Var} \eta = \emptyset$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let θ be a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} . We say that θ is ground if and only if:

(Def. 50) $\operatorname{Var} \theta = \emptyset$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . Observe that there exists an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ which is ground and pure and there exists a quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} which is ground. Next we state the proposition

(108) For every ground pure expression τ of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ holds $\emptyset_{\mathrm{QuasiAdjs}\mathfrak{C}} * \tau$ is ground.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let τ be a ground pure expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Note that $\emptyset_{\text{QuasiAdjs}\mathfrak{C}} * \tau$ is ground.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . Note that there exists a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} which is ground. Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , let θ be a ground quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} , and let α be a ground quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} . Observe that $\alpha * \theta$ is ground.

9. Smooth Type Widening

The strict non empty poset VarPoset is defined by:

(Def. 51) VarPoset = $(\langle \{ \text{varcl } A : A \text{ ranges over finite subsets of Vars} \}, \subseteq \rangle)^{\text{op}}.$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (109) For all elements x, y of VarPoset holds $x \leq y$ iff $y \subseteq x$.
- (110) For every x holds x is an element of VarPoset iff x is a finite subset of Vars and $\operatorname{varcl} x = x$.

One can check that VarPoset has g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s.

The following proposition is true

(111) For all elements V_1 , V_2 of VarPoset holds $V_1 \sqcup V_2 = V_1 \cap V_2$ and $V_1 \sqcap V_2 = V_1 \cup V_2$.

Let V_1, V_2 be elements of VarPoset. One can verify that functors $V_1 \sqcup V_2$ and $V_1 \cap V_2$ and functors $V_1 \sqcap V_2$ and $V_1 \cup V_2$ can be identified.

One can prove the following proposition

(112) For every non empty subset X of VarPoset holds $\sup X$ exists in VarPoset and $\sup X = \bigcap X$.

One can verify that VarPoset is up-complete.

The following proposition is true

(113) $\top_{\text{VarPoset}} = \emptyset.$

Let us consider C. The functor vars-function C yielding a function from QuasiTypes C into the carrier of VarPoset is defined by:

(Def. 52) For every quasi-type T of C holds (vars-function C)(T) = vars(T).

Let L be a non empty poset. We say that L is smooth if and only if the condition (Def. 53) is satisfied.

- (Def. 53) There exists an initialized constructor signature C and there exists a function f from L into VarPoset such that
 - (i) the carrier of $L \subseteq \text{QuasiTypes } C$,
 - (ii) $f = \text{vars-function } C \upharpoonright \text{the carrier of } L$, and
 - (iii) for all elements x, y of L holds f preserves sup of $\{x, y\}$.

Let C be an initialized constructor signature and let T be a ground quasitype of C. One can check that $\langle \{T\}, id_{\{T\}} \rangle$ is smooth.

10. Structural Induction

The scheme *StructInd* deals with an initialized constructor signature \mathcal{A} , an expression \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

$\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{B}]$

provided the following conditions are satisfied:

- For every variable x holds $\mathcal{P}[x_{\mathcal{A}}]$,
- Let c be a constructor operation symbol of \mathcal{A} and p be a finite sequence of elements of QuasiTerms \mathcal{A} . Suppose len p = len Arity(c) and for every quasi-term τ of \mathcal{A} such that $\tau \in \text{rng } p$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$. Then $\mathcal{P}[c(p)]$,
- For every expression α of \mathcal{A} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[\alpha]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[\mathbf{non}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)]$, and
- Let α be an expression of \mathcal{A} from $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Suppose $\mathcal{P}[\alpha]$. Let τ be an expression of \mathcal{A} from $\operatorname{type}_{\mathcal{A}}$. If $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$, then $\mathcal{P}[*_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \tau)]$.

Let Σ be a many sorted signature. We say that Σ has an operation for each sort if and only if:

(Def. 54) The carrier of $\Sigma \subseteq \operatorname{rng}$ (the result sort of Σ).

Let X be a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ . We say that X has missing variables if and only if:

(Def. 55) $X^{-1}(\{\emptyset\}) \subseteq \operatorname{rng}(\text{the result sort of }\Sigma).$

The following proposition is true

(114) Let Σ be a non void signature and X be a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ . Then X has missing variables if and only if for every sort symbol s of Σ such that $X(s) = \emptyset$ there exists an operation symbol o of Σ such that the result sort of o = s.

Observe that MaxConstrSign has an operation for each sort. Let \mathfrak{C} be a constructor signature. Observe that Vars \mathfrak{C} has missing variables.

Let Σ be a many sorted signature. Observe that every many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ which is non-empty has also missing variables.

Let Σ be a many sorted signature. Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ which has missing variables.

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

One can verify that there exists a constructor signature which is initialized and strict and has an operation for each sort.

Let \mathfrak{C} be a many sorted signature with an operation for each sort. Observe that every many sorted set indexed by the carrier of \mathfrak{C} has missing variables.

Let G be a non empty tree construction structure. Then the terminals of G is a subset of G. Then the nonterminals of G is a subset of G.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (115) Let D_1 , D_2 be non empty tree construction structures. Suppose the rules of $D_1 \subseteq$ the rules of D_2 . Then
 - (i) the nonterminals of $D_1 \subseteq$ the nonterminals of D_2 ,
 - (ii) (the carrier of D₁) ∩ (the terminals of D₂) ⊆ the terminals of D₁, and
 (iii) if the terminals of D₁ ⊆ the terminals of D₂, then the carrier of D₁ ⊆ the carrier of D₂.
- (116) Let D_1 , D_2 be non empty tree construction structures. Suppose the terminals of $D_1 \subseteq$ the terminals of D_2 and the rules of $D_1 \subseteq$ the rules of D_2 . Then $TS(D_1) \subseteq TS(D_2)$.
- (117) Let Σ be a many sorted signature and X, Y be many sorted sets indexed by the carrier of Σ . If $X \subseteq Y$, then if X has missing variables, then Y has missing variables.
- (118) For every set Σ and for all many sorted sets X, Y indexed by Σ such that $X \subseteq Y$ holds $\bigcup \operatorname{coprod}(X) \subseteq \bigcup \operatorname{coprod}(Y)$.
- (119) Let Σ be a non void signature and X, Y be many sorted sets indexed by the carrier of Σ . If $X \subseteq Y$, then the carrier of DTConMSA $(X) \subseteq$ the carrier of DTConMSA(Y).
- (120) Let Σ be a non void signature and X be a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ . Suppose X has missing variables. Then the nonterminals of DTConMSA(X) = (the operation symbols of Σ) × {the carrier of Σ } and the terminals of DTConMSA(X) = \bigcup coprod(X).
- (121) Let Σ be a non void signature and X, Y be many sorted sets indexed by the carrier of Σ . Suppose $X \subseteq Y$ and X has missing variables. Then the terminals of DTConMSA $(X) \subseteq$ the terminals of DTConMSA(Y)and the rules of DTConMSA $(X) \subseteq$ the rules of DTConMSA(Y) and TS(DTConMSA $(X)) \subseteq$ TS(DTConMSA(Y)).
- (122) For every set τ holds $\tau \in$ the terminals of DTConMSA(Vars \mathfrak{C}) iff there exists a variable x such that $\tau = \langle x, \operatorname{term}_{\mathfrak{C}} \rangle$.
- (123) Let τ be a set. Then $\tau \in$ the nonterminals of DTConMSA(Vars \mathfrak{C}) if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - (i) $\tau = \langle *_{\mathfrak{C}}, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$, or
 - (ii) $\tau = \langle \mathbf{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}, \text{ the carrier of } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$, or
 - (iii) there exists a constructor operation symbol c of \mathfrak{C} such that $\tau = \langle c, \rangle$

the carrier of \mathfrak{C} .

- (124) Let Σ be a non void signature, X be a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ with missing variables, and τ be a set. Suppose $\tau \in \bigcup$ (the sorts of Free $\Sigma(X)$). Then τ is a term of Σ over $X \cup ($ (the carrier of $\Sigma) \longmapsto \{0\}$).
- (125) Let Σ be a non void signature, X be a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ with missing variables, and τ be a term of Σ over $X \cup$ ((the carrier of $\Sigma) \longmapsto \{0\}$). If $\tau \in \bigcup$ (the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$), then $\tau \in$ (the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$)(the sort of τ).
- (126) Let G be a non empty tree construction structure, s be an element of G, and p be a finite sequence. Suppose $s \Rightarrow p$. Then p is a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of G.
- (127) Let Σ be a non void signature, X, Y be many sorted sets indexed by the carrier of Σ , g_1 be a symbol of DTConMSA(X), g_2 be a symbol of DTConMSA(Y), p_1 be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of DTConMSA(X), and p_2 be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of DTConMSA(Y). If $g_1 = g_2$ and $p_1 = p_2$ and $g_1 \Rightarrow p_1$, then $g_2 \Rightarrow p_2$.
- (128) Let Σ be a non void signature and X be a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ with missing variables. Then \bigcup (the sorts of $\text{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$) = TS(DTConMSA(X)).

Let Σ be a non void signature and let X be a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ . A unary operation on \bigcup (the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$) is said to be a transformation of Σ -terms over X if:

(Def. 56) For every sort symbol s of Σ holds it^o(the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$)(s) \subseteq (the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$)(s).

The following two propositions are true:

- (129) Let Σ be a non void signature, X be a non empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , and f be a unary operation on \bigcup (the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$). Then f is a transformation of Σ -terms over X if and only if for every sort symbol s of Σ and for every set α such that $\alpha \in$ (the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$)(s) holds $f(\alpha) \in$ (the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$)(s).
- (130) Let Σ be a non void signature, X be a non empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , f be a transformation of Σ -terms over X, sbe a sort symbol of Σ , and p be a finite sequence of elements of (the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X))(s)$. Then $f \cdot p$ is a finite sequence of elements of (the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X))(s)$ and $\overline{(f \cdot p \operatorname{\mathbf{qua} set})} = \operatorname{len} p$.

Let Σ be a non void signature, let X be a many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , and let τ be a transformation of Σ -terms over X. We say that τ is substitution if and only if the condition (Def. 57) is satisfied.

(Def. 57) Let o be an operation symbol of Σ and p, p' be finite sequences of elements of $\operatorname{Free}_{\Sigma}(X)$. Suppose $\langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \Sigma \rangle$ -tree $(p) \in \bigcup$ (the sorts of

Free_{Σ}(X)) and $p' = \tau \cdot p$. Then $\tau(\langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \Sigma \rangle \text{-tree}(p)) = \langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \Sigma \rangle$ -tree(p').

The scheme *StructDef* deals with an initialized constructor signature \mathcal{A} , two unary functors \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} yielding expressions of \mathcal{A} , and two binary functors \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{I} yielding expressions of \mathcal{A} , and states that:

There exists a transformation f of A-terms over Vars A such that

(i) for every variable x holds $f(x_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathcal{F}(x)$,

(ii) for every constructor operation symbol c of \mathcal{A} and for all finite sequences p, p' of elements of QuasiTerms \mathcal{A} such that $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} \operatorname{Arity}(c)$ and $p' = f \cdot p$ holds $f(\vec{c}(p)) = \mathcal{H}(c, p')$,

(iii) for every expression α of \mathcal{A} from $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ holds $f(\operatorname{non}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)) = \mathcal{G}(f(\alpha))$, and

(iv) for every expression α of \mathcal{A} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and for every expression τ of \mathcal{A} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathcal{A}}$ holds $f(*_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \tau)) = \mathcal{I}(f(\alpha), f(\tau))$

provided the parameters meet the following requirements:

- For every variable x holds $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is a quasi-term of \mathcal{A} ,
- Let c be a constructor operation symbol of \mathcal{A} and p be a finite sequence of elements of QuasiTerms \mathcal{A} . Suppose len p = len Arity(c). Then $\mathcal{H}(c, p)$ is an expression of \mathcal{A} from the result sort of c,
- For every expression α of A from adj_A holds G(α) is an expression of A from adj_A, and
- Let α be an expression of \mathcal{A} from $\mathbf{adj}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and τ be an expression of \mathcal{A} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $\mathcal{I}(\alpha, \tau)$ is an expression of \mathcal{A} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

11. Substitution

Let A be a set, let x, y be sets, and let α , β be elements of A. Then IFIN (x, y, α, β) is an element of A.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature. A valuation of \mathfrak{C} is a partial function from Vars to QuasiTerms \mathfrak{C} .

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature and let f be a valuation of \mathfrak{C} . We say that f is irrelevant if and only if:

(Def. 58) For every variable x such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ there exists a variable y such that $f(x) = y_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature and let f be a valuation of \mathfrak{C} . We introduce f is relevant as an antonym of f is irrelevant.

Let X, Y be sets. Observe that there exists a partial function from X to Y which is empty.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature. Observe that every valuation of \mathfrak{C} which is empty is also irrelevant.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature. Note that there exists a valuation of \mathfrak{C} which is empty, irrelevant, and one-to-one.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature and let X be a subset of Vars. The functor idval_{\mathfrak{C}} X yielding a valuation of \mathfrak{C} is defined by:

(Def. 59) $\operatorname{idval}_{\mathfrak{C}} X = \{ \langle x, x_{\mathfrak{C}} \rangle; x \text{ ranges over variables: } x \in X \}.$

Next we state the proposition

(131) For every subset X of Vars holds dom $\operatorname{idval}_{\mathfrak{C}} X = X$ and for every variable x such that $x \in X$ holds $(\operatorname{idval}_{\mathfrak{C}} X)(x) = x_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature and let X be a subset of Vars. One can check that $idval_{\mathfrak{C}} X$ is irrelevant and one-to-one.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature and let X be an empty subset of Vars. One can check that $idval_{\mathfrak{C}} X$ is empty.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let f be a valuation of \mathfrak{C} . The functor $f^{\#}$ yielding a transformation of \mathfrak{C} -terms over Vars \mathfrak{C} is defined by the conditions (Def. 60).

- (Def. 60)(i) For every variable x holds if $x \in \text{dom } f$, then $f^{\#}(x_{\mathfrak{C}}) = f(x)$ and if $x \notin \text{dom } f$, then $f^{\#}(x_{\mathfrak{C}}) = x_{\mathfrak{C}}$,
 - (ii) for every constructor operation symbol c of \mathfrak{C} and for all finite sequences p, p' of elements of QuasiTerms \mathfrak{C} such that $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} \operatorname{Arity}(c)$ and $p' = f^{\#} \cdot p$ holds $f^{\#}(c(p)) = c(p')$,
 - (iii) for every expression α of \mathfrak{C} from $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ holds $f^{\#}(\operatorname{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)) = \operatorname{non}_{\mathfrak{C}}(f^{\#}(\alpha))$, and
 - (iv) for every expression α of \mathfrak{C} from $\operatorname{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and for every expression τ of \mathfrak{C} from $\operatorname{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ holds $f^{\#}(\ast_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau)) = \ast_{\mathfrak{C}}(f^{\#}(\alpha), f^{\#}(\tau)).$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and let f be a valuation of $\mathfrak{C}.$ Observe that $f^{\#}$ is substitution.

In the sequel f denotes a valuation of \mathfrak{C} .

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f, η . The functor $\eta[f]$ yielding an expression of \mathfrak{C} is defined as follows:

(Def. 61) $\eta[f] = f^{\#}(\eta).$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f and let p be a finite sequence. Let us assume that $\operatorname{rng} p \subseteq \bigcup$ (the sorts of $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C})$). The functor p[f] yields a finite sequence and is defined as follows:

(Def. 62) $p[f] = f^{\#} \cdot p.$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f and let p be a finite sequence of elements of QuasiTerms \mathfrak{C} . Then p[f] is a finite sequence of elements of QuasiTerms \mathfrak{C} and it can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 63) $p[f] = f^{\#} \cdot p.$

In the sequel x is a variable.

The following propositions are true:

(132) If $x \notin \text{dom } f$, then $x_{\mathfrak{C}}[f] = x_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

(133) If $x \in \text{dom } f$, then $x_{\mathfrak{C}}[f] = f(x)$.

(134) If len
$$p = \text{len Arity}(c)$$
, then $\vec{c}(p)[f] = \vec{c}(p[f])$.

(135) $\operatorname{\mathbf{non}}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)[f] = \operatorname{\mathbf{non}}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha[f]).$

(136) $*_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha, \tau)[f] = *_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha[f], \tau[f]).$

(137) For every subset X of Vars holds $\eta[\operatorname{idval}_{\mathfrak{C}} X] = \eta$.

(138) For every subset X of Vars holds $(\operatorname{idval}_{\mathfrak{C}} X)^{\#} = \operatorname{id}_{\bigcup(\operatorname{the sorts of }\operatorname{Free}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C}))}$.

(139) For every empty valuation f of \mathfrak{C} holds $\eta[f] = \eta$.

(140) For every empty valuation f of \mathfrak{C} holds $f^{\#} = \operatorname{id}_{\bigcup(\text{the sorts of } \operatorname{Free}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\operatorname{Vars} \mathfrak{C}))}$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f and let τ be a quasi-term of \mathfrak{C} . Then $\tau[f]$ is a quasi-term of \mathfrak{C} .

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f and let α be an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathrm{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Then $\alpha[f]$ is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathrm{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f and let α be a positive expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathrm{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Note that $\alpha[f]$ is positive.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f and let α be a negative expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathrm{adj}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Observe that $\alpha[f]$ is negative.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f and let α be a quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} . Then $\alpha[f]$ is a quasi-adjective of \mathfrak{C} .

We now state the proposition

(141) $(\operatorname{non} \alpha)[f] = \operatorname{non}(\alpha[f]).$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f and let τ be an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Then $\tau[f]$ is an expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f and let τ be a pure expression of \mathfrak{C} from $\mathbf{type}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Observe that $\tau[f]$ is pure.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (142) Let f be an irrelevant one-to-one valuation of \mathfrak{C} . Then there exists an irrelevant one-to-one valuation g of \mathfrak{C} such that for all variables x, y holds $x \in \text{dom } f$ and $f(x) = y_{\mathfrak{C}}$ if and only if $y \in \text{dom } g$ and $g(y) = x_{\mathfrak{C}}$.
- (143) Let f, g be irrelevant one-to-one valuations of \mathfrak{C} . Suppose that for all variables x, y such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $f(x) = y_{\mathfrak{C}}$ holds $y \in \operatorname{dom} g$ and $g(y) = x_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Let given η . If $\operatorname{Var} \eta \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$, then $\eta[f][g] = \eta$.

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} , f and let A be a subset of QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} . The functor A[f] yielding a subset of QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} is defined as follows:

(Def. 64) $A[f] = \{ \alpha[f]; \alpha \text{ ranges over quasi-adjectives of } \mathfrak{C}: \alpha \in A \}.$

The following three propositions are true:

- (144) For every subset A of QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} and for every quasi-adjective α of \mathfrak{C} such that $A = \{\alpha\}$ holds $A[f] = \{\alpha[f]\}.$
- (145) For all subsets A, B of QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} holds $(A \cup B)[f] = A[f] \cup B[f]$.
- (146) For all subsets A, B of QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} such that $A \subseteq B$ holds $A[f] \subseteq B[f]$.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature, let f be a valuation of \mathfrak{C} , and let A be a finite subset of QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} . One can check that A[f] is finite.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature, let f be a valuation of \mathfrak{C} , and let θ be a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} . The functor $\theta[f]$ yields a quasi-type of \mathfrak{C} and is defined by:

(Def. 65) $\theta[f] = (adjs \theta)[f] * (the base of \theta)[f].$

Next we state two propositions:

- (147) For every quasi-type θ of \mathfrak{C} holds $\operatorname{adjs}(\theta[f]) = (\operatorname{adjs} \theta)[f]$ and the base of $\theta[f] = (\text{the base of } \theta)[f]$.
- (148) For every quasi-type θ of \mathfrak{C} and for every quasi-adjective α of \mathfrak{C} holds $(\alpha * \theta)[f] = \alpha[f] * \theta[f].$

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature and let f, g be valuations of \mathfrak{C} . The functor f[g] yields a valuation of \mathfrak{C} and is defined by:

(Def. 66) $\operatorname{dom}(f[g]) = \operatorname{dom} f \cup \operatorname{dom} g$ and for every variable x such that $x \in \operatorname{dom}(f[g])$ holds $f[g](x) = x_{\mathfrak{C}}[f][g]$.

Let \mathfrak{C} be an initialized constructor signature and let f, g be irrelevant valuations of \mathfrak{C} . One can check that f[g] is irrelevant.

The following three propositions are true:

- (149) For all valuations f_1 , f_2 of \mathfrak{C} holds $\eta[f_1][f_2] = \eta[f_1[f_2]]$.
- (150) For every subset A of QuasiAdjs \mathfrak{C} and for all valuations f_1 , f_2 of \mathfrak{C} holds $A[f_1][f_2] = A[f_1[f_2]].$
- (151) For every quasi-type θ of \mathfrak{C} and for all valuations f_1 , f_2 of \mathfrak{C} holds $\theta[f_1][f_2] = \theta[f_1[f_2]]$.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Introduction to trees. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):421–427, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Tarski's classes and ranks. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):563-567, 1990.
 [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):719-725, 1991.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's lemma. Formalized Mathematics, 2(3):397–402, 1991.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sets and functions of trees and joining operations of trees. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):195–204, 1992.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek. Joining of decorated trees. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):77–82, 1993.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek. Terms over many sorted universal algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):191–198, 1996.
- [11] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [12] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [13] Grzegorz Bancerek. On semilattice structure of Mizar types. Formalized Mathematics, 11(4):355–369, 2003.

GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

- [14] Grzegorz Bancerek. On the structure of Mizar types. In Herman Geuvers and Fairouz Kamareddine, editors, *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, volume 85. Elsevier, 2003.
- [15] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [16] Grzegorz Bancerek and Artur Korniłowicz. Yet another construction of free algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 9(4):779–785, 2001.
- [17] Grzegorz Bancerek and Yatsuka Nakamura. Full adder circuit. Part I. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):367–380, 1996.
- [18] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. On defining functions on trees. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):91–101, 1993.
- [19] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [20] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [21] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [22] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [23] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [24] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
 [25] Betzicie L. Corlean and Concern Bancaral. Contact free memory part 1. Formalized
- [25] Patricia L. Carlson and Grzegorz Bancerek. Context-free grammar part 1. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):683–687, 1991.
- [26] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [27] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):117–121, 1997.
- [28] Yatsuka Nakamura. Determinant of some matrices of field elements. Formalized Mathematics, 14(1):1–5, 2006.
- [29] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):167–172, 1996.
- [30] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [31] Beata Perkowska. Free many sorted universal algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):67–74, 1996.
- [32] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [33] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [34] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [35] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [36] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [37] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):37–42, 1996.
- [38] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):341–347, 2003.
- [39] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):187–190, 1990.
- [40] Wojciech A. Trybulec and Grzegorz Bancerek. Kuratowski Zorn lemma. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):387–393, 1990.
- [41] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [42] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [43] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received April 21, 2008

Addenda

Authors

- 1. Bancerek, Grzegorz 177, 207
- 2. Chang, Wenpai 195
- 3. Ding, Yuzhong 109
- 4. Endou, Noboru 115, 123, 167
- 5. Enright, Jessica 91
- 6. Ge, Fuguo 135, 159
- 7. Liang, Xiquan 141, 147, 159
- 8. Matsuzaki, Hidenori 123
- 9. Nakamura, Yatsuka 195
- 10. Narita, Keiko 167
- 11. Okazaki, Hiroyuki 103
- 12. Oniumi, Kunio 195
- 13. Riccardi, Marco 97, 203
- 14. Rudnicki, Piotr 91
- 15. Shidama, Yasunari 103, 115, 123, 167
- 16. Suzuki, Hikofumi 115
- 17. Wu, Chenglong 109
- 18. Xie, Bing 147, 159
- 19. Yan, Li 141
- 20. Zhao, Junjie 141

MML Identifiers

1.	ABCMIZ_1
2.	AOFA_I00177
3.	BCIIDEAL 109
4.	C0SP1
5.	CONVEX4 123
6.	EUCLID_697
7.	HELLY
8.	HFDIFF_1141
9.	INT_7 103
10.	MATRIX14
11.	MESFUNC9167
12.	QUATERN2
13.	RAMSEY_1203
14.	SIN_COS9147
15.	SINCOS10159